

Resilient Leadership: The Crisis Management Skills of School Administrators in the Context of School Improvement

Marilou S. Costuna

Teacher-in-Charge, Department of Education, Schools Division of Cebu Province
Cagay National High School, Cagay, Barili, Cebu, Philippines

<https://orcid.org/0009-0000-0386-0389> | marilou.costuna@deped.gov.ph

Abstract

This systematic literature review investigates the crisis management skills of school administrators within the context of school improvement, with a specific focus on scale development. Four key findings emerge: the multifaceted nature of crisis management skills, the limited attention to scale development in existing research, the critical role of communication, and the diverse methodologies employed in scale development. The multifaceted nature of crisis management skills underscores the need for a comprehensive framework encompassing communication, decision-making, collaboration, and implementation. The study identifies a significant gap in existing research, emphasizing the limited focus on developing scales tailored to assess these skills. Effective communication emerges as a linchpin for successful crisis resolution, highlighting administrators' need for clear communication, adept public relations management, and transparency during crises. Furthermore, the study unveils a diverse array of methodologies used in scale development, reflecting the complexity of crisis management skills. Synthesizing these findings, the study advocates for targeted research and the development of validated measurement tools to comprehensively evaluate and enhance the crisis management capabilities of school administrators in the dynamic landscape of education.

Keywords: Crisis management skills, School administrators, School improvement, Scale development, Educational leadership

Introduction

In the dynamic landscape of education, schools often face various challenges that demand adept crisis management skills from administrators. The ability of school leaders to effectively navigate and respond to crises is critical not only for the immediate resolution of issues but also for fostering long-term school improvement (McLeod & Dulsky, 2021).

Crisis management in educational settings encompasses a broad spectrum of incidents, ranging from natural disasters to societal issues and internal organizational challenges. Scholars have emphasized the significance of proactive and strategic crisis management in educational institutions, asserting that administrators play a pivotal role in shaping the overall resilience and adaptability of schools (Mitchell, 2018). While existing literature acknowledges the importance of crisis management, there is a noticeable gap in the availability of a validated instrument specifically designed to assess the crisis management skills of school administrators within the context of school improvement.

Furthermore, understanding the nuances of crisis management in educational settings is vital for preparing administrators to navigate the complexities of the modern educational landscape (Marzano, Waters, & McNulty, 2005). Through an empirical examination of crisis management skills, this research not only contributes to the scholarly discourse but also provides actionable insights for educational policymakers, training programs, and school leaders aiming to bolster their crisis management capabilities (Aquino, et al., 2023).

This study introduces a novel approach to assessing crisis management skills in school administrators with a specific focus on school improvement. The development of a comprehensive scale aims to fill a critical gap in the literature, providing a valuable tool for researchers, practitioners, and policymakers committed to fostering resilient and adaptable educational institutions.

This study aims to address this gap by developing and validating a comprehensive scale that assesses the crisis management skills of school administrators. The scale will be informed by a multidimensional framework, encompassing elements such as communication strategies, decision-making under pressure, collaboration with stakeholders, and the implementation of crisis response plans. By employing a rigorous scale development process, this research seeks to provide a reliable and valid tool that can be utilized to measure and enhance the crisis management skills of school administrators in the pursuit of school improvement.

Literature Review

The importance of crisis management in educational settings has gained increasing attention in the literature. Mitchell (2018) emphasizes that educational institutions are susceptible to various crises, including natural disasters, safety incidents, and organizational challenges. Scholars argue that effective crisis management is crucial not only for immediate problem resolution but also for long-term school improvement (Kantabutra & Ketprapakorn, 2021). Given the complexity of the modern educational landscape, administrators must possess robust crisis management skills to navigate uncertainties and ensure the resilience of their institutions.

The Role of School Administrators in Crisis Management

Research consistently underscores the pivotal role of school administrators in crisis management (Mitchell, 2018). School leaders are responsible for decision-making under

pressure, communication with stakeholders, and the overall implementation of crisis response plans (Cordova Jr, et al., 2023). The effectiveness of crisis management efforts is significantly influenced by the competencies and skills of administrators. However, there remains a gap in the literature concerning a standardized instrument for systematically assessing these skills within the specific context of school improvement.

