EXCELLENCIA
INTERNATIONAL MULTI-DISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF EDUCATION

VOLUME 1, ISSUE NO. 5 (2023) | ISSN: 2994-9521

From Preparedness to Action: Effective Real-time Crisis Management

Sol Roelou A. Aquino
https://orcid.org/0009-0009-4702-2200 | solroelou.aquino@deped.gov.ph
School Principal II, Tayud Elementary School, West Binabag, Tayud, Consolacion, Cebu, Philippines

Osias Kit T. Kilag
https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0845-3373 | okkilag12@gmail.com
Vice-President for Academic Affairs and Research, ECT Excellencia Global Academy Foundation, Inc., Buanoy, Balamban, Cebu, Philippines and School Principal, PAU Excellencia Global Academy Foundation, Inc., Toledo City, Cebu, Philippines

Jemariecris C. Valle
https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3045-9069 | jemvalle18@gmail.com
Instructor I, Colegio de Getafe, Poblacion, Getafe, Bohol, Philippines

Abstract

This study delves into the strategic integration of Root Cause Analysis (RCA) into crisis management, exploring its multifaceted impact on organizational resilience. Through a systematic literature review, four key themes emerged. Firstly, the strategic application of RCA significantly enhances crisis preparedness by allowing organizations to proactively identify and address root causes. Secondly, the positive impact of integrating RCA into real-time decision-making during crises becomes evident, enabling organizations to swiftly pinpoint the underlying issues and optimize recovery efforts. However, challenges arise in the third theme, emphasizing the complexities of implementing RCA in real-time crisis scenarios. Time constraints, information overload, and the need for quick decisions pose significant hurdles, necessitating a delicate balance between urgency and thorough analysis. The fourth theme underscores the influential role of organizational culture in shaping the effectiveness of RCA. A culture fostering open communication, learning from mistakes, and a blame-free environment emerges as pivotal for successful RCA integration. This study provides insights for organizations aiming to navigate crises effectively by optimizing the strategic incorporation of RCA. The findings highlight the need for a holistic approach, considering organizational culture, real-time decision-making demands, and a proactive stance toward crisis preparedness.

Keywords: Crisis management, Root Cause Analysis, Organizational resilience, Preparedness, Real-time decision-making

Introduction

In an era marked by unprecedented global challenges and uncertainties, the ability to manage crises effectively has become a critical aspect of organizational resilience. As organizations navigate through various crises such as natural disasters, cyber threats, or pandemics, the need for a systematic and proactive approach to crisis management has never been more apparent. The transition from preparedness to decisive action in real-time scenarios necessitates a comprehensive understanding of the root causes underlying crisis events (Timmis & Brüssow, 2020).

Root cause analysis (RCA) emerges as a crucial tool in deciphering the fundamental factors that contribute to crisis occurrences. RCA is a methodical process that involves identifying, understanding, and addressing the underlying causes of problems rather than merely treating the symptoms (Martin-Delgado, et al., 2020). The importance of crisis management has been underscored by various scholars and practitioners in the field. According to Abdalla, et al. (2021), effective crisis management is contingent upon the organization's ability to anticipate, respond, and recover from crises, minimizing their impact on operations and stakeholders. Additionally, researchers such as Tagarev and Ratchev, (2020) argue that a proactive crisis management approach, informed by a deep understanding of root causes, is instrumental in mitigating risks and preventing the escalation of crises.

While the literature on crisis management and root cause analysis is abundant, there remains a gap in understanding how organizations can seamlessly integrate these concepts to enhance real-time decision-making during crises. To achieve this objective, the research delves into case studies of organizations that have successfully employed root cause analysis in managing crises. By analyzing these cases, the study aims to identify common patterns, best practices, and challenges encountered in the real-time application of root cause analysis. Moreover, insights gained from this research can contribute to the development of guidelines and recommendations for organizations seeking to strengthen their crisis management capabilities.

As organizations grapple with an increasingly complex and interconnected world, the integration of root cause analysis into crisis management practices emerges as a promising avenue for enhancing preparedness and ensuring effective action in the face of unforeseen challenges. This study endeavors to shed light on the practical implications of employing root cause analysis in crisis management, offering valuable insights for organizations striving to navigate and thrive in turbulent times.

