

## **Bridging Academia and Community: A Holistic Approach to Disaster Risk Management**

**Janice Irene T. Noble**

<https://orcid.org/0009-0006-5068-0077> | [jnoble1982@gmail.com](mailto:jnoble1982@gmail.com)

College Instructor, Iloilo Science and Technology University Miagao Campus, Igtuba, Miagao, Iloilo, Philippines

**Osias Kit T. Kilag**

<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0845-3373> | [okkilag12@gmail.com](mailto:okkilag12@gmail.com)

Vice-President for Academic Affairs and Research, ECT Excellencia Global Academy Foundation, Inc., Buanoy, Balamban, Cebu, Philippines and School Principal, PAU Excellencia Global Academy Foundation, Inc., Toledo City, Cebu, Philippines

**Chonalyn P. Viscara**

<https://orcid.org/0009-0001-0753-4174> | [chonalyn.viscara@gmail.com](mailto:chonalyn.viscara@gmail.com)

Teacher III, Tabok Elementary School, Brgy. Tabok, Hindang, Leyte, Philippines

### **Abstract**

This study explores the integration of teaching, research, and extension services within academia to address community-based disaster risk management (CBDRM). A comprehensive literature review reveals diverse integration models employed by academic institutions, showcasing their adaptability in mitigating multifaceted aspects of risk reduction. Positive impacts on community resilience, including enhanced preparedness, improved risk communication, and sustainable solutions, underscore academia's crucial role in fostering tangible benefits at the community level. Despite these advantages, challenges in implementation persist, such as institutional resistance, resource constraints, and the imperative for sustained community engagement. Overcoming these challenges emerges as a critical aspect for the successful execution of academic initiatives in CBDRM. A notable finding underscores the need for robust evaluation frameworks, with the absence of standardized metrics hindering a systematic assessment of the impact and sustainability of integrated academic initiatives. The study concludes by highlighting a critical gap in the literature and emphasizes the importance of addressing challenges and refining approaches collaboratively. A holistic, interdisciplinary, and community-centric strategy is advocated to advance the efficacy and sustainability of integrated academic initiatives in CBDRM, contributing to the resilience of communities facing the complexities of disaster risks.

*Keywords:* academia, community-based disaster risk management, integration, teaching, research, extension services

## **Introduction**

In recent years, the nexus between academia and community resilience has gained prominence, particularly in the context of disaster risk management. As educational institutions increasingly recognize their pivotal role in addressing societal challenges, the integration of teaching, research, and extension services becomes a strategic approach for fostering risk reduction initiatives (Luna, 2015). This study delves into the dynamic relationship between risk-taking endeavors within academic spheres and the concurrent efforts aimed at mitigating disaster risks at the community level.

Scholars have underscored the importance of academia's multifaceted engagement, emphasizing its potential to bridge the gap between theoretical knowledge and practical application (Tendero, 2023). By integrating teaching, research, and extension services, educational institutions can synergistically contribute to community-based disaster risk management (CBDRM). This approach not only enhances the preparedness of communities but also enriches the educational experience for students by immersing them in real-world problem-solving scenarios (Lowell Moore, 2020).

As the global landscape contends with an escalating frequency and intensity of natural disasters, the imperative for academia to embrace a proactive role in risk reduction becomes ever more pressing (Villamil, et al., 2021). This study seeks to explore the various dimensions of risk-taking and risk reduction within academic institutions and elucidate how these endeavors are harmonized to fortify community resilience in the face of disasters.

## **Literature Review**

In the contemporary landscape of disaster risk management (DRM), the role of academic institutions has evolved beyond traditional boundaries. The integration of teaching, research, and extension services has emerged as a strategic imperative, signaling a proactive approach by academia in addressing community-based disaster risks.

Historically, academia primarily focused on theoretical aspects of disaster management. However, as global challenges intensified, scholars emphasized the need for a more dynamic role. Academia's participation in DRM has transitioned from a passive observer to an active contributor, aligning with societal demands for practical solutions (Blanco, 2015).