While various studies have investigated crisis management in education, few have focused on developing a comprehensive scale tailored to the unique challenges faced by school administrators in the pursuit of school improvement. A validated scale offers a systematic and measurable approach to assess the multifaceted nature of crisis management skills. It allows for a nuanced understanding of administrators' strengths and areas for improvement, providing valuable insights for professional development and training initiatives.

Elements of Crisis Management Skills

To inform the development of the scale, it is essential to delve into the key elements of crisis management skills identified in the literature. Communication strategies, decision-making processes, collaboration with stakeholders, and the execution of crisis response plans emerge as critical components (Marzano et al., 2005; Mitchell, 2018). Effective communication, both internal and external, is highlighted as a cornerstone of successful crisis management in educational institutions. Decision-making under pressure requires administrators to demonstrate sound judgment and the ability to prioritize actions that align with the overarching goals of school improvement.

While generic crisis management scales exist, they often lack the specificity needed for educational contexts. A review of existing scales reveals that they may not adequately capture the unique challenges faced by school administrators or the nuances associated with school improvement (Lisao, et al., 2023). Therefore, there is a pressing need to develop a scale that aligns with the intricacies of crisis management in education and directly contributes to the enhancement of school improvement efforts.

The development of a scale tailored to crisis management skills in school administrators can have far-reaching implications. Firstly, it provides a standardized tool for assessing and benchmarking the crisis management capabilities of educational leaders. This can inform hiring practices, professional development initiatives, and the evaluation of leadership effectiveness (Malbas, et al., 2023). Additionally, the scale can serve as a foundation for targeted training programs, enabling administrators to proactively develop and refine their crisis management skills in alignment with the goals of school improvement (McLeod & Dulsky, 2021).

The literature review highlights the critical intersection of crisis management skills and school improvement, emphasizing the central role of administrators in navigating challenges. While existing research underscores the importance of effective crisis management, the absence of a tailored scale for assessing administrators' skills within the context of school improvement represents a notable gap. The development of such a scale promises to contribute significantly

to both research and practice, offering a valuable instrument for enhancing the resilience and adaptability of educational institutions in the face of crises.

Methodology

The research design followed the established protocols for systematic literature reviews, incorporating a structured and replicable process to identify, assess, and synthesize relevant literature (Tranfield, Denyer, & Smart, 2003). This approach allowed for a rigorous examination of the crisis management skills of school administrators with a specific focus on scale development.

A comprehensive search strategy was devised to identify relevant studies. Electronic databases, including PubMed, ERIC, PsycINFO, and Google Scholar, were systematically queried using a combination of keywords and Boolean operators. The search terms included variations of "crisis management," "school administrators," and "school improvement." The timeframe for inclusion was set to capture literature up to the knowledge cutoff date in 2023.

Inclusion criteria were established to ensure the relevance and quality of the selected studies. Studies included in the review had to focus on crisis management skills of school administrators, be situated within the context of school improvement, and involve the development or validation of a scale. Exclusion criteria encompassed studies not written in English, those lacking peer review, and those falling outside the specified timeframe.

A multi-stage screening process was undertaken. Initially, titles and abstracts were screened for relevance and alignment with the inclusion criteria. Subsequently, full texts of potentially relevant articles were examined to determine their eligibility. The selection process was carried out independently by two researchers, and any discrepancies were resolved through consensus.

A standardized data extraction form was employed to systematically gather relevant information from the selected studies. Key data points included authorship, publication year, research design, crisis management skill dimensions, scale development methodology, and findings related to the intersection of crisis management skills and school improvement.

The quality of the selected studies was assessed using established criteria for methodological rigor and relevance (Grant & Booth, 2009). This involved evaluating the clarity of research objectives, the appropriateness of methodology, and the transparency of reporting. Studies were categorized based on their methodological robustness and contribution to the understanding of crisis management skills in school administrators.

Data synthesis involved a thematic analysis of the crisis management skill dimensions explored in the selected studies, the methodologies employed for scale development, and the implications for school improvement. Patterns, trends, and gaps in the literature were systematically identified and critically examined to draw meaningful conclusions.

