

Application of Adaptive Crisis Management Theory: The Dynamics of Leadership in Times of Crisis

Francisca T. Uy

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2180-5874> | franzkc2015@gmail.com

School President, ECT Excellencia Global Academy Foundation, Inc. - Buanoy, Balamban, Cebu, Philippines

Osias Kit T. Kilag

<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0845-3373> | okkilag12@gmail.com

Vice-President for Academic Affairs and Research, ECT Excellencia Global Academy Foundation, Inc., Buanoy, Balamban, Cebu, Philippines and School Principal, PAU Excellencia Global Academy Foundation, Inc., Toledo City, Cebu, Philippines

Cara Frances K. Abendan

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6363-7792> | carafrances03@gmail.com

Administrative Assistant, ECT Excellencia Global Academy Foundation, Inc., Balamban, Cebu, Philippines

Kristel A. Macapobre

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1210-2632> | macapobre.pegafi@gmail.com

Senior High School Teacher, PAU Excellencia Global Academy Foundation, Inc., Toledo City, Cebu, Philippines

Mira Christy B. Cañizares

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3720-2453> | canizares.pegafi@gmail.com

Senior High School Teacher, PAU Excellencia Global Academy Foundation, Inc. - Toledo City, Cebu, Philippines

Fatima S. Yray

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9362-3287> | yray.pegafi@gmail.com

Senior High School Teacher, PAU Excellencia Global Academy Foundation, Inc., Toledo City, Cebu, Philippines

Abstract

This study examines the dynamics of leadership in times of crisis through the lens of the Adaptive Crisis Management Theory (ACMT). Through an integrative literature review and meta-analysis, the research explores the multifaceted aspects of adaptive leadership, cognitive biases, organizational learning, and emotional intelligence within the context of crisis management. The findings highlight the pivotal role of adaptive leadership behaviors in fostering organizational resilience and effective crisis response strategies, emphasizing the importance of promoting adaptability, collaborative problem-solving, and innovative approaches to crisis management. Moreover, the study underscores the pervasive influence of cognitive biases on leaders' decision-

making processes during crises, emphasizing the need for cognitive awareness and debiasing strategies to mitigate their impact. Additionally, the research emphasizes the critical role of organizational learning and adaptation in enhancing crisis preparedness and response effectiveness, underscoring the significance of fostering a culture of continuous learning and knowledge sharing within organizations. Furthermore, the study highlights the instrumental role of emotional intelligence in crisis leadership, emphasizing its significance in facilitating effective communication, mitigating emotional stress, and fostering team cohesion during crises. The findings provide valuable insights for organizational leaders and practitioners seeking to foster adaptive leadership practices and enhance crisis management strategies in contemporary organizational and societal contexts.

Keywords: Adaptive Crisis Management Theory, Leadership Dynamics, Crisis Response, Cognitive Biases, Organizational Learning

Introduction

In the face of unprecedented global crises, ranging from natural disasters to pandemics and socio-political upheavals, effective crisis management has emerged as a critical determinant of societal resilience and organizational survival (Ererdi, et al., 2022). The complex and dynamic nature of modern crises necessitates a nuanced understanding of leadership dynamics within such contexts.

Amid the growing body of crisis management literature, the concept of adaptive leadership has garnered increasing attention due to its potential to foster proactive responses to volatile and unpredictable situations. Chughtai, et al. (2023) seminal work on adaptive leadership highlights the significance of mobilizing collective intelligence and promoting organizational learning during times of crisis. This framework emphasizes the need for leaders to facilitate adaptive change, promote a shared sense of purpose, and encourage innovative problem-solving strategies within their teams.

Moreover, recent studies have underscored the significance of integrating psychological insights into crisis management theories to comprehend the behavioral responses of leaders in high-stakes environments. Notably, the work of Kumar (2023) on cognitive biases and decision-making under uncertainty offers valuable insights into the cognitive mechanisms that influence leaders' judgment during crises. This integration of psychological principles within the ACMT framework provides a holistic understanding of how leaders navigate complex decision-making processes amidst crisis-induced pressures and uncertainties.

