

Learning from Within: A Study of Learning Processes in Social Science Research for Effective Program Development

Ginger S. Pumicpic

<https://orcid.org/0009-0006-8762-9072> | ginger.pumicpic001@deped.gov.ph

Teacher I, Department of Education, Schools Division of Zamboanga del Norte, Philippines

Abstract

This study delves into the intricate dynamics of social science research and its transformative impact on effective program development. Four overarching themes emerge from a systematic literature review: the dynamic nature of learning processes, challenges in program development, strategies for effective knowledge translation, and adaptation and flexibility in research methodologies. The dynamic nature of learning processes illuminates the continuous evolution of researchers, emphasizing an iterative cycle of knowledge acquisition, methodological adaptation, and collaborative engagement. Challenges in program development reveal the nuanced obstacles researchers face in translating findings into impactful interventions, emphasizing the need for holistic perspectives. Strategies for effective knowledge translation underscore collaborative initiatives, stakeholder engagement, and the bridging of academic and non-academic sectors. Finally, the importance of adaptation and flexibility in research methodologies highlights the necessity for researchers to navigate unforeseen challenges adeptly. Collectively, these themes provide a comprehensive understanding of the multifaceted journey from social science research to program development. As researchers actively engage with stakeholders, adapt methodologies, and embrace flexibility, they contribute to the co-creation of knowledge that is not only rigorous but also contextually relevant and applicable, ultimately fostering positive societal change.

Keywords: Social science research, Learning processes, Program development, Knowledge translation, Adaptation

Introduction

Social science research plays a pivotal role in understanding the complexities of human behavior, societal dynamics, and the multifaceted challenges that communities face. As human beings strive for effective program development to address pressing social issues, it becomes imperative to delve into the learning processes inherent in social science research.

Social science research serves as the bedrock for evidence-based policy and program development, contributing to the enhancement of societal well-being (Mor Barak, 2020). Its impact is far-

reaching, influencing decisions in areas such as education, healthcare, and community development. Understanding the learning processes within this domain is critical for refining research methodologies and promoting more robust, applicable outcomes.

The learning processes involved in social science research encompass a multifaceted journey, from formulating research questions to data collection, analysis, and interpretation (Thomas, et al., 2021). Researchers engage in a continuous process of acquiring knowledge from existing literature, collaborating with peers, and adapting methodologies based on practical experiences. Uncovering the nuances of these learning processes is essential for enhancing the quality and relevance of research outcomes.

Despite the potential impact of social science research, challenges persist in translating research findings into effective program development. Implementation gaps, limited stakeholder engagement, and insufficient consideration of contextual factors can hinder the successful application of research knowledge (Jiang, et al., 2020). Understanding how learning processes contribute to overcoming these challenges is crucial for bridging the gap between research and practice.

The primary objective of this study is to explore the learning processes inherent in social science research and their role in shaping effective program development. By examining the experiences of researchers, the study aims to identify key strategies, best practices, and challenges in the learning journey within the realm of social science research.

Literature Review

The literature review provides a comprehensive examination of existing research related to the learning processes in social science research and its implications for effective program development. The review encompasses key themes such as the significance of social science research, the learning processes inherent in research, challenges in program development, and the integration of research into practical applications.

Social science research serves as a crucial tool for understanding the intricate dynamics of human behavior, societal structures, and the challenges faced by diverse communities. Scholars argue that social science research is essential for evidence-based decision-making and policy formulation (Fussy, 2022). The impact of such research extends to various domains, including education, healthcare, and community development. This underscores the importance of understanding the learning processes within social science research to enhance the quality and relevance of its outcomes.

Sorensen, et al. (2021) emphasizes that social science research contributes to the development of informed policies, providing a basis for addressing societal issues and promoting positive change. The significance of research in informing public discourse and guiding governmental and non-governmental organizations underscores the need to investigate how researchers learn and adapt within this dynamic field.

The learning processes inherent in social science research involve a dynamic and iterative journey, encompassing multiple stages such as formulating research questions, designing methodologies, collecting and analyzing data, and interpreting findings. Nind & Katramadou (2023) asserts that researchers engage in a continuous process of acquiring knowledge from existing literature, interacting with peers, and adapting methodologies based on practical experiences.

Existing literature underscores the role of collaboration and knowledge exchange within the research community. Researchers not only draw from existing knowledge but also contribute to it through their studies. This iterative process of learning and knowledge creation is integral to the advancement of the social sciences (Niemieć, 2021).