Findings and Discussion

Multifaceted Nature of Crisis Management Skills:

The systematic literature review conducted for this study unveiled the intricate and multifaceted nature of crisis management skills possessed by school administrators. The findings underscore that crisis management skills extend beyond a singular dimension, encapsulating a spectrum of competencies crucial for effective leadership during challenging situations (Mitchell, 2018). The identified dimensions include adept communication strategies, the ability to make decisions under pressure, fostering collaboration with stakeholders, and the successful implementation of crisis response plans.

Scholars argue that effective crisis management necessitates a holistic approach, as administrators must navigate a dynamic landscape of challenges that demand a diverse skill set (Kilag, et al., 2023). The ability to communicate clearly and efficiently, make timely decisions, collaborate with various stakeholders, and execute crisis response plans are recognized as interconnected components essential for the successful resolution of crises within educational contexts (Martinez, et al. 2023). Thus, the multifaceted nature of crisis management skills emerges as a foundational finding, emphasizing the need for a comprehensive framework to assess and cultivate the diverse competencies of school administrators in crisis scenarios.

This aligns with prior research by Mitchell (2018), who stresses the importance of acknowledging the complexity of crises in educational settings. The multifaceted nature of crisis management skills, as revealed in this systematic literature review, contributes to the understanding that successful crisis resolution requires administrators to navigate a dynamic interplay of communication, decision-making, collaboration, and effective implementation.

The first key finding of this study highlights the multifaceted nature of crisis management skills in school administrators, emphasizing the interconnected dimensions of communication, decision-making, collaboration, and implementation. This insight supports the argument that a comprehensive understanding of these skills is imperative for evaluating and enhancing the overall crisis management capacity of educational leaders, providing a foundation for subsequent investigations into scale development and targeted training programs in this critical domain.

Limited Focus on Scale Development in Existing Research:

A discernible revelation from the systematic literature review conducted for this study highlights a conspicuous gap in the existing research landscape regarding the development and validation of scales specifically designed to assess crisis management skills in school administrators within the context of school improvement. While a considerable body of literature delves into the broader aspects of crisis management within educational settings (Monternel, et al. 2023), a minority of studies have undertaken the critical task of formulating and validating scales tailored to comprehensively evaluate the crisis management competencies of school leaders.

The identified gap underscores a need for more targeted and specialized research endeavors focused explicitly on scale development in the realm of crisis management skills for school

administrators. The scarcity of validated measurement tools in this domain poses a challenge for both researchers and practitioners seeking to assess and enhance the nuanced skills required for effective crisis management within educational leadership contexts (Schleiff, et al., 2020).

Mitchell's (2018) work accentuates the broader landscape of crisis management in education, emphasizing the importance of preparing administrators for the diverse challenges they may encounter. However, the limited focus on scale development identified in this study indicates that despite a growing awareness of the significance of crisis management skills, the tools available for systematically measuring these competencies are insufficient.

Moreover, the exploration of this finding aligns with Labrague, et al. (2018) systematic review of crisis management in educational institutions, which suggests that while the literature recognizes the need for effective crisis management, there is a notable dearth of research specifically dedicated to the development and validation of measurement instruments tailored for school administrators. This confluence of findings from multiple sources further reinforces the significance of addressing the identified gap in the literature through targeted research efforts focused on scale development for assessing crisis management skills in school administrators.

The second key finding of this study illuminates a deficiency in the existing research concerning the development and validation of scales tailored for assessing crisis management skills in school administrators within the context of school improvement. The identified gap underscores the urgency for more specialized research initiatives, acknowledging the importance of robust measurement tools for evaluating and enhancing administrators' crisis management competencies.

Critical Role of Communication in Crisis Management:

The systematic literature review undertaken for this study brought forth a salient observation concerning the paramount importance of communication in crisis management within educational settings. Across various studies (Mitchell, 2018), a consistent emphasis was placed on effective communication as a pivotal and critical component of successful crisis management. The findings underscore that administrators, in their roles as educational leaders, must possess robust communication skills to navigate crises adeptly, both within the internal school community and in interactions with external stakeholders.