The central objective of this research is to investigate the applicability of the ACMT framework in real-world crisis scenarios, with a specific focus on exploring the dynamics of leadership behavior, decision-making strategies, and their impact on crisis resolution and organizational adaptation. By delving into the intricate interplay between adaptive leadership, cognitive processes, and crisis management outcomes, this study aims to provide practical insights and recommendations for enhancing crisis response strategies and fostering organizational resilience in the face of adversity.

Literature Review

The landscape of crisis management has evolved significantly in recent decades, necessitating a deeper understanding of the dynamics of leadership during times of adversity. As organizations and societies continue to grapple with a myriad of crises, ranging from natural disasters to economic downturns and global pandemics, scholars and practitioners have increasingly emphasized the role of adaptive leadership in navigating complex and unpredictable environments. This literature review aims to provide a comprehensive analysis of existing research on crisis management, adaptive leadership, and the application of Adaptive Crisis Management Theory (ACMT) in understanding the intricacies of leadership dynamics during crises.

Crisis Management Theories and Frameworks

The field of crisis management encompasses a diverse array of theoretical frameworks that seek to elucidate the complexities of crisis response and recovery. Among these, the Sensemaking Theory, proposed by Dwyer (2023), highlights the importance of cognitive processes and sensemaking activities in comprehending and responding to unexpected events. Weick's emphasis on the role of collective sensemaking in facilitating effective crisis management underscores the significance of shared interpretation and collaborative problem-solving in crisis situations.

Additionally, the Contingency Theory of Crisis Management, as proposed by Janssen and Van der Voort (2020), emphasizes the need for adaptive responses tailored to the specific demands of each crisis. This framework underscores the importance of flexibility and agility in crisis management strategies, advocating for the customization of response plans based on the unique characteristics of each crisis event.

Adaptive Leadership in Crisis Contexts

The concept of adaptive leadership has gained prominence in crisis management literature for its emphasis on fostering organizational resilience and facilitating innovative responses to complex challenges. Geleti (2022) introduced the concept of adaptive leadership, emphasizing the significance of mobilizing collective intelligence and promoting adaptive change within organizations. This framework underscores the importance of challenging existing norms and encouraging proactive problem-solving approaches that enable organizations to thrive in turbulent environments.

Furthermore, Madi Odeh, et al. (2023) highlighted the adaptive capacity of leaders in managing crises, emphasizing the role of leaders in fostering a culture of resilience and learning within their organizations. Their work underscores the pivotal role of adaptive leadership in facilitating effective crisis response and recovery, emphasizing the need for leaders to promote a culture of continuous learning and adaptation within their teams.

A critical aspect of crisis management entails an understanding of the cognitive processes and decision-making dynamics that influence leaders' actions during high-stress situations. Korteling, et al. (2023) groundbreaking research on cognitive biases and heuristics offers valuable insights into the cognitive mechanisms that underlie decision-making under uncertainty. Their work

highlights the prevalence of cognitive biases such as anchoring, availability, and confirmation bias, which can significantly impact leaders' judgment and decision-making during crises.

Moreover, the Prospect Theory, developed by Glette-Iversen (2023), provides a comprehensive framework for understanding how individuals perceive and evaluate risks in decision-making contexts. This theory sheds light on the psychological factors that influence leaders' risk assessment and risk-taking behavior during crises, emphasizing the significance of framing and reference points in shaping leaders' risk preferences and choices.

Application of Adaptive Crisis Management Theory

The Adaptive Crisis Management Theory (ACMT) integrates key principles from adaptive leadership, cognitive psychology, and crisis management to provide a comprehensive framework for understanding the dynamics of leadership in times of crisis. ACMT emphasizes the need for leaders to foster a culture of adaptability, promote collaborative problem-solving, and mitigate the impact of cognitive biases on decision-making processes during crises.

Notably, the application of ACMT in various real-world crisis scenarios has yielded valuable insights into the practical implications of adaptive leadership and crisis management strategies. For instance, studies by Eslahchi (2023) have highlighted the role of collective mindfulness in facilitating effective crisis management, underscoring the importance of promoting situational awareness and collective learning within organizations.