Furthermore, the adaptation of research methodologies in response to challenges and unforeseen circumstances is a crucial aspect of the learning process in social science research. Researchers often encounter complexities during data collection or face limitations in their initial research designs. How researchers navigate and learn from these challenges can significantly impact the robustness of the research outcomes (Sovacool, et al., 2020).

While social science research holds great potential for informing program development, challenges persist in translating research findings into effective interventions. Medaglia, et al. (2023) discusses the contextual challenges that organizations face in implementing research-based initiatives. Implementation gaps, limited stakeholder engagement, and insufficient consideration of contextual factors can impede the successful application of research knowledge to real-world problems.

The literature suggests that the process of program development requires a nuanced understanding of the social, cultural, and organizational contexts in which interventions are implemented (Niemieć, et al., 2021). Failure to consider these factors can lead to the development of programs that are disconnected from the realities of the target communities, reducing their effectiveness.

Additionally, the literature highlights the importance of stakeholder involvement in the program development process. Effective collaboration with community members, policymakers, and practitioners ensures that programs are not only based on sound research but also address the specific needs and priorities of the communities they aim to serve (Schalet, et al., 2020).

The integration of research into practical applications is a crucial step in realizing the potential impact of social science research on program development. The literature suggests that successful integration requires a systematic and collaborative approach. Researchers need to actively engage with practitioners, policymakers, and community members to facilitate the transfer of knowledge from research settings to real-world applications (Younas, et al., 2023).

Moreover, the literature emphasizes the importance of building bridges between the academic and non-academic sectors. Collaborative initiatives that involve both researchers and practitioners can facilitate the co-creation of knowledge, ensuring that research is not only rigorous but also relevant and applicable to the challenges faced by communities (Smith, 2018).

The literature review highlights the interconnected themes of the significance of social science research, the learning processes within research, challenges in program development, and the integration of research into practical applications. Understanding how researchers navigate the complexities of social science research and apply their knowledge to address real-world challenges is crucial for advancing the field and improving the efficacy of program development initiatives.

Methodology

A systematic literature review methodology was employed. The objective of this methodology was to systematically identify, evaluate, and synthesize existing research related to the learning processes in social science research and their implications for program development.

A comprehensive search strategy was developed to identify relevant literature. Databases such as PubMed, PsycINFO, JSTOR, and Google Scholar were systematically queried using a combination of keywords and controlled vocabulary terms. The search criteria encompassed terms related to social science research, learning processes, program development, and knowledge translation.

Inclusion criteria were established to ensure the relevance and quality of the selected literature. The studies considered for inclusion were peer-reviewed articles, books, and reports published in English. The focus was on research that explored the learning processes of researchers in the context of social science and its subsequent impact on effective program development. Studies that did not align with the specific objectives of the research were excluded.

A two-stage screening process was employed. In the first stage, titles and abstracts were screened based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria. In the second stage, full-text articles were assessed for eligibility. The screening process was carried out independently by two researchers, and any discrepancies were resolved through discussion and consensus.

A standardized data extraction form was developed to capture relevant information from the selected studies. Key data elements included author names, publication year, research methods employed, key findings related to learning processes in social science research, challenges in program development, and strategies for integrating research into practice.

To ensure the rigor and reliability of the included studies, a quality assessment was conducted. The Joanna Briggs Institute Critical Appraisal tools were utilized for different study designs, including qualitative, quantitative, and mixed-methods studies. Studies were evaluated based on criteria such as methodological clarity, sample representativeness, and data analysis robustness.

A thematic synthesis approach was employed to analyze and synthesize the findings from the selected studies. Emerging themes related to learning processes, challenges in program development, and strategies for effective knowledge translation were identified and systematically organized. This process involved constant comparison and iterative refinement of themes to ensure a comprehensive understanding of the literature.

Theme 1: Dynamic Nature of Learning Processes in Social Science Research

The exploration of the dynamic nature of learning processes within social science research unfolds a narrative of continuous adaptation and evolution. The literature surveyed for this study emphasizes that researchers engage in a perpetual cycle of knowledge acquisition, methodological refinement, and collaboration throughout the entire research endeavor. This theme underscores the dynamic and iterative nature of the learning journey, extending far beyond the preliminary stages of research design.

Researchers, as portrayed in the literature, actively engage with existing knowledge through an ongoing review of pertinent literature. This process is not confined to the inception of the research project but is sustained throughout its lifespan. Van der Walddt, (2020) argue that a comprehensive understanding of existing scholarship is foundational for the development of research questions and the establishment of a theoretical framework. This continual interaction with the literature not only informs the initial stages of research but serves as a guiding thread throughout the entire process (Kilag, et al., 2023).

