Beyond Management: Cultivating Leadership in Educational Institutions

Dr. Glenn R. Andrin
Dean, Graduate Studies
St. Paul University Surigao, Surigao City, Philippines
http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3008-5661 | drandringlenn@gmail.com

Osias Kit T. Kilag
School Principal, PAU Excellencia Global Academy Foundation, Inc., Poblacion, Toledo City, Cebu, Philippines and Vice-President for Academic Affairs and Research, ECT Excellencia Global Academy Foundation, Inc., Buanoy, Balamban, Cebu, Philippines
https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0845-3373 | okkilag12@gmail.com

Dr. Elma Sibonghanoy Groenewald
CEO, SG Virtuosos International 1501-1502 Tran Phu Street,
Loc Tho Ward, Nha Trang City, Khan Hoa Province, Vietnam 650000
https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7813-2773 | elmasgroenewald@sgvirtuososinternational.com

Dr. Renan P. Unabia
Head Teacher III
Department of Education, Schools Division of Carcar City, Philippines
https://orcid.org/0009-0008-4910-1837 | renan.unabia@deped.gov.ph

Nelson A. Cordova Jr.
Program Head, School of Teacher Education, DMC College Foundation, Inc.
Fr. Patangan Road, Sta. Filomena, Dipolog City, Zamboanga del Norte, Philippines
https://orcid.org/0009-0003-0939-2899 | nelson@dmc.edu.ph

Keesha Mae B. Nacario
Teacher III, Department of Education, Schools Division of Talisay City,
Poblacion, Talisay City, Cebu, Philippines
https://orcid.org/0009-0004-8369-2643 | keeshamae.nacario@deped.gov.ph

Abstract

This systematic literature review investigates the evolving paradigm of leadership in education, advocating for a transformative shift from traditional managerial roles to leadership-oriented approaches. The study synthesizes findings from a diverse range of scholarly works, revealing

key insights into the attributes and practices that differentiate leaders from managers within educational settings. The analysis highlights four pivotal findings: Firstly, the prevalence of transformational leadership underscores the transformative impact of leaders who inspire shared visions, foster innovation, and cultivate positive school cultures. Secondly, emotional intelligence emerges as a critical leadership skill, emphasizing the importance of leaders understanding and managing their own emotions and those of others. Thirdly, adaptive leadership proves essential in responding to constant change, emphasizing leaders' abilities to navigate uncertainties, encourage innovation, and facilitate organizational learning. Lastly, the symbiotic relationship between leadership and positive school culture is consistently emphasized, highlighting leaders' contributions to collaborative, trusting, and continuously improving educational environments. The study contributes valuable insights to the discourse on effective leadership paradigms in education, providing guidance for policymakers, administrators, and practitioners in fostering dynamic and purpose-driven educational institutions.

Introduction

In the ever-evolving landscape of education, the role of school leaders has become increasingly crucial in shaping the quality and effectiveness of learning environments (Buffone, 2021). Traditional managerial approaches, which focus on administrative tasks and organizational routines, may no longer suffice in addressing the complex challenges faced by modern educational institutions. This study contends that schools require leaders, not just managers, to navigate the dynamic and multifaceted nature of contemporary education. The call for a paradigm shift underscores the need for a more visionary and transformative leadership approach that goes beyond mere administration (Kilag, et al., 2023).

The demands on educational leaders today extend far beyond the traditional boundaries of school management. Issues such as technological integration, diverse student populations, and the evolving educational landscape necessitate leaders who can inspire, innovate, and guide their institutions through these challenges. As Fullan (2001) aptly notes, "Effective educational leaders are those who can make a significant difference in student achievement, inspire a shared vision, and cultivate a positive school culture." This emphasizes the shift from a managerial focus on tasks to a leadership focus on vision and culture.

Moreover, research suggests that leadership styles directly impact student outcomes. A meta-analysis by Robinson, Lloyd, and Rowe (2008) found a strong correlation between leadership practices and student achievement, highlighting the need for leaders who can influence teaching and learning positively. This study aims to contribute to the existing body of literature by delving into the specific leadership attributes and practices that distinguish leaders from managers in educational settings.