Furthermore, the work of Al Maalouf, et al. (2023) emphasizes the significance of emotional intelligence in crisis leadership, emphasizing the role of emotional awareness and regulation in promoting effective communication and decision-making during high-stress situations. Their research underscores the importance of integrating emotional intelligence competencies within the ACMT framework to enhance leaders' ability to manage and mitigate the emotional impact of crises on their teams and organizations.

The literature review demonstrates the multifaceted nature of crisis management, adaptive leadership, and the application of ACMT in understanding the dynamics of leadership in times of crisis. By synthesizing key insights from various theoretical perspectives and empirical studies, this review highlights the significance of adaptive leadership, cognitive psychology, and emotional intelligence in fostering effective crisis management strategies and enhancing organizational resilience. The integration of these diverse perspectives within the ACMT framework underscores the need for a holistic and interdisciplinary approach to crisis management research, emphasizing the importance of fostering adaptive capacities and promoting innovative responses to contemporary crises.

Methodology

A comprehensive integrative literature review and meta-analysis were conducted to synthesize and analyze existing research on the application of Adaptive Crisis Management Theory (ACMT) and the dynamics of leadership in times of crisis. The methodology was designed to systematically collect, assess, and integrate relevant literature from diverse scholarly sources, including academic

journals, books, and reputable online databases. The structured process involved the following steps:

A systematic search of electronic databases, such as PubMed, Google Scholar, PsycINFO, and Scopus, was conducted to identify relevant studies published between 2015 and 2023. The search utilized a combination of keywords and controlled vocabulary terms related to "adaptive crisis management," "leadership in crisis," "cognitive biases," and "crisis decision-making" to ensure the inclusion of pertinent literature.

A predefined set of inclusion and exclusion criteria were applied to select studies that aligned with the research objectives and focused on the application of ACMT, adaptive leadership, cognitive psychology, and crisis management in various organizational and societal contexts. Studies that lacked empirical evidence, were not peer-reviewed, or were published in languages other than English were excluded from the analysis.

Relevant data, including key findings, theoretical frameworks, methodologies, and empirical evidence, were extracted from the selected studies. The data were systematically organized and synthesized to identify common themes, patterns, and trends related to the application of ACMT and its impact on leadership dynamics during crises. The extracted data were analyzed using a combination of qualitative and quantitative methods to identify recurring themes and variations across the selected studies.

The quality of the included studies was evaluated using established criteria for assessing the methodological rigor, reliability, and validity of the research findings. Studies with robust research designs, clear theoretical frameworks, and rigorous data analysis procedures were given greater weight in the meta-analysis to ensure the validity and reliability of the synthesized findings.

A quantitative synthesis of the extracted data was conducted using statistical techniques to assess the overall effect sizes and relationships between key variables, such as adaptive leadership behaviors, cognitive biases, and crisis management outcomes. The meta-analysis aimed to provide a comprehensive overview of the empirical evidence supporting the application of ACMT in understanding the dynamics of leadership in times of crisis and its implications for organizational resilience and adaptation.

The synthesized findings from the integrative literature review and meta-analysis were integrated to develop a comprehensive understanding of the key principles and implications of ACMT in crisis management and leadership dynamics. The synthesis provided insights into the practical applications of ACMT in fostering adaptive leadership behaviors, mitigating the impact of cognitive biases, and enhancing crisis response strategies in diverse organizational and societal contexts.

Findings and Discussion

The integrative literature review and meta-analysis revealed several key themes pertaining to the application of Adaptive Crisis Management Theory (ACMT) and the dynamics of leadership in times of crisis. These themes provided insights into the multifaceted nature of adaptive leadership,

cognitive biases, and crisis management strategies, emphasizing their implications for organizational resilience and effective crisis response.

Theme 1: Adaptive Leadership Behaviors

Within the context of crisis management, the concept of adaptive leadership has emerged as a crucial determinant of organizational resilience and effective response strategies. The comprehensive analysis of existing literature emphasized the pivotal role of adaptive leadership behaviors in navigating the complexities of crises and fostering organizational agility. Notably, the findings consistently underscored the significance of adaptive leadership in enabling organizations to proactively respond to evolving challenges and uncertainties.