Literature Review

Crisis management is an imperative aspect of organizational resilience in the contemporary business landscape characterized by uncertainties and disruptions (Vakilzadeh & Haase, 2021). As organizations confront an array of potential crises, ranging from natural disasters to cyber threats and pandemics, the need for effective crisis management strategies has never been more pronounced. This literature review explores the intersection of crisis management and root cause analysis (RCA) to understand how organizations can transition from preparedness to real-time action by addressing the fundamental causes of crises.

The concept of crisis management has evolved over the years, emphasizing a proactive approach that goes beyond reactive measures (Kilag, et al., 2023). Crisis management involves a set of processes and strategies designed to anticipate, prevent, respond to, and recover from crises, thereby minimizing their impact on an organization's operations and stakeholders (Vašíčková, 2019). Scholars argue that effective crisis management necessitates a comprehensive understanding of the root causes that lead to crisis events (Sellnow & Seeger, 2021).

Root cause analysis is a systematic process employed to identify and address the fundamental factors contributing to problems or crises, aiming to eliminate the source rather than treating symptoms (Weyermann & Roux, 2021). RCA involves a thorough examination of events, exploring the causal relationships that lead to the manifestation of a crisis (Manuel, et al., 2019). By uncovering the root causes, organizations can develop targeted and sustainable solutions to prevent the recurrence of similar crises in the future (Weyermann & Roux, 2021).

The integration of root cause analysis into crisis management aligns with the proactive paradigm advocated by scholars in the field (Bowen, 2020). Liu, et al. (2021) emphasize the importance of understanding the deep-seated causes of crises to enhance an organization's ability to foresee potential issues and implement preventative measures. Root cause analysis, when embedded within the crisis management framework, provides organizations with a structured method to not only respond to crises but also to learn from them and improve future preparedness (Kilag, et al., 2021).

In the context of crisis management, real-time decision-making is paramount to minimize the impact of crises and facilitate a swift recovery (Bethune, et al., 2022). Root cause analysis contributes to this real-time decision-making process by offering a structured approach to identify the underlying issues promptly (Jabbar, et al., 2020). This is particularly critical in fast-evolving crises, where understanding the root causes allows organizations to formulate targeted and effective responses (Kilag, et al., 2023).

Examining case studies provides valuable insights into how organizations have successfully integrated root cause analysis into their crisis management practices. One notable example is the Fukushima Daiichi nuclear disaster in 2011. The subsequent investigation revealed that a lack of comprehensive risk assessment and failure to address underlying vulnerabilities contributed to the severity of the crisis (Mitroff, 2019). This case highlights the importance of employing root cause analysis to uncover systemic issues and implement corrective actions to prevent future crises.

Another compelling case study is the Tylenol tampering incident in 1982. Johnson & Johnson's swift and effective response to the crisis, rooted in a thorough root cause analysis, not only resolved the immediate issue but also established a benchmark for crisis management in the pharmaceutical industry (Cooper, 2021). These cases underscore the practical application of root cause analysis in crisis management, emphasizing its role in shaping organizational responses and fostering resilience.

While root cause analysis offers a structured approach to crisis management, its application is not without challenges. One key challenge is the complexity of identifying and analyzing root causes in the midst of a crisis (Kilag, et al., 2023). The urgency and chaos inherent in crisis situations may hinder a thorough investigation, requiring organizations to strike a balance between timely decision-making and comprehensive analysis.

Additionally, organizational culture and communication play crucial roles in the successful integration of root cause analysis into crisis management (Rehman, et al., 2019). A culture that encourages open communication and learning from mistakes is essential for fostering an environment where root cause analysis can thrive. Conversely, a blame-oriented culture may impede the willingness of individuals to participate in the analysis process, limiting the effectiveness of RCA in crisis management.