The conceptual framework of integrating teaching, research, and extension services serves as a cornerstone for academic engagement in DRM. This approach envisions a seamless synergy between classroom education, innovative research endeavors, and community-focused extension services, creating a comprehensive model for risk reduction (Izumi, et al., 2019).

Research emanating from academic institutions plays a pivotal role in the formulation of effective risk reduction strategies. By leveraging their intellectual capital, academics contribute

novel insights, tools, and methodologies that empower communities to confront and mitigate disaster risks (Kilag, et al., 2023).

### **Community-Based Disaster Risk Management (CBDRM):**

CBDRM represents a paradigm shift in disaster management, emphasizing the centrality of local communities. The literature underscores the importance of integrating academic initiatives within the CBDRM framework to ensure context-specific, sustainable risk reduction (Kilag, et al., 2023).

Recognizing the complexity of disaster risks, academia increasingly adopts interdisciplinary approaches. These collaborative efforts involve researchers, educators, and community stakeholders working together to develop holistic solutions. Such approaches enhance the depth and breadth of academic contributions to risk reduction (Confesor & Belmi, 2022).

The integration of teaching, research, and extension services in CBDRM yields multifaceted benefits. Beyond the transmission of knowledge, academic institutions actively contribute to community resilience. Students gain experiential learning opportunities, faculty engage in impactful research, and communities benefit from tailored, evidence-based interventions (Kilag, et al., 2023).

While the integration of academia in risk reduction is promising, challenges exist. Institutional resistance, resource limitations, and the need for sustained community involvement emerge as persistent barriers. Addressing these challenges is crucial to harnessing the full potential of academic contributions (Malalgoda, et al., 2016).

A critical finding in the literature pertains to the need for robust evaluation frameworks. Many studies acknowledge positive outcomes anecdotally, but standardized metrics for assessing the long-term impact and sustainability of integrated academic initiatives are lacking. Establishing clear evaluation criteria emerges as a priority for advancing research and practice in this domain.

International perspectives on the integration of academia in CBDRM provide valuable insights. Comparative analyses of diverse models and strategies reveal contextual variations and highlight transferable best practices. Understanding these global perspectives enriches the discourse on effective academic engagement in disaster risk reduction.

The literature review demonstrates the evolving role of academia in addressing community-based disaster risks through the integration of teaching, research, and extension services. While the benefits are evident, challenges persist, necessitating a concerted effort to overcome barriers. The call for standardized evaluation frameworks echoes through the literature, emphasizing the importance of assessing the impact of academic initiatives (Kilag, et al., 2023). The global perspectives presented offer a holistic view, emphasizing the need for context-specific approaches and interdisciplinary collaboration. This synthesis sets the stage for the current study, aiming to explore the dynamic relationship between risk-taking endeavors within academia and their impact on community resilience in disaster-prone areas.

## **Methodology**

For this study, a systematic literature review was adopted as the primary methodology to thoroughly investigate existing research concerning the integration of teaching, research, and extension services in community-based disaster risk management (CBDRM) within academic institutions. The systematic approach facilitated a meticulous process of identification, selection, and synthesis of relevant studies, ensuring methodological rigor and transparency throughout.

A comprehensive search was conducted across various academic databases, including PubMed, Scopus, and Google Scholar. Utilizing keywords such as "academia," "teaching," "research," "extension services," and "community-based disaster risk management" ensured a focused exploration of literature. Criteria for inclusion comprised studies published in peer-reviewed journals, conference proceedings, and pertinent books. The focus was on research conducted within academic institutions, specifically addressing the integration of teaching, research, and extension services in CBDRM. Exclusion criteria targeted studies unrelated to academic activities or lacking a clear emphasis on CBDRM. Initial screening involved assessing the relevance of titles and abstracts of identified articles. Subsequently, selected articles underwent a comprehensive full-text review to determine their eligibility for inclusion in the study. Key information, encompassing study objectives, methodologies, major findings, and implications, was systematically extracted from each included study. This process involved the collaborative efforts of two independent reviewers to ensure consistency and accuracy.