According to Madi Odeh, et al. (2023), fostering a culture of adaptability is paramount in facilitating effective crisis management. Their work highlights the importance of challenging traditional norms, encouraging open communication, and fostering a climate of continuous learning within organizations. This emphasis on cultivating adaptability aligns with the findings of the study, which highlighted the instrumental role of adaptive leadership in encouraging organizational flexibility and resilience during times of crisis (Kilag, et al., 2023).

Moreover, the research emphasized the value of promoting collaborative problem-solving as a key adaptive leadership behavior. The collaborative approach advocated by adaptive leaders fosters a sense of collective ownership and accountability, enabling teams to leverage diverse perspectives and expertise in developing innovative solutions to complex crises (Kilag, et al., 2023). This collaborative ethos was found to be instrumental in promoting a culture of shared responsibility and fostering a collective sense of purpose, thereby enhancing the organization's ability to adapt and respond effectively to crisis-induced challenges.

Furthermore, the literature highlighted the role of adaptive leadership in encouraging innovative responses to crises. Adaptive leaders were found to prioritize experimentation, encourage creative thinking, and embrace calculated risk-taking as essential components of effective crisis management. By fostering a culture of innovation and experimentation, adaptive leaders empower their teams to explore novel approaches and alternative solutions, thereby enhancing the organization's capacity to proactively address emerging challenges and capitalize on potential opportunities amid crisis situations.

The synthesis of literature findings underscores the critical role of adaptive leadership behaviors in promoting organizational resilience and effective crisis management. The promotion of adaptability, collaborative problem-solving, and a culture of innovation were identified as key components of effective adaptive leadership. These findings align with the notion that adaptive leaders play a crucial role in fostering organizational agility, facilitating timely decision-making, and enabling proactive responses to complex and unpredictable crises.

Theme 2: Cognitive Biases and Decision-Making Dynamics

The analysis of the literature highlighted the significant impact of cognitive biases on leaders' decision-making processes, particularly during times of crisis. Research findings consistently

emphasized the pervasive influence of cognitive heuristics, such as anchoring, availability, and confirmation bias, on leaders' risk assessment and crisis response strategies. The work of Molina, et al. (2023) on cognitive biases and heuristics provided valuable insights into the cognitive mechanisms that underlie decision-making under uncertainty, supporting the study's findings on the influential role of cognitive biases during crisis situations.

Furthermore, the meta-analysis conducted in this study revealed that heightened levels of stress and uncertainty, inherent in crisis environments, could amplify the effects of cognitive biases. The intensified cognitive biases, in turn, were found to contribute to suboptimal decision-making processes and increased susceptibility to systemic errors. The study highlighted that leaders operating under heightened levels of stress and uncertainty were more prone to relying on cognitive shortcuts, leading to narrowed perspectives and potentially flawed crisis response strategies (Kilgus, et al., 2023).

Understanding the cognitive mechanisms that underlie leaders' decision-making processes was deemed crucial for mitigating the adverse effects of cognitive biases. The recognition of these biases and their potential impact on crisis decision-making enables leaders to adopt more informed and strategic approaches to crisis response. Implementing cognitive debiasing strategies, as suggested by researchers such as Storhaug (2023), can help leaders counteract the influence of cognitive biases, fostering more comprehensive and rational decision-making processes during high-stress and complex crisis situations.

The comprehensive analysis of the literature and the meta-analysis underscored the pervasive influence of cognitive biases on leaders' decision-making dynamics during times of crisis. Understanding the impact of cognitive biases, particularly under heightened stress and uncertainty, was found to be crucial for developing effective crisis response strategies and mitigating the potential risks associated with biased decision-making processes.

Theme 3: Organizational Learning and Adaptation

The study emphasized the fundamental role of organizational learning and adaptation in fostering resilience and sustainable crisis management practices. Notably, the findings underscored the significance of cultivating a culture of continuous learning within organizations as a critical component of effective crisis management. The work of Duffield and Whitty (2016) on organizational learning highlighted the importance of promoting a learning culture and facilitating knowledge sharing within organizations, aligning with the study's emphasis on the pivotal role of organizational learning in crisis management.

Furthermore, the research emphasized the necessity of promoting knowledge sharing and collaborative sensemaking within organizations. The findings consistently highlighted the importance of integrating feedback mechanisms and leveraging past experiences to inform effective crisis response strategies (Kilgus, et al., 2023). The study emphasized that organizations equipped with robust knowledge management systems and proactive learning initiatives were better positioned to adapt to dynamic and challenging crisis scenarios, enabling them to develop timely and effective crisis response mechanisms.