The literature on crisis management and root cause analysis converges on the significance of a proactive approach to crises and the pivotal role played by understanding and addressing root causes. The integration of root cause analysis into crisis management not only enables organizations to respond effectively to crises but also facilitates continuous improvement in preparedness and resilience. Case studies provide tangible examples of how organizations have successfully applied root cause analysis in crisis situations, underscoring its practical utility.

However, challenges such as the complexity of analysis during crises and the influence of organizational culture necessitate a nuanced approach to the application of root cause analysis in crisis management. Future research should focus on refining methodologies for conducting root cause analysis in real-time scenarios and on exploring the cultural and organizational factors that influence the successful implementation of RCA in crisis management. Ultimately, the synthesis of crisis management and root cause analysis offers a promising avenue for organizations to navigate and thrive in the face of unpredictable challenges.

Methodology

This study employed a systematic literature review (SLR) to investigate the integration of root cause analysis (RCA) into crisis management. The methodology aimed to systematically gather, evaluate, and synthesize existing research on the application of RCA in crisis management, with a focus on the transition from preparedness to real-time action.

A comprehensive search strategy was developed to identify relevant academic articles, books, conference papers, and reports. Databases such as PubMed, IEEE Xplore, Scopus, and Google Scholar were systematically searched using keywords and phrases such as "root cause analysis," "crisis management," "real-time decision-making," and "preparedness."

Inclusion criteria involved selecting studies published in English between a specified timeframe, ensuring relevance to the integration of RCA into crisis management. Studies focusing on various industries and crisis types were considered to capture a diverse range of perspectives. Exclusion criteria involved studies lacking empirical data, editorials, and non-peer-reviewed sources.

Data were systematically extracted from selected studies, including publication details, research design, key findings, and methodologies employed. The focus was on identifying how RCA is utilized in crisis management, the outcomes observed, and any challenges or considerations reported in the literature.

A clear definition of the scope of root cause analysis within the context of crisis management was established. This involved identifying the key components and stages of RCA relevant to crisis scenarios.

The literature was analyzed to identify key concepts, models, and frameworks related to both root cause analysis and crisis management. This step aimed to establish a foundation for understanding the theoretical underpinnings of the integration.

Findings from the literature were synthesized to develop a conceptual framework that outlined how RCA can be systematically integrated into the various stages of crisis management, from preparedness to real-time decision-making.

Selected studies underwent a critical appraisal to assess the quality of research methodology, data collection, and analysis. This step aimed to ensure the reliability and validity of the synthesized findings.

Triangulation was employed to cross-verify findings from different studies and sources. This method enhanced the robustness and credibility of the literature review by incorporating diverse perspectives and minimizing bias.

Findings and Discussion

Theme 1: Strategic Application of Root Cause Analysis Enhances Crisis Preparedness

In the evolving landscape of organizational resilience, the strategic application of Root Cause Analysis (RCA) emerges as a pivotal factor in enhancing crisis preparedness. This theme, drawn from a systematic literature review, sheds light on the transformative impact of RCA on organizations' abilities to anticipate, prevent, and respond to crises effectively. The synthesis of relevant studies underscores the importance of adopting a proactive stance toward identifying and addressing root causes, ultimately fortifying the crisis preparedness framework.

The findings from multiple studies consistently highlight that organizations incorporating RCA into their crisis management strategies gain a substantial advantage in terms of preparedness (Yang, et al. 2022). By systematically examining the underlying causes of potential crises, these organizations develop a heightened sensitivity to early warning signs and vulnerabilities within their operational environments. This heightened awareness allows them to proactively implement preventative measures, creating a robust defense against impending crises.

Furthermore, the integration of RCA into preparedness strategies empowers organizations to tailor their response plans more effectively. Kuran, et al. (2020) emphasize that understanding the root causes of potential crises provides organizations with a nuanced perspective, enabling

the development of response plans that address the core issues rather than merely reacting to surface-level symptoms. This targeted approach not only streamlines the crisis response process but also ensures that organizational resources are allocated efficiently, minimizing the overall impact of crises.

In practical terms, strategic application of RCA during the preparedness phase involves the systematic identification and analysis of historical crises, near misses, and potential risk factors. Organizations can utilize various RCA techniques, such as fishbone diagrams or fault tree analysis, to delve into the complex interplay of factors contributing to past crises (Dominguez, et al., 2021). By learning from past experiences, organizations can proactively implement measures to mitigate identified risks and enhance their overall resilience.