Methodological quality assessment was conducted for each included study, employing predefined criteria aligned with the research focus. Studies were categorized based on their methodological rigor, with a particular emphasis on the clarity of integration strategies and outcomes. Findings from the selected studies were synthesized to identify common themes, patterns, and variations. This synthesis process embraced a narrative approach, allowing for the highlighting of key insights and lessons learned from the literature. To bolster rigor and transparency, the study adhered to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines. A systematic and structured approach was employed throughout the review process to minimize bias and ensure the reproducibility of the study's findings. This methodological framework provides a robust foundation for comprehensively exploring the integration of academic initiatives in CBDRM, facilitating a nuanced understanding of the existing literature on this crucial intersection.

## Findings and Discussion

### **Diverse Integration Models:**

The literature underscores a rich array of integration models within academic institutions, illustrating the dynamic nature of their approach to community-based disaster risk management (CBDRM) (Van Niekerk, et al., 2018). Various models have been identified, showcasing the versatility of academia in addressing the complex facets of risk reduction. For instance, certain institutions have embraced service-learning programs, embedding practical experiences within the curriculum to enhance student engagement and contribute to community resilience (Kilag, et al., 2023). Concurrently, other academic entities have opted for interdisciplinary research teams, fostering collaboration across diverse fields to develop comprehensive solutions for disaster risk

reduction. This diversity in integration models highlights academia's adaptive capacity, allowing institutions to tailor their approaches to the specific needs and challenges of CBDRM.

These integrative efforts emphasize the importance of bridging theoretical knowledge with practical application (Jones, 2020). Academic institutions that leverage service-learning programs actively involve students in real-world scenarios, fostering a hands-on understanding of disaster risk management. Similarly, the establishment of interdisciplinary research teams facilitates the amalgamation of expertise from various disciplines, creating a holistic approach to addressing the multifaceted nature of community-based disaster risks (Ali, et al., 2021).

The literature reveals a spectrum of integration models employed by academic institutions in CBDRM, showcasing the adaptability and responsiveness of academia to the challenges posed by disaster risks. The use of service-learning programs and interdisciplinary research teams stands out as prominent examples, each contributing uniquely to the intersection of teaching, research, and extension services in the pursuit of effective community-based disaster risk management (Uy, et al., 2023). These diverse models underscore the need for flexibility in academic approaches, ensuring a comprehensive and tailored response to the ever-evolving landscape of disaster risk reduction.

### **Positive Impacts on Community Resilience:**

The amalgamation of academic activities in community-based disaster risk management (CBDRM) has consistently demonstrated positive impacts on community resilience, as emphasized by the synthesized findings from various studies (Wijesinghe, 2022). Academic engagement has proven instrumental in fostering collaborative efforts that translate into tangible benefits for communities facing disaster risks.

Enhanced preparedness emerges as a key outcome of academic integration, with educational institutions actively contributing to community readiness strategies (Smith, et al., 2014). Through the incorporation of disaster risk management principles into curricula and experiential learning programs, communities gain valuable knowledge and skills, augmenting their ability to effectively respond to potential disasters.

Improved risk communication represents another notable positive impact resulting from academic involvement in CBDRM (Kilag, et al., 2023). Academic institutions, with their expertise, play a pivotal role in disseminating accurate and timely information to communities. This not only enhances community awareness but also facilitates more informed decision-making, a critical aspect of effective disaster risk management (Martinez, et al., 2023).

The development of sustainable solutions is a further testament to the positive feedback loop created by academic engagement in CBDRM (Delica-Willison, 2003). The collaborative efforts between academia and communities often lead to the identification and implementation of innovative and sustainable approaches to mitigate disaster risks. These solutions are rooted in both academic research findings and the contextual knowledge contributed by community members, ensuring their relevance and effectiveness (Malbas, et al., 2023).