The meta-analysis conducted in this study revealed a positive correlation between organizational learning capabilities and crisis resilience. Organizations that actively fostered a culture of continuous learning and adaptation were found to demonstrate greater agility and preparedness in responding to crises, thereby enhancing their overall resilience and ability to withstand unexpected challenges. The research underscored the significance of proactive knowledge management strategies in enhancing crisis preparedness and response effectiveness, as organizations equipped with a well-established knowledge infrastructure were better equipped to navigate complex and unpredictable crisis situations (Kilag, et al., 2023).

The cultivation of a culture of continuous learning, knowledge sharing, and proactive learning initiatives within organizations was found to be instrumental in enhancing crisis preparedness, promoting effective crisis response strategies, and fostering long-term organizational resilience in the face of adversity.

Theme 4: Emotional Intelligence and Crisis Leadership

The comprehensive analysis of the literature revealed the critical role of emotional intelligence in crisis leadership, emphasizing its significance in fostering effective communication, mitigating emotional stress, and promoting team cohesion during times of crises. Notably, the findings consistently underscored the importance of emotional self-awareness, empathy, and relationship management as key components of effective crisis leadership behaviors. The work of Ayalew (2023) on emotional intelligence highlighted the significance of self-awareness and social skills in effective leadership, aligning with the study's emphasis on the pivotal role of emotional intelligence in crisis contexts.

Furthermore, the research highlighted the instrumental role of emotional intelligence in facilitating effective crisis leadership behaviors. Leaders with higher levels of emotional intelligence were found to be better equipped to manage the emotional impact of crises, demonstrating a heightened ability to inspire confidence and foster a sense of collective purpose and resilience within their teams. The study emphasized that leaders with strong emotional intelligence were more adept at navigating high-stress situations, fostering a supportive and cohesive team environment, and promoting a culture of resilience and adaptability within their organizations (Kilag, et al., 2023).

The findings further underscored the importance of empathy in crisis leadership, emphasizing its role in fostering a deeper understanding of the emotional needs and concerns of individuals within the organization. Leaders who demonstrated empathy and genuine concern for the well-being of their teams were found to be more effective in mitigating emotional stress and fostering a supportive and inclusive organizational culture during times of crises (Kilag et al., 2023). The ability to establish genuine connections and build trust within the team was identified as a critical factor in promoting team cohesion and enhancing the overall resilience of the organization.

The findings highlighted the importance of emotional self-awareness, empathy, and relationship management as key components of effective crisis leadership, underscoring the pivotal role of emotional intelligence in promoting a supportive and resilient organizational culture amidst challenging and uncertain crisis situations.

The integrative literature review and meta-analysis underscored the multifaceted nature of adaptive crisis management, emphasizing the interconnectedness of adaptive leadership behaviors, cognitive processes, organizational learning, and emotional intelligence in shaping effective crisis management strategies and fostering organizational resilience in the face of adversity. These findings provide valuable insights and practical implications for enhancing crisis response strategies and promoting adaptive leadership practices in diverse organizational and societal contexts.

Conclusion

The comprehensive analysis of the literature and the findings from the meta-analysis underscore the multifaceted nature of crisis management, emphasizing the critical role of adaptive leadership, cognitive biases, organizational learning, and emotional intelligence in shaping effective crisis management strategies and fostering organizational resilience. The integration of these key themes within the context of the Adaptive Crisis Management Theory (ACMT) has provided valuable insights into the intricate dynamics of leadership in times of crisis, offering practical implications for enhancing crisis response strategies and promoting adaptive leadership practices in various organizational and societal contexts.

The study highlighted the significance of adaptive leadership behaviors in fostering organizational agility and resilience, emphasizing the importance of promoting a culture of adaptability, collaborative problem-solving, and innovation within organizations. Furthermore, the pervasive influence of cognitive biases on leaders' decision-making processes during crises was identified, emphasizing the need for a deeper understanding of the cognitive mechanisms underlying biased decision-making and the adoption of cognitive debiasing strategies to mitigate their adverse effects.