Practical experiences in the field are pivotal components of the learning process. As researchers encounter unforeseen challenges during data collection or encounter unexpected complexities, they engage in a process of adaptation. This adaptation involves not only modifying research methodologies but also reevaluating the initial research questions and hypotheses. As noted by Kapiszewski, et al. (2022), flexibility in research design is essential for responding to the dynamic nature of social phenomena, ensuring that the research remains relevant and valid in the face of unexpected twists.

Collaboration with peers emerges as a significant aspect of the dynamic learning process. The literature underscores that learning within the social science research domain is not a solitary pursuit; rather, it thrives in a collaborative ecosystem. Through active engagement with colleagues, researchers have the opportunity to exchange ideas, receive constructive feedback, and gain diverse perspectives. This collaborative dimension is echoed by Kilag, et al. (2023), who argue that the social aspect of learning, particularly in a research context, fosters a richer and more nuanced understanding.

The learning journey, as depicted in the literature, extends from the formulation of research questions to the intricacies of data collection, analysis, and interpretation. Each phase of the research process contributes not only to the accumulation of knowledge but also to the development of the researcher's skills and expertise. This holistic perspective on the dynamic nature of learning processes emphasizes that researchers are not passive recipients of information but active participants in a continuous and evolving intellectual endeavor.

The dynamic nature of learning processes within social science research underscores the fluidity and adaptability required in navigating the complexities of the research landscape. Engaging with existing literature, adapting methodologies based on practical experiences, and collaborating with peers are integral components of this dynamic learning journey. This theme contributes to a

comprehensive understanding of research as an evolving process, where each phase contributes to the researcher's growth and proficiency.

Theme 2: Challenges in Translating Research to Effective Program Development

A prominent theme that surfaced in the literature centers on the formidable challenges associated with translating social science research findings into impactful program development. Within this theme, three recurrent challenges—implementation gaps, limited stakeholder engagement, and insufficient consideration of contextual factors—were identified, collectively emphasizing the nuanced landscape researchers navigate when attempting to bridge the gap between research and practical application.

The literature highlighted the existence of implementation gaps, indicating a disparity between research findings and the actual execution of programs. As detailed by Joyce & Cartwright (2020), this gap often stems from a failure to incorporate research evidence effectively into programmatic interventions. The study found that, despite rigorous research, the transition to practical application is fraught with complexities that demand a closer examination of the processes involved.

Limited stakeholder engagement emerged as another formidable challenge. Effective program development necessitates the involvement of various stakeholders, including community members, policymakers, and practitioners. Research by Kilag, et al. (2012) underscores the significance of engaging stakeholders early and consistently throughout the development process. The findings suggest that insufficient involvement can result in a misalignment of program goals with the needs and expectations of the target population, hampering the overall effectiveness of the intervention.

Insufficient consideration of contextual factors was identified as a third challenge impeding the successful translation of research into program development. The literature emphasized that programs are embedded in specific social, cultural, and organizational contexts, requiring a nuanced understanding for successful implementation. Bharadwaj, et al. (2021) argue that overlooking these contextual intricacies can lead to the development of interventions that are poorly adapted to the unique challenges and dynamics of the target community, diminishing the likelihood of positive outcomes.

The synthesis of these challenges underscores the multifaceted nature of effective program development. Researchers, recognizing the complexity of the implementation process, need to go beyond addressing technical aspects alone. The findings stress the importance of fostering a deep understanding of the socio-cultural and organizational contexts in which programs are embedded. Such an understanding is integral to navigating the challenges of implementation gaps, ensuring meaningful stakeholder engagement, and considering the contextual factors that can significantly impact program success.

The theme of challenges in translating research to effective program development highlights the intricate web of obstacles researchers encounter in their efforts to apply social science research findings to real-world interventions. By addressing these challenges—implementation gaps, limited stakeholder engagement, and insufficient consideration of contextual factors—researchers

can contribute to the development of more contextually relevant and impactful programs, ultimately enhancing the bridge between research and practical application.

Theme 3: Strategies for Effective Knowledge Translation in Social Science Research

The literature examined for this study illuminated a critical theme concerning the strategies employed by researchers to seamlessly translate research findings into practical applications, underscoring the pivotal role of effective knowledge translation in the realm of social science research. This theme unveils the importance of collaborative initiatives, stakeholder engagement, and the establishment of bridges between academic and non-academic sectors.