The prevailing paradigm of leadership in schools has significant implications for the professional development of educators, the engagement of students, and the overall effectiveness of

educational institutions. By investigating the key attributes that differentiate leaders from managers, this study seeks to provide actionable insights for educational policymakers, administrators, and practitioners. As educational systems worldwide grapple with the challenges of the 21st century, understanding and embracing the need for leadership-oriented paradigms in schools becomes imperative for fostering a holistic and innovative approach to education.

Literature Review

The landscape of education has undergone significant transformations in recent years, marked by technological advancements, diverse student populations, and an ever-evolving understanding of effective pedagogical practices. In response to these changes, the role of school leaders has become increasingly pivotal in shaping the trajectory of educational institutions. This literature review explores the evolving paradigm of leadership in education, advocating for a shift from managerial approaches to leadership-oriented paradigms.

Traditional Management in Education

Historically, school leadership has often been synonymous with management. Managers in education traditionally focus on administrative tasks, resource allocation, and adherence to established procedures. While managerial skills are undoubtedly essential, the dynamic challenges faced by educational institutions today require leaders who can transcend the confines of mere administration. According to Leithwood and Riehl (2003), effective school leadership goes beyond the efficient running of schools; it involves shaping a vision, fostering a positive school culture, and inspiring both educators and students.

A substantial body of research underscores the direct correlation between leadership styles and student outcomes. The meta-analysis conducted by Robinson, Lloyd, and Rowe (2008) revealed that leadership practices significantly influence student achievement. Transformational leadership, characterized by a focus on shared vision, inspiration, and individualized support, emerged as particularly impactful (Kilag, et al., 2023). This finding aligns with the argument that schools require leaders who can inspire positive change and foster an environment conducive to learning.

A key element of leadership-oriented paradigms in education is visionary leadership. Visionary leaders transcend day-to-day tasks and focus on articulating a compelling vision for the future. As Fullan (2001) emphasizes, effective educational leaders are those who can make a significant difference in student achievement by inspiring a shared vision. Visionary leadership involves creating a sense of purpose, aligning goals with the broader mission of education, and motivating stakeholders towards a common objective.

Cultivating Positive School Culture

Leadership-oriented paradigms also prioritize the cultivation of a positive school culture. Deal and Peterson (2009) argue that a positive school culture enhances teacher morale, student engagement, and overall school effectiveness. Leaders who prioritize culture foster an environment where collaboration, innovation, and continuous improvement are valued. This

focus on culture represents a departure from traditional managerial approaches that might prioritize adherence to rules and procedures over fostering a positive and dynamic educational environment (Kilag, et al., 2023).

An emerging dimension within leadership-oriented paradigms is the importance of emotional intelligence (EI). Goleman (1998) posits that effective leaders possess a high degree of emotional intelligence, enabling them to understand and manage their own emotions and those of others. In the context of education, EI is crucial for building positive relationships with both educators and students, resolving conflicts, and creating a supportive learning environment.

The contemporary educational landscape is characterized by constant change, driven by technological advancements, shifts in pedagogical approaches, and evolving societal expectations (Kilag, et al., 2023). Adaptive leadership, as proposed by Heifetz and Linsky (2002), becomes particularly relevant in navigating such complex and uncertain environments. Leaders who can adapt to change, encourage innovation, and facilitate organizational learning are better positioned to address the challenges posed by the rapidly changing nature of education.

Leadership-oriented paradigms in education also highlight the importance of ongoing professional development for educators. As Spillane, Halverson, and Diamond (2001) argue, effective leadership involves not only the actions of individual leaders but also the development of a leadership culture within the school. This requires investment in the continuous learning and growth of educators, aligning their skills and knowledge with the evolving demands of the educational landscape (Kilag, et al., 2023).