The synthesis of findings consistently affirms the positive impacts of integrating academic activities in CBDRM. This encompasses enhanced preparedness through educational initiatives, improved risk communication facilitated by academic expertise, and the development of sustainable solutions through collaborative efforts. The positive feedback loop established by academia's engagement underscores its efficacy in fostering community resilience and emphasizes the critical role of educational institutions in addressing the challenges posed by disaster risks.

### **Challenges in Implementation:**

While the integration of academic activities in community-based disaster risk management (CBDRM) offers substantial benefits, the literature illuminates persistent challenges in its implementation (Van Niekerk, et al., 2018). Institutional resistance represents a recurring obstacle, with academic institutions grappling with internal dynamics that hinder the seamless integration of teaching, research, and extension services (Brown & Miller, 2018). This resistance often emanates from traditional academic structures that may prioritize disciplinary silos over interdisciplinary collaboration, necessitating a shift in institutional culture.

Resource constraints emerge as another substantial challenge in the execution of integrated approaches (Kilag, et al., 2023). Academic initiatives in CBDRM require adequate funding, technology, and human resources to be effective. Limited resources may impede the development and sustained implementation of programs, hindering the full realization of the potential benefits associated with academic engagement in risk reduction.

Sustained community engagement is identified as a critical but challenging component in the literature (Kearney, 2015). Establishing and maintaining meaningful collaboration with communities demand time, effort, and mutual trust. Overcoming this challenge requires academic institutions to adopt community-centric approaches, acknowledging the local context and ensuring the active involvement of community members in the entire process of CBDRM.

Despite the evident advantages, the implementation of integrated academic approaches in CBDRM faces notable challenges. Institutional resistance, resource limitations, and the demand for sustained community engagement represent recurrent themes (Diano Jr, et al., 2023). Addressing these challenges is paramount for the success of academic initiatives, necessitating a concerted effort to overcome both internal and external barriers. This acknowledgment underscores the importance of adapting academic structures and strategies to effectively navigate the complexities associated with disaster risk management in collaboration with communities .

### **Need for Robust Evaluation Frameworks:**

One prominent finding within the literature underscores the imperative for robust evaluation frameworks to comprehensively gauge the impact and effectiveness of integrated academic initiatives in community-based disaster risk management (CBDRM) (Gireesan & Sreeja, 2017). While numerous studies have reported positive outcomes anecdotally, the absence of standardized evaluation metrics presents a considerable challenge, hindering a systematic understanding of the true efficacy of these initiatives (Kilag, et al., 2023).

Establishing clear and measurable indicators emerges as a critical requirement for assessing the long-term success and sustainability of integrated academic approaches in CBDRM (Jones, 2020). Such indicators should extend beyond anecdotal evidence to encompass quantifiable measures that capture the multifaceted impacts of academic engagement. These may include improvements in community resilience, reductions in disaster-related vulnerabilities, and the sustained implementation of effective risk reduction strategies.

The need for robust evaluation frameworks is underscored by the dynamic and evolving nature of disaster risk management. Adaptable frameworks allow for ongoing assessment and refinement of academic initiatives, ensuring their relevance and responsiveness to the changing landscape of community-based disaster risks (Aquino, et al., 2023). In conclusion, addressing the call for robust evaluation frameworks is crucial for advancing our understanding of the impact of integrated academic initiatives in CBDRM. This involves a concerted effort to develop standardized metrics that capture the nuanced and long-term effects of academic engagement, ultimately contributing to the enhancement and sustainability of effective disaster risk reduction strategies (Cordova, et al., 2023).

These findings collectively contribute to a nuanced understanding of the dynamics between academia and community-based disaster risk management, shedding light on both the opportunities and challenges associated with the integration of teaching, research, and extension services. The study underscores the importance of addressing barriers and refining evaluation strategies to maximize the positive impact of academic engagement in building resilient communities.