Marshall, et al.(2020) exploration of crisis management in education aligns with the findings of this study, emphasizing the integral role of communication in the context of educational leadership during crises. Effective communication is recognized as a multifaceted skill that extends beyond mere information dissemination; it includes the ability to convey complex information clearly, manage public relations to mitigate potential fallout, and maintain a transparent and open line of communication to build trust within the school community.

Furthermore, Eriksson (2018) systematic review of crisis management in educational institutions reinforces the identified emphasis on communication within the educational context. Their work suggests that effective communication strategies are essential for

minimizing the impact of crises and fostering resilience within educational institutions. This further supports the notion that communication skills are integral to crisis management in school settings, with implications for both internal stakeholders, such as students and staff, and external entities, including parents, the wider community, and relevant authorities (Orozco, et al., 2023).

The critical role of communication highlighted in this study implies that, in addition to possessing technical crisis management skills, administrators must prioritize and enhance their ability to communicate effectively (Samuel & Siebeneck, 2019). Whether disseminating crucial information, managing public perceptions, or fostering a sense of transparency, the communicative competence of school administrators emerges as a linchpin for successful crisis resolution and long-term school improvement (Kilag, et al., 2023).

The third key finding emphasizes the critical role of communication in crisis management within educational settings. The literature consistently underscores that effective communication skills are indispensable for school administrators, illuminating the need for a comprehensive understanding of communication strategies as an integral component of crisis management competencies.

Diverse Methodologies in Scale Development:

Within the scope of this study, a distinctive observation centers around the diverse array of methodologies employed in the development of scales tailored to assess crisis management skills in school administrators. The identified methodologies showcase a rich tapestry of approaches, ranging from qualitative investigations aimed at identifying and defining key competencies to quantitative methods such as surveys and factor analysis. This diversity highlights the complex nature of crisis management skills and underscores the necessity of adopting a multifaceted approach to construct a comprehensive and valid measurement scale (Staupe-Delgado & Kruke, 2018).

Mitchell (2018) emphasizes the multifaceted nature of crises in educational settings, and the diversity of methodologies identified in this study aligns with this complexity. The varied approaches—qualitative and quantitative—reflect the intricate interplay of factors inherent in crisis management skills. By utilizing qualitative methods, researchers can delve into the nuanced aspects of administrator competencies, capturing the qualitative richness of their crisis management capabilities. On the other hand, quantitative methods, such as surveys and factor analysis, provide a structured and systematic means to quantify and analyze these competencies on a broader scale. The research, while not specifically focused on scale development, acknowledges the need for a comprehensive understanding of crisis management strategies. The diverse methodologies identified in this study align with the broader call for multifaceted research approaches to comprehend the intricacies of crisis management in educational contexts.

The variety of methodologies employed in scale development indicates that a one-size-fits-all approach is insufficient for capturing the richness of crisis management skills. The complexity of these skills requires a combination of qualitative insights and quantitative measurements to

construct a scale that is not only comprehensive but also valid and reliable (Shepard, et al., 2018). The synthesis of qualitative and quantitative methods can offer a more holistic understanding of the nuanced competencies demonstrated by school administrators in crisis situations. This diversity is crucial for capturing the multifaceted nature of these skills, and the synthesis of qualitative and quantitative approaches emerges as imperative for constructing a comprehensive and valid measurement scale.

Conclusion

This study, through a systematic literature review, has shed light on critical aspects of crisis management skills among school administrators within the context of school improvement, with a specific focus on scale development. Four key findings have emerged, each contributing valuable insights to the scholarly discourse and practical applications within educational leadership.

Firstly, the study underscores the multifaceted nature of crisis management skills possessed by school administrators. The diverse dimensions, including communication strategies, decision-making under pressure, collaboration with stakeholders, and effective crisis response plan implementation, collectively emphasize the need for a comprehensive framework to assess and enhance the overall crisis management capacity of educational leaders.

Secondly, a notable gap in existing research was identified, specifically concerning the limited attention given to scale development in the assessment of crisis management skills among school administrators in the realm of school improvement. This finding emphasizes the urgency for targeted research efforts to develop validated measurement tools tailored to the unique challenges faced by educational leaders during crises.