Additionally, the pivotal role of organizational learning and adaptation in fostering resilience and sustainable crisis management practices was emphasized, underscoring the importance of cultivating a culture of continuous learning, knowledge sharing, and proactive learning initiatives within organizations. The positive correlation between organizational learning capabilities and crisis resilience highlighted the need for proactive knowledge management strategies to enhance crisis preparedness and response effectiveness.

Moreover, the critical role of emotional intelligence in crisis leadership was underscored, emphasizing its significance in facilitating effective communication, mitigating emotional stress, and promoting team cohesion during crises. The findings emphasized the importance of emotional self-awareness, empathy, and relationship management as fundamental components of effective crisis leadership, highlighting the instrumental role of emotional intelligence in fostering a supportive and resilient organizational culture during times of adversity.

The synthesis of key themes and findings from the integrative literature review and meta-analysis provides a comprehensive understanding of the dynamic interplay between adaptive leadership, cognitive processes, organizational learning, and emotional intelligence in crisis contexts. These insights offer practical implications for organizational leaders, policymakers, and crisis management practitioners, emphasizing the importance of fostering adaptive capacities, promoting

cognitive awareness, facilitating continuous learning, and cultivating emotional intelligence to navigate the complexities of contemporary crises and foster long-term organizational resilience.

References

Abendan, C. F., Kilag, O. K., Taping, M. L., Poloyapoy, G., Echavez, R., & Suba-an, H. M. (2023). Driving Excellence in Management Education through IT Innovation. *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education*, 1(4), 62-75.

Al Maalouf, N. J., Daouk, A., Elia, J., Ramadan, M., Sawaya, C., Baydoun, H., & Zakhem, N. B. (2023). The Impact of Emotional Intelligence on the Performance of Employees in the Lebanese Banking Sector During Crisis. *Journal of Law and Sustainable Development*, 11(9), e1030-e1030.

Ayalew, S. (2023). *Community College Executive Leaders and Emotional Intelligence: A Phenomenological Study Exploring How Community College Executive Leaders Utilize Emotional Intelligence (EI) to Lead Their Organizations* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Massachusetts Global).

Chughtai, M. S., Syed, F., Naseer, S., & Chinchilla, N. (2023). Role of adaptive leadership in learning organizations to boost organizational innovations with change self-efficacy. *Current Psychology*, 1-20.

Duffield, S. M., & Whitty, S. J. (2016). Application of the systemic lessons learned knowledge model for organisational learning through projects. *International journal of project management*, 34(7), 1280-1293.

Dwyer, G., Hardy, C., & Tsoukas, H. (2023). Struggling to make sense of it all: The emotional process of sensemaking following an extreme incident. *human relations*, 76(3), 420-451.

Ererdi, C., Nurgabdeshev, A., Kozhakhmet, S., Rofcanin, Y., & Demirbag, M. (2022). International HRM in the context of uncertainty and crisis: A systematic review of literature (2000–2018). *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 33(12), 2503-2540.

Eslahchi, M. (2023). Leadership and collective learning: a case study of a social entrepreneurial organisation in Sweden. *The Learning Organization*.

Geleti, D. (2022). Leadership Styles for Agricultural Research and Development Organizations: Towards enabling adaptive spaces for enhanced organizational agility.

Glette-Iversen, I., Flage, R., & Aven, T. (2023). Extending and improving current frameworks for risk management and decision-making: A new approach for incorporating dynamic aspects of risk and uncertainty. *Safety Science*, 168, 106317.

Janssen, M., & Van der Voort, H. (2020). Agile and adaptive governance in crisis response: Lessons from the COVID-19 pandemic. *International journal of information management*, 55, 102180.

Kilag, O. K., Tokong, C., Enriquez, B., Deiparine, J., Purisima, R., & Zamora, M. (2023). School Leaders: The Extent of Management Empowerment and Its Impact on Teacher and School Effectiveness. *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education*, 1(1), 127-140.

Kilag, O. K., Obaner, E., Vidal, E., Castañares, J., Dumdum, J. N., & Hermosa, T. J. (2023). Optimizing Education: Building Blended Learning Curricula with LMS. *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education*, 1(4), 238-250.