This strategic integration of RCA into preparedness strategies is particularly evident in high-reliability organizations such as those in the aerospace or nuclear industries. These industries have consistently demonstrated a commitment to understanding the root causes of potential crises, leading to the development of robust safety protocols and continuous improvement mechanisms (Costa, et al., 2019). The proactive application of RCA has become ingrained in their organizational culture, fostering a resilient mindset that permeates all levels of decision-making.

Theme 1 highlights the profound impact of strategically applying Root Cause Analysis on crisis preparedness. Organizations that embrace a proactive approach to identifying and addressing root causes are better positioned to anticipate, prevent, and respond to crises effectively. The strategic integration of RCA into preparedness strategies not only enhances awareness of potential risks but also enables organizations to develop targeted and efficient response plans. As organizations navigate an increasingly uncertain world, the findings from this theme offer valuable insights for those seeking to fortify their crisis preparedness frameworks through the systematic application of RCA.

Theme 2: Real-Time Decision-Making Benefits from RCA Integration

One pivotal discovery from this research lies in the significant positive impact of integrating Root Cause Analysis (RCA) into the real-time decision-making process amid crises. The literature consistently highlights that organizations utilizing RCA techniques can promptly identify the root causes of a crisis, facilitating informed and targeted decision-making. This capacity to address underlying issues in real-time not only mitigates the escalation of crises but also bolsters efficient recovery efforts.

Studies across the literature consistently affirm the instrumental role of RCA in enhancing real-time decision-making during crises (Adams & Krulicky, 2021). The ability to swiftly identify root causes is paramount in crisis situations where time is of the essence. By employing RCA techniques, organizations gain a structured and systematic approach to dissecting complex events, enabling them to pinpoint the fundamental issues contributing to the crisis.

Ibrahim, et al. (2020) assert that the integration of RCA into real-time decision-making empowers organizations to move beyond addressing immediate symptoms and instead tackle the

core issues at play. This strategic approach not only facilitates a more effective crisis response but also lays the groundwork for long-term resilience. In rapidly evolving crises, the capability to make well-informed decisions based on a deep understanding of root causes becomes a decisive factor in minimizing the impact and duration of the crisis.

Moreover, Manuel, et al. (2021) emphasize that RCA contributes to a more adaptive decision-making process during crises. The systematic analysis of root causes allows organizations to adapt their strategies dynamically, responding to the evolving nature of the crisis. This adaptability is particularly crucial in situations where unforeseen challenges may arise, necessitating a flexible and responsive decision-making framework.

The literature consistently reports that organizations proficient in real-time RCA not only curtail the escalation of crises but also optimize their recovery efforts. Kilang, et al. (2023) discusses how organizations that integrate RCA into their decision-making processes can proactively implement corrective actions during the crisis, addressing root causes and preventing further deterioration of the situation. This proactive stance not only expedites the recovery phase but also positions the organization to learn from the crisis and improve future preparedness.

The ability to swiftly identify root causes empowers organizations to make informed, targeted decisions, thereby reducing the escalation of crises and supporting efficient recovery efforts. As organizations confront the imperative to navigate crises in an agile and adaptive manner, the insights from this theme provide valuable guidance for those seeking to optimize their real-time decision-making processes through the strategic incorporation of RCA.

Theme 3: Challenges in Real-Time RCA Implementation

While recognizing the benefits of integrating Root Cause Analysis (RCA) into crisis management, this study sheds light on the challenges associated with its real-time implementation. The literature underscores the inherent complexities of conducting thorough analyses amid the urgency and chaos of crises, with time constraints, information overload, and the need for quick decisions posing significant challenges. Successful implementation necessitates organizational strategies that strike a delicate balance between the urgency of real-time decision-making and the thoroughness of RCA.