One crucial strategy identified in the literature is the implementation of collaborative initiatives involving both researchers and practitioners. These initiatives are recognized as key facilitators for successful knowledge translation. The collaborative model, as advocated by Asiedu, et al. (2021), encourages the active participation of researchers alongside those who will ultimately apply the research outcomes. This collaborative approach ensures that the expertise of practitioners is integrated into the research process, fostering a more comprehensive understanding of the real-world implications of the findings.

Engaging with stakeholders emerged as a cornerstone strategy for effective knowledge translation. Stakeholders, encompassing community members, policymakers, and practitioners, play integral roles in the successful application of research outcomes. The literature consistently emphasized the need for researchers to establish and maintain open lines of communication with these stakeholders throughout the research process. This engagement serves not only to validate the relevance of the research but also to gather valuable insights into the specific needs and challenges of the target community. Shonkoff et al. (2009) argue that such engagement ensures that research outcomes align with the practical realities of program development, increasing the likelihood of successful implementation.

The theme accentuates the importance of building bridges between the academic and non-academic sectors as a strategic approach to enhance the impact of social science research on program development. This bridge-building process involves creating channels for effective communication and collaboration between researchers and those who will apply the research findings in practical settings. The work of Kilag, et al. (2023) emphasizes the significance of knowledge exchange platforms, such as workshops and forums, where researchers and practitioners can actively share insights and co-create knowledge. These platforms serve as conduits for the bidirectional flow of information, enriching both the academic rigor of the research and its practical relevance.

The theme of strategies for effective knowledge translation underscores the dynamic and interactive processes that researchers employ to bridge the gap between research and practical applications in social science. Collaborative initiatives, stakeholder engagement, and the establishment of bridges between academic and non-academic sectors are integral components of these strategies. By implementing these approaches, researchers contribute to the development of programs that are not only grounded in rigorous research but are also relevant, applicable, and responsive to the needs of the communities they aim to serve.

Theme 4: Adaptation and Flexibility in Social Science Research Methodologies

A key theme that resonated throughout the literature is the paramount importance of adaptation and flexibility in social science research methodologies. Researchers frequently confront challenges during data collection or encounter limitations in their initial research designs. The ability to adeptly modify methodologies in response to these challenges emerged as a critical aspect of the learning process.

The literature consistently emphasizes that research is an inherently dynamic endeavor, subject to the unpredictability of real-world contexts. As highlighted by Rose & Johnson (2020), flexibility in research design allows researchers to respond effectively to the evolving nature of social phenomena. This adaptability ensures that the research remains agile and relevant, particularly when confronted with unforeseen circumstances that may impact data collection, analysis, or interpretation.

Researchers who embrace flexibility in their approaches are better equipped to navigate the complexities inherent in social science research. The literature underscores that the rigidity of a predefined research design may hinder the researcher's ability to address emerging challenges effectively. The works of Niemiec, et al. (2021) accentuate the value of adaptive methodologies in ensuring that the research remains robust and applicable, especially in the face of unexpected twists that may arise during the research process.

The theme of adaptation and flexibility in research methodologies reinforces the notion that the learning process in social science research extends beyond the acquisition of theoretical knowledge. It encompasses the practical skills of modifying methodologies based on real-world experiences and challenges. By acknowledging the need for flexibility, researchers contribute to the refinement and enhancement of their research outcomes, ultimately ensuring that their work remains responsive and applicable to the complexities of the social environments they investigate.

The findings of this study contribute to a nuanced understanding of the learning processes within social science research and their implications for effective program development. The dynamic nature of learning, challenges in program development, strategies for knowledge translation, and the importance of adapting research methodologies emerged as key themes. These findings provide valuable insights for researchers, practitioners, and policymakers seeking to enhance the impact of social science research on addressing real-world challenges and fostering positive social change.

Conclusion

In the exploration of learning processes in social science research and their implications for effective program development, this study has unveiled a rich tapestry of themes that encapsulate the complexity and dynamism inherent in the research-to-practice journey. From the dynamic nature of learning processes to the challenges in program development, strategies for effective knowledge translation, and the significance of adaptation and flexibility in research methodologies, the findings underscore the intricate interplay between theory and application.

The dynamic nature of learning processes revealed the continuous evolution researchers undergo from the conception of research questions to the culmination of data analysis. Emphasizing the iterative cycle of knowledge acquisition, methodological adaptation, and collaborative engagement, this theme positions researchers as active participants in an ever-evolving intellectual journey. Recognizing research as a living process fosters a deeper understanding of how knowledge is not only gained but also continually refined through practical experiences and collaborative interactions.