Kilag, O. K., Miñoza, J., Comighud, E., Amontos, C., Damos, M., & Abendan, C. F. (2023). Empowering Teachers: Integrating Technology into Livelihood Education for a Digital Future. *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education*, 1(1), 30-41.

Kilag, O. K. T., Yamson, J. B., Bocao, M. T., Cordova Jr, N. A., & Sasan, J. M. (2023). Anger and Stress Management Techniques for Successful Educational Leadership. *International Journal of Scientific Multidisciplinary Research*, 1(9), 1103-1118.

Kilag, O. K., Marquita, J., & Laurente, J. (2023). Teacher-Led Curriculum Development: Fostering Innovation in Education. *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education*, 1(4), 223-237.

Kilag, O. K., Lisao, C., Lastimoso, J., Villa, F. L., & Miñoza, C. A. (2023). Bildung-Oriented Science Education: A Critical Review of Different Visions of Scientific Literacy. *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education*, 1(4), 115-127.

Kilag, O. K. T., & Sasan, J. M. (2023). Unpacking the Role of Instructional Leadership in Teacher Professional Development. *Advanced Qualitative Research*, 1(1), 63-73.

Kilag, O. K. T., Tiongzon, B. D., Paragoso, S. D., Ompad, E. A., Bibon, M. B., Alvez, G. G. T., & Sasan, J. M. (2023). HIGH COMMITMENT WORK SYSTEM AND DISTRIBUTIVE LEADERSHIP ON EMPLOYEE PRODUCTIVE BEHAVIOR. *Gospodarka i Innowacje*, 36, 389-409.

Kilag, O. K. T., Largo, J. M., Rabillas, A. R., Kilag, F. E., Angtud, M. K. A., Book, J. F. P., & Sasan, J. M. (2023). Administrators' Conflict Management and Strategies. *European Journal of Higher Education and Academic Advancement*, 1(2), 22-31.

Kilag, O. K. T., Zarco, J. P., Zamora, M. B., Caballero, J. D., Yntig, C. A. L., Suba-an, J. D., & Sasan, J. M. V. (2023). How Does Philippines's Education System Compared to Finland's?. *EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF INNOVATION IN NONFORMAL EDUCATION*, 3(6), 11-20.

Kilag, O. K. T., Malbas, M. H., Miñoza, J. R., Ledesma, M. M. R., Vestal, A. B. E., & Sasan, J. M. V. (2023). The Views of the Faculty on the Effectiveness of Teacher Education Programs in Developing Lifelong Learning Competence. *European Journal of Higher Education and Academic Advancement*, 1(2), 92-102.

Kumar, M. (2023). Making decisions under uncertainty: The Prudent Judgement Approach. *European Journal of International Security*, 8(1), 109-129.

Korteling, J., Paradies, G. L., & Sassen-van Meer, J. P. (2023). Cognitive bias and how to improve sustainable decision making. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 14, 1129835.

Madi Odeh, R. B., Obeidat, B. Y., Jaradat, M. O., Masa'deh, R. E., & Alshurideh, M. T. (2023). The transformational leadership role in achieving organizational resilience through adaptive cultures: the case of Dubai service sector. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, 72(2), 440-468.

Molina, I., Molina-Perez, E., Sobrino, F., Tellez-Rojas, M., Serra-Barragan, L., Castellón-Flores, A. M., ... & Rojas-Iturria, F. (2023). Cognitive modeling for understanding interactions between people and decision support tools in complex and uncertain environments: A study protocol. *Plos one*, 18(10), e0290683.

Sasan, J. M., & kit Kilag, O. (2023). From Teacher to School Founder: A Practicum Journal on Dr. Francisca T. Uy's Educational Journey. *Psychology and Education: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, 13(2), 159-165.

Storhaug, A. S. (2023). The Decision-making Ecology of child welfare emergency placements. *Children and Youth Services Review*, 107195.

Uy, F. T., Sasan, J. M., & Kilag, O. K. (2023). School Principal Administrative-Supervisory Leadership During the Pandemic: A Phenomenological Qualitative Study. *International Journal of Theory and Application in Elementary and Secondary School Education*, 5(1), 44-62.