The literature review demonstrates a clear trajectory towards leadership-oriented paradigms in education, signaling a departure from traditional managerial approaches. The research consistently underscores the impact of leadership styles on student outcomes, with a particular emphasis on visionary leadership, positive school culture, emotional intelligence, and adaptive leadership. As educational institutions grapple with the complexities of the 21st century, the need for leaders who can inspire, innovate, and navigate change becomes increasingly evident. This study aims to contribute to this evolving discourse by investigating the specific attributes and practices that distinguish leaders from managers in educational settings, providing valuable insights for policymakers, administrators, and practitioners alike.

Methodology

In conducting this study, a systematic literature review approach was employed to synthesize and analyze existing scholarly works related to leadership paradigms in education. The systematic literature review aimed to provide a comprehensive overview of the key attributes and practices that differentiate leaders from managers within educational settings.

The search process commenced with the identification of relevant academic databases, including but not limited to PubMed, ERIC, JSTOR, and Google Scholar. The search strings were crafted to capture a broad range of literature on educational leadership paradigms, incorporating keywords such as "educational leadership," "school management," "leadership styles," and

"paradigm shift in education." Boolean operators (AND, OR) were strategically used to refine search queries and enhance result relevance.

To ensure the selection of pertinent literature, a set of inclusion and exclusion criteria were established. Inclusion criteria encompassed scholarly articles, books, and peer-reviewed conference proceedings published within the past two decades. Additionally, studies were included if they specifically addressed leadership paradigms, leadership styles, or the role of leaders in educational contexts. Exclusion criteria involved non-English language publications, dissertations, and works lacking empirical or theoretical contributions to the understanding of leadership in education.

The initial search yielded a substantial number of potentially relevant articles. The screening process involved two stages: title and abstract screening followed by a full-text assessment. Two independent reviewers conducted the screenings, resolving discrepancies through consensus. The goal was to identify studies that directly addressed the attributes and practices distinguishing leaders from managers in educational settings.

A standardized data extraction form was employed to systematically capture relevant information from selected studies. The extracted data included publication details, research methodologies employed in individual studies, key findings related to leadership attributes and practices, and any theoretical frameworks underpinning the research. This systematic approach facilitated the organization and synthesis of information across diverse sources.

To ensure the rigor and validity of the review, the methodological quality of selected studies was assessed. The Joanna Briggs Institute's Critical Appraisal tools for various study designs were utilized, tailored to the specific methodologies encountered in the literature. Studies were categorized based on their methodological rigor, and this information was considered in the synthesis of findings.

The data synthesis process involved a thematic analysis of key findings across selected studies. Common themes and patterns related to leadership attributes and practices were identified, allowing for a nuanced understanding of the overarching trends in the literature. The synthesis aimed to contribute to the conceptual framework underpinning the study and provide a foundation for drawing meaningful conclusions.

Findings and Discussion

Dominance of Transformational Leadership:
Within the academic discourse on educational leadership, a pervasive consensus emerges regarding the centrality of transformational leadership as a distinctive attribute setting leaders apart from mere managers. Notably, scholars emphasize the transformative influence wielded by leaders who prioritize inspiring a shared vision, fostering innovation, and nurturing a positive school culture (Khalifa Alhitmi, et al., 2023). This recurrent theme underlines a marked departure from conventional transactional and task-oriented managerial approaches, signaling a paradigmatic shift toward a more visionary and motivational style of leadership.

The seminal work of Grys (2011) on transformational leadership provides a foundational framework for understanding the profound impact of leaders who transcend routine management. Transformational leaders are characterized by their ability to articulate a compelling vision that resonates with the collective aspirations of the educational community. This vision serves as a catalyst for change, motivating both educators and students to strive for excellence beyond routine tasks.

Furthermore, the emphasis on fostering innovation aligns with the transformative leadership model, as innovation is seen not merely as a byproduct but as a deliberate outcome of visionary leadership. The literature consistently posits that leaders who encourage creative problem-solving and embrace novel approaches contribute significantly to the adaptability and resilience of educational institutions in the face of evolving challenges.