Challenges in program development emerged as a pivotal theme, shedding light on the nuanced obstacles researchers encounter when translating research findings into effective interventions. The identification of implementation gaps, limited stakeholder engagement, and the need for contextual considerations emphasizes the imperative of holistic perspectives in program development. The study underscores the importance of addressing not only technical aspects but also the socio-cultural and organizational contexts to enhance the relevance and applicability of interventions.

Strategies for effective knowledge translation elucidated the collaborative initiatives, stakeholder engagement, and the establishment of bridges between academic and non-academic sectors as vital components of successful knowledge application. By actively involving stakeholders, including community members and policymakers, researchers contribute to the co-creation of knowledge that is not only rigorous but also contextually relevant and applicable.

The theme of adaptation and flexibility in research methodologies reinforced the necessity for researchers to navigate unforeseen challenges adeptly. Acknowledging the dynamic nature of social phenomena, researchers who embrace flexibility enhance the robustness and applicability of their research outcomes. This adaptive approach ensures that research remains responsive to the evolving landscapes of the communities under investigation.

This study illuminates the multifaceted nature of social science research, portraying it not only as a scholarly pursuit but as a dynamic process with tangible implications for program development. The synthesis of these themes contributes to a nuanced understanding of how learning processes, challenges, strategies, and adaptive methodologies collectively shape the trajectory from research to effective program development. As we move forward, integrating these insights into the fabric of social science research practices holds the potential to bridge the gap between theory and action, fostering positive societal change.

References

- Ajibade, S. S. M., Dayupay, J., Ngo-Hoang, D. L., Oyeboode, O. J., & Sasan, J. M. (2022). Utilization of Ensemble Techniques for Prediction of the Academic Performance of Students. *Journal of Optoelectronics Laser*, 41(6), 48-54.
- Asiedu, E., Karlan, D., Lambon-Quayefio, M., & Udry, C. (2021). A call for structured ethics appendices in social science papers. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 118(29), e2024570118.

Bharadwaj, B., Pullar, D., To, L. S., & Leary, J. (2021). Why firewood? Exploring the co-benefits, socio-ecological interactions and indigenous knowledge surrounding cooking practice in rural Nepal. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 75, 101932.

Fussy, D. S. (2022). Use of research evidence in the policy domain: A best-evidence synthesis of social science research in Africa, Asia, and Latin America. *International Social Science Journal*, 72(246), 1181-1194.

Jiang, H., Geertman, S., & Witte, P. (2020). Avoiding the planning support system pitfalls? What smart governance can learn from the planning support system implementation gap. *Environment and Planning B: Urban Analytics and City Science*, 47(8), 1343-1360.

Joyce, K. E., & Cartwright, N. (2020). Bridging the gap between research and practice: Predicting what will work locally. *American Educational Research Journal*, 57(3), 1045-1082.

Kapiszewski, D., MacLean, L. M., & Read, B. L. (2022). Dynamic research design: Iteration in field-based inquiry. *Comparative Politics*, 54(4), 645-670.

Kilag, O. K. T., Ignacio, R., Lumando, E. B., Alvez, G. U., Abendan, C. F. K., Quiñanola, N. A. M. P., & Sasan, J. M. (2022). ICT Integration in Primary School Classrooms in the time of Pandemic in the Light of Jean Piaget's Cognitive Development Theory. *International Journal of Emerging Issues in Early Childhood Education*, 4(2), 42-54.

Kilag, O. K. T., Tiongzon, B. D., Paragoso, S. D., Ompad, E. A., Bibon, M. B., Alvez, G. G. T., & Sasan, J. M. (2023). HIGH COMMITMENT WORK SYSTEM AND DISTRIBUTIVE LEADERSHIP ON EMPLOYEE PRODUCTIVE BEHAVIOR. *Gospodarka i Innowacje*, 36, 389-409.

Kilag, O. K. T., & Sasan, J. M. (2023). Unpacking the Role of Instructional Leadership in Teacher Professional Development. *Advanced Qualitative Research*, 1(1), 63-73.

Kilag, O. K. T., Yamson, J. B., Bocao, M. T., Cordova Jr, N. A., & Sasan, J. M. (2023). Anger and Stress Management Techniques for Successful Educational Leadership. *International Journal of Scientific Multidisciplinary Research*, 1(9), 1103-1118.