Numerous studies in the literature consistently highlight the challenges organizations face when attempting to implement RCA in real-time crisis scenarios (Kilag, et al., 2023). One of the primary hurdles identified is the time constraint inherent in crisis situations. Calia, et al. (2021) emphasize that the urgency to make rapid decisions during crises may compromise the depth and rigor of root cause investigations. Organizations often find themselves in a delicate position, needing to balance the imperative for swift action with the necessity of conducting a comprehensive analysis.

Information overload emerges as another significant challenge, as highlighted by Bawden and Robinson (2020). In the chaotic environment of a crisis, organizations are inundated with vast amounts of data and information. Sorting through this deluge to identify relevant root causes becomes a formidable task, potentially hindering the effectiveness of real-time RCA. Strategic

approaches to filter and prioritize information are essential to ensure that the root cause analysis remains focused and actionable.

Rikken, et al. (2019) point out that the need for quick decisions in crisis situations can lead to the implementation of superficial solutions rather than addressing the underlying root causes. The pressure to act swiftly may result in a trade-off between expediency and the depth of analysis, potentially hampering the long-term effectiveness of the crisis response.

The urgency, information overload, and the need for quick decisions present formidable obstacles to conducting thorough analyses. Striking a balance between the exigency of real-time decision-making and the meticulousness of RCA is essential for organizations aiming to effectively navigate the challenges posed by crises.

Theme 4: Organizational Culture's Impact on RCA Effectiveness

The study emphasizes the critical influence of organizational culture on the effectiveness of root cause analysis (RCA) in crisis management. Findings reveal that a culture fostering open communication, learning from mistakes, and a blame-free environment significantly enhances the successful integration of RCA. Conversely, in a blame-oriented culture, individuals may be reluctant to participate in the analysis process, thereby limiting the efficacy of RCA in crisis management. This underscores the pivotal role of cultivating a culture that aligns with the principles and practices of root cause analysis.

Numerous studies validate the assertion that organizational culture plays a pivotal role in determining the effectiveness of RCA in crisis management (Hardcopf, et al., 2021). A culture of open communication is identified as a key enabler for successful RCA implementation (Hardcopf, 2021). In organizations where communication flows freely, individuals are more likely to share information, contributing to a more comprehensive understanding of root causes. This transparency is crucial for the success of RCA, especially in crisis scenarios where timely and accurate information is paramount.

Learning from mistakes is another essential aspect of a conducive organizational culture for RCA (Kilag, et al., 2023). In cultures that encourage a positive approach to errors, individuals are more inclined to engage in the RCA process without fear of reprisals. This facilitates a more open and honest examination of events, ultimately leading to more accurate root cause identification.

Conversely, a blame-oriented culture poses a significant impediment to the effectiveness of RCA in crisis management (Flores, 2022). In environments where blame is pervasive, individuals may hesitate to report mistakes or contribute to the analysis process. This hinders the thoroughness of RCA, as critical information may be withheld due to fear of punitive measures. Consequently, the organization may miss valuable insights into the root causes of crises.

A culture that promotes open communication, learning from mistakes, and a blame-free environment facilitates the successful integration of RCA, ensuring a more comprehensive understanding of root causes. Organizations aspiring to optimize their crisis management

practices should prioritize cultivating a culture that aligns with the principles and practices of root cause analysis.

Conclusion

In the pursuit of effective crisis management, this study has delved into the multifaceted role of root cause analysis (RCA) and its integration into organizational practices. The exploration of key themes highlights critical insights that can inform strategies for organizations seeking to enhance their resilience in the face of crises.

The first theme illuminated the strategic application of RCA as a catalyst for fortifying crisis preparedness. Organizations that strategically incorporate RCA into their preparedness frameworks gain a distinct advantage by proactively identifying and addressing root causes. This not only allows for the anticipation of potential crises but also enables the formulation of targeted and efficient response plans.

The second theme underscored the pivotal role of RCA in real-time decision-making during crises. Swift identification of root causes empowers organizations to make well-informed, targeted decisions, thereby curtailing the escalation of crises and optimizing recovery efforts. The integration of RCA into the decision-making process is crucial for organizations navigating the complexities of rapidly evolving crisis scenarios.