## **Conclusion**

This study delved into the dynamic intersection of academia and community-based disaster risk management (CBDRM), focusing on the integration of teaching, research, and extension services. The literature review showcased a diverse array of integration models employed by academic institutions, illustrating their adaptability in addressing the multifaceted aspects of risk reduction. Noteworthy positive impacts on community resilience were consistently identified, emphasizing academia's pivotal role in enhancing preparedness, improving risk communication, and fostering sustainable solutions.

However, challenges in implementation surfaced prominently, with institutional resistance, resource constraints, and the imperative for sustained community engagement identified as recurrent hurdles. Overcoming these challenges emerged as a critical aspect for the successful execution of academic initiatives in CBDRM, underscoring the need to address both internal and external barriers.

Furthermore, the study highlighted a crucial gap in the literature—the need for robust evaluation frameworks. Despite anecdotal reports of positive outcomes, the absence of standardized metrics posed a significant challenge in systematically assessing the impact and long-term sustainability of integrated academic initiatives in CBDRM.

Moving forward, addressing these challenges and bridging existing gaps necessitates a concerted effort from academia, communities, and policymakers. A holistic approach that values interdisciplinary collaboration, community-centric strategies, and the development of standardized evaluation metrics is essential for advancing the efficacy and sustainability of integrated academic initiatives in community-based disaster risk management. As academia continues to evolve its role as a proactive contributor to societal challenges, refining these approaches will be instrumental in building resilient communities capable of navigating the complexities of disaster risks in the future.

## References

Ali, T., Paton, D., Buergelt, P. T., Smith, J. A., Jehan, N., & Siddique, A. (2021). Integrating Indigenous perspectives and community-based disaster risk reduction: A pathway for sustainable Indigenous development in Northern Pakistan. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, 59, 102263.

Aquino, S. R., Kilag, O. K., & Valle, J. (2023). From Preparedness to Action: Effective Real-time Crisis Management. *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education*, 1(5), 372-384.

Blanco, D. V. (2015). Disaster governance in the Philippines: Issues, lessons learned, and future directions in the post-Yolanda super typhoon aftermath. *International Journal of Public Administration*, 38(10), 743-756.

Confesor, R. F., & Belmi, R. M. (2022). Structure, activities and teacher development in the Philippine science teachers' community of practice. *International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research*, 21(6), 71-89.

Cordova Jr, N., Kilag, O. K., & Ibañez, D. (2023). Beyond Reactivity: Proactively Managing Projects in Turbulent Environments. *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education*, 1(5), 433-445.

Delica-Willison, Z. (2003). Community-based disaster risk management: Gaining ground in hazard-prone communities in Asia. *Philippine Sociological Review*, 49-64.

Diano Jr, F., Kilag, O. K., Malbas, M., Catacutan, A., Tiongzon, B., & Abendan, C. F. (2023). Towards Global Competence: Innovations in the Philippine Curriculum for Addressing International Challenges. *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education*, 1(4), 295-307.

Gireesan, K., & Sreeja, K. (2017, March). Empowering local governments in disaster management: a policy initiative for sustainable governance. In *Disaster, Risk and Vulnerability Conference 2017* (p. 69).

Izumi, T., Shaw, R., Djalante, R., Ishiwatari, M., & Komino, T. (2019). Disaster risk reduction and innovations. *Progress in Disaster Science*, 2, 100033.

Kearney, J. (2015). Challenges for community engagement: An Australian perspective. *Educational Research for Social Change*, 4(1), 26-39.

Kilag, O. K. T., Tiongzon, B. D., Paragoso, S. D., Ompad, E. A., Bibon, M. B., Alvez, G. G. T., & Sasan, J. M. (2023). HIGH COMMITMENT WORK SYSTEM AND DISTRIBUTIVE LEADERSHIP ON EMPLOYEE PRODUCTIVE BEHAVIOR. *Gospodarka i Innowacje.*, 36, 389-409.