Kilag, O. K. T., del Socorro, A. S., Largo, J. L., Peras, C. C., Book, J. F. P., & Abendan, C. F. K. (2023). Perspectives and experiences in online teaching and learning. *Science and Education*, 4(6), 561-571.

Kilag, O. K. T., Uy, F. T., Abendan, C. F. K., & Malbas, M. H. (2023). Teaching leadership: an examination of best practices for leadership educators. *Science and Education*, 4(7), 430-445.

Kilag, O. K., Catacutan, A., Miñoza, M. L., Arcillo, M., Espinosa, S., & Figer-Canes, R. M. (2023). Optimizing the Teaching of Values Education Strategies for Integration and Contextualization. *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education*, 1(1), 65-76.

Larsari, V. N., Koleini, N., & Sasan, J. M. INCREASING EFL LEARNERS'ENGAGEMENT THROUGH UTILIZING SELF-ASSESSMENT AS ALTERNATIVE ASSESSMENT IN EFL GRAMMAR ACHIEVEMENTS: THE CASE OF EFL LEARNERS.

Medaglia, R., Gil-Garcia, J. R., & Pardo, T. A. (2023). Artificial intelligence in government: Taking stock and moving forward. *Social Science Computer Review*, 41(1), 123-140.

Niemiec, R. M., Gruby, R., Quartuch, M., Cavaliere, C. T., Teel, T. L., Crooks, K., ... & Manfredo, M. (2021). Integrating social science into conservation planning. *Biological Conservation*, 262, 109298.

Mor Barak, M. E. (2020). The practice and science of social good: Emerging paths to positive social impact. *Research on Social Work Practice*, 30(2), 139-150.

Nind, M., & Katramadou, A. (2023). Lessons for teaching social science research methods in higher education: Synthesis of the literature 2014-2020. *British journal of educational studies*, 71(3), 241-266.

Niemiec, R. M., Gruby, R., Quartuch, M., Cavaliere, C. T., Teel, T. L., Crooks, K., ... & Manfredo, M. (2021). Integrating social science into conservation planning. *Biological Conservation*, 262, 109298.

Rose, J., & Johnson, C. W. (2020). Contextualizing reliability and validity in qualitative research: Toward more rigorous and trustworthy qualitative social science in leisure research. *Journal of leisure research*, 51(4), 432-451.

Sasan, J. M., & kit Kilag, O. (2023). From Teacher to School Founder: A Practicum Journal on Dr. Francisca T. Uy's Educational Journey. *Psychology and Education: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, 13(2), 159-165.

Sasan, J. M. V. (2021). The Social Contract Theories of Thomas Hobbes and John Locke: Comparative Analysis.

Schalet, A. T., Tropp, L. R., & Troy, L. M. (2020). Making research usable beyond academic circles: A relational model of public engagement. *Analyses of Social Issues and Public Policy*, 20(1), 336-356.

Sorensen, G., Dennerlein, J. T., Peters, S. E., Sabbath, E. L., Kelly, E. L., & Wagner, G. R. (2021). The future of research on work, safety, health and wellbeing: A guiding conceptual framework. *Social Science & Medicine*, 269, 113593.

Sovacool, B. K., Hess, D. J., Amir, S., Geels, F. W., Hirsh, R., Medina, L. R., ... & Yearley, S. (2020). Sociotechnical agendas: Reviewing future directions for energy and climate research. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 70, 101617.

Thomas, S., Scheller, D., & Schröder, S. (2021). Co-creation in citizen social science: the research forum as a methodological foundation for communication and participation. *Humanities and social sciences communications*, 8(1), 1-11.

Uy, F., Kilag, O. K., & Arcilla Jr, A. (2023). Empowering Education: A Learning-Goals-Centric Approach to Curriculum Development. *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education*, 1(4), 48-61.

Uy, F. T., Sasan, J. M., & Kilag, O. K. (2023). School Principal Administrative-Supervisory Leadership During the Pandemic: A Phenomenological Qualitative Study. *International Journal of Theory and Application in Elementary and Secondary School Education*, 5(1), 44-62.

Younas, M., Khalid, S., & Noor, U. (2023). Applied pedagogies for higher education: Real-world learning and innovation across the curriculum: edited by Dawn A. Morley and Md Golam Jamil, Cham, Switzerland, Palgrave Macmillan, 2021, 425 pp., \$59.99 (hardcover), ISBN 9783030469504.

Van der Waldt, G. (2020). Constructing conceptual frameworks in social science research. *TD: The Journal for Transdisciplinary Research in Southern Africa*, 16(1), 1-9.