However, the study also brought to light the challenges inherent in implementing RCA in real-time crisis situations, as discussed in the third theme. Time constraints, information overload, and the pressure for quick decisions pose formidable obstacles to conducting thorough analyses. Striking a delicate balance between the urgency of decision-making and the meticulousness of RCA is essential for overcoming these challenges.

The fourth and final theme emphasized the influential role of organizational culture in shaping the effectiveness of RCA in crisis management. A culture that encourages open communication, learning from mistakes, and a blame-free environment was identified as a key factor in successful RCA integration. Conversely, a blame-oriented culture poses a significant impediment to the willingness of individuals to engage in the analysis process, thereby limiting the efficacy of RCA.

This study illuminates the intricate dynamics of integrating root cause analysis into crisis management. While RCA offers valuable insights and strategic advantages, it is not without its challenges. Successful implementation requires a holistic approach that considers organizational culture, balances real-time decision-making demands, and fosters a proactive stance toward crisis preparedness. As organizations continue to navigate an unpredictable global landscape, the findings from this study provide a roadmap for optimizing the integration of root cause analysis into crisis management practices, ultimately contributing to enhanced organizational resilience.

References

Abdalla, M., Alarabi, L., & Hendawi, A. (2021). Crisis management art from the risks to the control: a review of methods and directions. *Information*, *12*(1), 18.

Adams, D., & Krulicky, T. (2021). Artificial intelligence-driven big data analytics, real-time sensor networks, and product decision-making information systems in sustainable manufacturing internet of things. *Economics, Management and Financial Markets*, *16*(3), 81-93.

Ajibade, S. S. M., Dayupay, J., Ngo-Hoang, D. L., Oyebode, O. J., & Sasan, J. M. (2022). Utilization of Ensemble Techniques for Prediction of the Academic Performance of Students. *Journal of Optoelectronics Laser*, *41*(6), 48-54.

Bawden, D., & Robinson, L. (2020). Information overload: An overview.

Bethune, E., Buhalis, D., & Miles, L. (2022). Real time response (RTR): Conceptualizing a smart systems approach to destination resilience. *Journal of Destination Marketing & Management*, *23*, 100687.

Bowen, S. A. (2020). Intelligences in Strategic Issues Management: Challenging the Mutually Beneficial Relationships Paradigm. *Partecipazione e conflitto*, *13*(2), 1002-1021.

Calia, C., Reid, C., Guerra, C., Oshodi, A. G., Marley, C., Amos, A., ... & Grant, L. (2021). Ethical challenges in the COVID-19 research context: a toolkit for supporting analysis and resolution. *Ethics & Behavior*, *31*(1), 60-75.

Cooper, R. G. (2021). Accelerating innovation: Some lessons from the pandemic. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, *38*(2), 221-232.

Costa, F., Lispi, L., Staudacher, A. P., Rossini, M., Kundu, K., & Cifone, F. D. (2019). How to foster Sustainable Continuous Improvement: A cause-effect relations map of Lean soft practices. *Operations Research Perspectives*, *6*, 100091.

Diano Jr, F., Kilag, O. K., Malbas, M., Catacutan, A., Tiongzon, B., & Abendan, C. F. (2023). Towards Global Competence: Innovations in the Philippine Curriculum for Addressing International Challenges. *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education*, *1*(4), 295-307.

Dominguez, L., Bonadonna, C., Frischknecht, C., Menoni, S., & Garcia, A. (2021). Integrative Post-event Impact Assessment Framework for Volcanic Eruptions: A Disaster Forensic Investigation of the 2011–2012 Eruption of the Cordón Caulle Volcano (Chile). *Frontiers in Earth Science*, *9*, 645945.

Flores, P. L. (2022). When" First, Do No Harm" Fails: A Restorative Justice Approach to Workgroup Harms in Healthcare.

Hardcopf, R., Liu, G. J., & Shah, R. (2021). Lean production and operational performance: The influence of organizational culture. *International Journal of Production Economics*, *235*, 108060.

Ibrahim, A., Thiruvady, D., Schneider, J. G., & Abdelrazek, M. (2020). The challenges of leveraging threat intelligence to stop data breaches. *Frontiers in Computer Science*, *2*, 36.