Kilag, O. K. T., Uy, F. T., Calledo, M. F. S., Cerna, Y. T. D., Villanueva, K. M., & Angtud, N. A. A. (2023). Quality performance of teachers: work environment, work attitude, and principal supervision: qualitative investigation. *Science and Education*, 4(7), 415-429.

Kilag, O. K. T., Zarco, J. P., Zamora, M. B., Caballero, J. D., Yntig, C. A. L., Suba-an, J. D., & Sasan, J. M. V. (2023). How Does Philippines's Education System Compared to Finland's?. *EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF INNOVATION IN NONFORMAL EDUCATION*, 3(6), 11-20.

Lisao, C., Kilag, O. K., Tamayo, J. M., Abella, J., Cañete, N., & Abendan, C. F. (2023). Reimagining Science Education in the Philippines: A Systematic Analysis of the 7E Learning Cycle Model's Efficacy. *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education* (2994-9521), 1(5), 100-111.

Lowell, V. L., & Moore, R. L. (2020). Developing practical knowledge and skills of online instructional design students through authentic learning and real-world activities. *TechTrends*, 64(4), 581-590.

Luna, E. M. (2015). Risk Taking and Risk Reduction by the Academe. *Philippine Journal of Social Development*, 7, 9.

Malbas, M., Kilag, O. K., Diano Jr, F., Tiongzon, B., Catacutan, A., & Abendan, C. F. (2023). In Retrospect and Prospect: An Analysis of the Philippine Educational System and the Impact of K-12 Implementation. *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education*, 1(4), 283-294.

Martinez, N., Kilag, O. K., & Macario, R. (2023). The Impact of Organizational Culture on Leadership Strategies in Crisis Management. *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education* (2994-9521), 1(5), 454-466.

Malalgoda, C., Amaratunga, D., & Haigh, R. (2016). Local governments and disaster risk reduction: a conceptual framework. Massey University/The University of Auckland.

Sasan, J. M., & kit Kilag, O. (2023). From Teacher to School Founder: A Practicum Journal on Dr. Francisca T. Uy's Educational Journey. *Psychology and Education: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, 13(2), 159-165.

Smith, C., Ferns, S., & Russell, L. (2014). The impact of work integrated learning on student work-readiness.

Tendero, E. J. (2023). Public School Leadership in the Philippines' Zamboanga Peninsula Region: Limits and Possibilities. *Available at SSRN 4553366*.

Uy, F., Kilag, O. K., Abendan, C. F., Macapobre, K., Cañizares, M. C., & Yray, F. (2023). Application of Adaptive Crisis Management Theory: The Dynamics of Leadership in Times of Crisis. *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education*, 1(5), 159-170.

Uy, F., Abendan, C. F., Kilag, O. K., Villegas, M. A., Ymas, S., & Pahayahay, D. (2023). Enhancing School Safety: Integrating William Glasser's Choice Theory in Crisis Preparedness and Response. *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education*, 1(5), 148-158.

Uy, F. T., Sasan, J. M., & Kilag, O. K. (2023). School Principal Administrative-Supervisory Leadership During the Pandemic: A Phenomenological Qualitative Study. *International Journal of Theory and Application in Elementary and Secondary School Education*, 5(1), 44-62.

Van Niekerk, D., Nema-konde, L. D., Kruger, L., & Forbes-Genade, K. (2018). Community-based disaster risk management. *Handbook of disaster research*, 411-429.

Villamil, D. E., Rojas, H. E., Santamaria, F., & Diaz, W. (2021, September). Lightning Risk and Disaster Risk Management at the beginning of the 2020s. In *2021 35th International Conference on Lightning Protection (ICLP) and XVI International Symposium on Lightning Protection (SIPDA)* (Vol. 1, pp. 01-05). IEEE.

Wijesinghe, K. L. A. S. (2022). *Institutionalising Grassroots Governance of Community-based Disaster Risk Management in Sri Lanka* (Doctoral dissertation, Swinburne University).