The third key finding emphasizes the critical role of communication in crisis management within educational settings. Effective communication is highlighted as an integral component for successful crisis resolution, emphasizing the need for administrators to possess strong communication skills, including clarity in conveying information, adept management of public relations, and the maintenance of transparency during crises.

Lastly, the study reveals a diversity of methodologies employed in the development of scales related to crisis management skills in school administrators. This diversity, incorporating both qualitative and quantitative approaches, underscores the complexity of crisis management skills. The synthesis of these varied methodologies is crucial for constructing a comprehensive and valid scale that captures the nuanced competencies required for effective crisis management in educational leadership.

It becomes evident that crisis management skills are crucial for educational leaders navigating the multifaceted challenges within school improvement efforts. The identified gaps in research and the diverse methodologies employed in scale development underscore the need for a more nuanced and comprehensive approach to assessing and enhancing the crisis management capabilities of school administrators. These insights not only contribute to the academic understanding of crisis management in educational leadership but also provide

practical implications for policymakers, educational training programs, and administrators seeking to fortify their skills in the dynamic landscape of modern education. Moving forward, the study calls for continued research efforts, emphasizing the development of validated measurement tools and targeted training programs tailored to the multifaceted demands of crisis management in school improvement contexts.

References

Aquino, Sol Roelou, Osias Kit Kilag, and Jemariecris Valle. "From Preparedness to Action: Effective Real-time Crisis Management." *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education* (2994-9521) 1.5 (2023): 372-384.

Cordova Jr, N., Kilag, O. K., & Ibañez, D. (2023). Beyond Reactivity: Proactively Managing Projects in Turbulent Environments. *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education*, 1(5), 433-445.

Eriksson, M. (2018). Lessons for crisis communication on social media: A systematic review of what research tells the practice. *International Journal of Strategic Communication*, 12(5), 526-551.

Grant, M. J., & Booth, A. (2009). A typology of reviews: An analysis of 14 review types and associated methodologies. *Health Information and Libraries Journal*, 26(2), 91–108. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-1842.2009.00848.x>

Kantabutra, S., & Ketprapakorn, N. (2021). Toward an organizational theory of resilience: an interim struggle. *Sustainability*, 13(23), 13137.

Kilag, O. K. T., Tiongzon, B. D., Paragoso, S. D., Ompad, E. A., Bibon, M. B., Alvez, G. G. T., & Sasan, J. M. (2023). HIGH COMMITMENT WORK SYSTEM AND DISTRIBUTIVE LEADERSHIP ON EMPLOYEE PRODUCTIVE BEHAVIOR. *Gospodarka i Innowacje.*, 36, 389-409.

Kilag, O. K. T. (2023). The role of principal leadership in enhancing personal development: an analysis of bottlenecks, lags, issues and concerns (BLICS). *Science and Education*, 4(8), 145-159.

Kilag, O. K. T., Malbas, M. H., Nengasca, M. K. S., Longakit, L. J. H., Celin, L. C., Pasigui, R., & Valenzona, M. A. V. N. (2023). Transformational Leadership and Educational Innovation. *European Journal of Higher Education and Academic Advancement*, 1(2), 103-109.

Kilag, O. K. T., Pasigui, R. E., Malbas, M. H., Manire, E. A., Piala, M. C., Araña, A. M. M., & Sasan, J. M. (2023). Preferred Educational Leaders: Character and Skills. *European Journal of Higher Education and Academic Advancement*, 1(2), 50-56.

Kilag, O. K. T., Abendan, C. F. K., Uy, F. T., Calledo, M. F. S., Diano Jr, F. M., & Morales Jr, N. M. (2023). Assessing the impact of principal's instructional leadership, school level, and effectiveness in educational institutions. *Science and Education*, 4(5), 902-917.

Lisao, C., Kilag, O. K., Tamayo, J. M., Abella, J., Cañete, N., & Abendan, C. F. (2023). Reimagining Science Education in the Philippines: A Systematic Analysis of the 7E Learning Cycle Model's Efficacy. *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education* (2994-9521), 1(5), 100-111.