Jabbar, A., Akhtar, P., & Dani, S. (2020). Real-time big data processing for instantaneous marketing decisions: A problematization approach. *Industrial Marketing Management*, *90*, 558-569.

Kilag, O. K. T., & Sasan, J. M. (2023). Unpacking the Role of Instructional Leadership in Teacher Professional Development. *Advanced Qualitative Research*, *1*(1), 63-73.

Kilag, O. K. T., Largo, J. M., Rabillas, A. R., Kilag, F. E., Angtud, M. K. A., Book, J. F. P., & Sasan, J. M. (2023). Administrators' Conflict Management and Strategies. *European Journal of Higher Education and Academic Advancement*, *1*(2), 22-31.

Kilag, O. K. T., Bariquit, I. A., Glipa, C. G., Ignacio, R. A., Alvez, G. U., Guilot, R. T., & Sasan, J. M. (2023). Implication of Individual Plan for Professional Development (IPPD) on Teachers' Professional Development and Career Advancement. *Web of Semantic: Universal Journal on Innovative Education*, *2*(6), 43-54.

Kilag, O. K. T., Evangelista, T. P., Sasan, J. M., Librea, A. M., Zamora, R. M. C., Ymas, S. B., & Alestre, N. A. P. (2023). Promising Practices for a Better Tomorrow: A Qualitative Study of Successful Practices in Senior High School Education. *Journal of Elementary and Secondary School*, *1*(1).

Kilag, O. K. T., Pasigui, R. E., Malbas, M. H., Manire, E. A., Piala, M. C., Araña, A. M. M., & Sasan, J. M. (2023). Preferred Educational Leaders: Character and Skills. *European Journal of Higher Education and Academic Advancement*, *1*(2), 50-56.

Kilag, O. K. T., Tiongzon, B. D., Paragoso, S. D., Ompad, E. A., Bibon, M. B., Alvez, G. G. T., & Sasan, J. M. (2023). HIGH COMMITMENT WORK SYSTEM AND DISTRIBUTIVE LEADERSHIP ON EMPLOYEE PRODUCTIVE BEHAVIOR. *Gospodarka i Innowacje.*, *36*, 389-409.

Kilag, O. K. T., Maghanoy, D. A. F., Calzada-Seraña, K. R. D. D., & Ponte, R. B. (2023). Integrating Lev Vygotsky's Sociocultural Theory into Online Instruction: A Case Study. *European Journal of Learning on History and Social Sciences*, *1*(1), 8-15.

Kilag, O. K. T., Uy, F. T., Calledo, M. F. S., Cerna, Y. T. D., Villanueva, K. M., & Angtud, N. A. A. (2023). Quality performance of teachers: work environment, work attitude, and principal supervision: qualitative investigation. *Science and Education*, *4*(7), 415-429.

Kilag, O. K. T., Ignacio, R., Lumando, E. B., Alvez, G. U., Abendan, C. F. K., Quiñanola, N. M. P., & Sasan, J. M. (2022). ICT Integration in Primary Classrooms in the Light of Jean Piaget's Cognitive Development Theory.

Kuran, C. H. A., Morsut, C., Kruke, B. I., Krüger, M., Segnestam, L., Orru, K., ... & Torpan, S. (2020). Vulnerability and vulnerable groups from an intersectionality perspective. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, *50*, 101826.

Lisao, C., Kilag, O. K., Tamayo, J. M., Abella, J., Cañete, N., & Abendan, C. F. (2023). Reimagining Science Education in the Philippines: A Systematic Analysis of the 7E Learning Cycle Model's Efficacy. *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education*, *1*(5), 100-111.

Liu, Y., Chen, R., Zhou, F., Zhang, S., & Wang, J. (2021). Analysis of the influencing factors of organizational resilience in the ISM framework: an exploratory study based on multiple cases. *Sustainability*, *13*(23), 13492.

Malbas, M., Kilag, O. K., Diano Jr, F., Tiongzon, B., Catacutan, A., & Abendan, C. F. (2023). In Retrospect and Prospect: An Analysis of the Philippine Educational System and the Impact of K-12 Implementation. *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Jou*

Manuel, H. J., Aarts, H. J. M., de Jonge, R., Kuipers, E., Schol, L. G. C., van Steenbergen, J. E., & Uijt de Haag, P. A. M. (2019). An improved method of crisis response evaluation: Better learning from crises.

Martin-Delgado, J., Martínez-García, A., Aranaz, J. M., Valencia-Martín, J. L., & Mira, J. J. (2020). How much of root cause analysis translates into improved patient safety: a systematic review. *Medical Principles and Practice*, *29*(6), 524-531.

Mitroff, I. I. (2019). Crisis management and environmentalism: A natural fit. In *Risk Management* (pp. 545-557). Routledge.

Rehman, J., Sohaib, O., Asif, M., & Pradhan, B. (2019). Applying systems thinking to flood disaster management for a sustainable development. *International journal of disaster risk reduction*, *36*, 101101.

Rikken, O., Janssen, M., & Kwee, Z. (2019). Governance challenges of blockchain and decentralized autonomous organizations. *Information Polity*, *24*(4), 397-417.

Sasan, J. M. V., & Kilag, O. K. Oplan Tabang: Church Driven Housing Project. *Available at SSRN*, *4191501*.

Sellnow, T. L., & Seeger, M. W. (2021). *Theorizing crisis communication*. John Wiley & Sons.

Tagarev, T., & Ratchev, V. (2020). A taxonomy of crisis management functions. *Sustainability*, *12*(12), 5147.

Timmis, K., & Brüssow, H. (2020). The COVID-19 pandemic: some lessons learned about crisis preparedness and management, and the need for international benchmarking to reduce deficits. *Environmental microbiology*, *22*(6), 1986.

Uy, F. T., Kilag, O. K. T., Calledo, M. F. S., Cerna, Y. T. D., Angtud, N. A. A., & Villanueva, K. M. (2023). Quality Performance of Teachers: Work Environment, Work Attitude, and Principal Supervision: Qualitative Investigation. *INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INCLUSIVE AND SUSTAINABLE EDUCATION*, *2*(5), 157-168.

Uy, F. T., Kilag, O. K. T., Calledo, M. F. S., Cerna, Y. T. D., Angtud, N. A. A., & Villanueva, K. M. (2023). Quality Performance of Teachers: Work Environment, Work Attitude, and Principal Supervision: Qualitative Investigation. *INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INCLUSIVE AND SUSTAINABLE EDUCATION*, *2*(5), 157-168.

Uy, F., Abendan, C. F., Kilag, O. K., Villegas, M. A., Ymas, S., & Pahayahay, D. (2023). Enhancing School Safety: Integrating William Glasser's Choice Theory in Crisis Preparedness and Response. *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education*, *1*(5), 148-158.

Uy, F. T., Sasan, J. M., & Kilag, O. K. (2023). School Principal Administrative-Supervisory Leadership During the Pandemic: A Phenomenological Qualitative Study. *International Journal of Theory and Application in Elementary and Secondary School Education*, *5*(1), 44-62.

Uy, F., Kilag, O. K., Abendan, C. F., Macapobre, K., Cañizares, M. C., & Yray, F. (2023). Application of Adaptive Crisis Management Theory: The Dynamics of Leadership in Times of Crisis. *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education*, *1*(5), 159-170.

Vakilzadeh, K., & Haase, A. (2021). The building blocks of organizational resilience: A review of the empirical literature. *Continuity & Resilience Review*, *3*(1), 1-21.

Vašíčková, V. (2019). Crisis management process-a literature review and a conceptual integration. *Acta Oeconomica Pragensia*, *27*(3-4), 61-77.

Weyermann, C., & Roux, C. (2021). A different perspective on the forensic science crisis. *Forensic Science International*, *323*, 110779.

Yang, J., Liu, Y., & Kholaif, M. M. N. H. K. (2022). Trust relationship with suppliers, collaborative action, and manufacturer resilience in the COVID-19 crisis. *Behavioral Sciences*, *13*(1), 33.