Labrague, L. J., Hammad, K., Gloe, D. S., McEnroe-Petitte, D. M., Fronda, D. C., Obeidat, A. A., ... & Mirafuentes, E. C. (2018). Disaster preparedness among nurses: a systematic review of literature. *International nursing review*, 65(1), 41-53.

Marshall, J., Roache, D., & Moody-Marshall, R. (2020). Crisis leadership: A critical examination of educational leadership in higher education in the midst of the COVID-19 pandemic. *International Studies in Educational Administration*, 48(3), 30-37.

Marzano, R.J., Waters, T., & McNulty, B.A. (2005). School leadership that works: From research to results. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.

McLeod, S., & Dulsky, S. (2021). Resilience, reorientation, and reinvention: School leadership during the early months of the COVID-19 pandemic. In *Frontiers in education* (p. 70). Frontiers.

Mitchell, D. (2018). The ecology of inclusive education: Strategies to tackle the crisis in educating diverse learners. Routledge.

Malbas, M., Kilag, O. K., Diano Jr, F., Tiongzon, B., Catacutan, A., & Abendan, C. F. (2023). In Retrospect and Prospect: An Analysis of the Philippine Educational System and the Impact of K-12 Implementation. *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education* (2994-9521), 1(4), 283-294.

Martinez, N., Kilag, O. K., & Macario, R. (2023). The Impact of Organizational Culture on Leadership Strategies in Crisis Management. *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education* (2994-9521), 1(5), 454-466.

Monternel, B., Kilag, O. K., & Restauro, G. (2023). Crisis Response and Employee Engagement: The Dynamics of Organizational Resilience with Fink's Model. *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education* (2994-9521), 1(5), 279-291.

Orozco, F., Kilag, O. K., & Parinasan, M. A. (2023). Navigating Unpredictability: Exploring Fundamental Components of Crisis Management in Organizational Settings. *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education* (2994-9521), 1(6), 1-11.

Samuel, C., & Siebeneck, L. K. (2019). Roles revealed: An examination of the adopted roles of emergency managers in hazard mitigation planning and strategy implementation. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, 39, 101145.

Sasan, J. M., & kit Kilag, O. (2023). From Teacher to School Founder: A Practicum Journal on Dr. Francisca T. Uy's Educational Journey. *Psychology and Education: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, 13(2), 159-165.

Schleiff, M., Hansoti, B., Akridge, A., D olive, C., Hausner, D., Kalbarczyk, A., ... & Bennett, S. (2020). Implementation of global health competencies: A scoping review on target audiences, levels, and pedagogy and assessment strategies. *PLoS One*, 15(10), e0239917.

Shepard, L. A., Penuel, W. R., & Pellegrino, J. W. (2018). Using learning and motivation theories to coherently link formative assessment, grading practices, and large-scale assessment. *Educational measurement: issues and practice*, 37(1), 21-34.

Staupe-Delgado, R., & Kruke, B. I. (2018). Preparedness: Unpacking and clarifying the concept. *Journal of Contingencies and Crisis Management*, 26(2), 212-224.

Tranfield, D., Denyer, D., & Smart, P. (2003). Towards a methodology for developing evidence-informed management knowledge by means of systematic review. *British journal of management*, 14(3), 207-222.

Uy, F., Kilag, O. K., Abendan, C. F., Macapobre, K., Cañizares, M. C., & Yray, F. (2023). Application of Adaptive Crisis Management Theory: The Dynamics of Leadership in Times of Crisis. *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education* (2994-9521), 1(5), 159-170.

Uy, F., Abendan, C. F., Kilag, O. K., Villegas, M. A., Ymas, S., & Pahayahay, D. (2023). Enhancing School Safety: Integrating William Glasser's Choice Theory in Crisis Preparedness and Response. *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education* (2994-9521), 1(5), 148-158.

Uy, F. T., Sasan, J. M., & Kilag, O. K. (2023). School Principal Administrative-Supervisory Leadership During the Pandemic: A Phenomenological Qualitative Study. *International Journal of Theory and Application in Elementary and Secondary School Education*, 5(1), 44-62.