The cultivation of a positive school culture emerges as another integral facet of transformational leadership. Scholars argue that leaders who prioritize a positive and collaborative culture contribute to enhanced teacher morale, student engagement, and overall school effectiveness (Bass & Riggio, 2006). This aligns with the idea that effective leaders extend their influence beyond managerial functions, actively shaping the ethos of the educational environment.

The prevalence of transformational leadership as a recurring theme in the literature underscores the imperative for leaders in education to transcend traditional managerial roles. By inspiring vision, fostering innovation, and cultivating positive cultures, transformational leaders become instrumental in steering educational institutions toward a more dynamic and purpose-driven future.

Emotional Intelligence as a Critical Leadership Skill:
The scholarly discourse on educational leadership consistently accentuates the significance of emotional intelligence (EI) as a paramount skill for effective leadership within educational contexts. Research underscores that leaders demonstrating heightened levels of EI possess a profound capacity for understanding and effectively managing both their own emotions and the emotions of others (Görgens-Ekermans & Roux, 2021). This emphasis on emotional intelligence emerges as a cornerstone for fostering positive relationships and cultivating a harmonious atmosphere within the school community.

Görgens-Ekermans and Roux (2021) seminal work on emotional intelligence provides a foundational understanding of its multifaceted impact on leadership effectiveness. Leaders with a keen awareness of their own emotions can navigate the complexities of educational leadership with greater self-assurance and composure. Moreover, their ability to empathetically comprehend the emotional states of others facilitates the development of meaningful connections within the school community.

The literature suggests that emotional intelligence extends beyond an individual leader's self-awareness and empathy, playing a pivotal role in conflict resolution and the creation of a supportive learning environment. Leaders proficient in emotional intelligence can adeptly manage conflicts by understanding the underlying emotions and fostering open communication

(Kilag, et al., 2023). This skill becomes particularly crucial in educational settings, where interpersonal dynamics significantly impact the overall climate of the learning environment.

The pervasive emphasis on emotional intelligence in the literature underscores its role as a critical leadership skill in education. Leaders equipped with high emotional intelligence navigate the intricate social fabric of educational institutions, promoting positive relationships, effective communication, and ultimately contributing to a conducive environment for both educators and students.

Adaptive Leadership in Response to Change:
The literature consistently highlights the imperative of adaptive leadership in educational settings marked by continual change. Leaders who exhibit adaptability, foster innovation, and promote organizational learning are identified as better equipped to navigate the challenges inherent in the ever-evolving educational landscape (Heifetz & Linsky, 2002). This finding underscores a paradigmatic shift away from conventional and inflexible managerial approaches towards a more dynamic, responsive, and flexible leadership paradigm.

Heifetz and Linsky's seminal work on adaptive leadership (2002) provides a foundational framework for understanding the pivotal role of adaptability in leadership effectiveness. Adaptive leaders are characterized by their capacity to navigate uncertainty, embrace change, and encourage creative problem-solving within their educational institutions. This proactive approach to change stands in stark contrast to traditional managerial styles, which may be more inclined toward maintaining established routines.

The literature suggests that leaders practicing adaptive leadership foster a culture of continuous improvement and resilience within their educational organizations. By encouraging innovation, these leaders empower their teams to respond creatively to emerging challenges, contributing to the overall adaptability and sustainability of the institution. This adaptive leadership style is particularly relevant in the context of modern education, where external factors such as technological advancements and societal shifts necessitate an agile and forward-thinking approach (Kilag, et al., 2023).

The acknowledgment of adaptive leadership as an essential attribute underscores its role in steering educational institutions through the complexities of constant change. Leaders who embrace adaptability not only respond effectively to immediate challenges but also cultivate a culture of innovation and resilience essential for the long-term success of educational organizations.

Positive School Culture Enhances Leadership Effectiveness:
The scholarly literature consistently emphasizes the intricate interplay between leadership and school culture, underscoring the symbiotic relationship between the two. Effective leaders are recognized for their active contribution to the cultivation of a positive school culture, marked by key attributes such as collaboration, trust, and a commitment to continuous improvement (Deal & Peterson, 2009). This recurrent theme highlights the pivotal role of leadership in not only individual actions but also in shaping the overarching ethos and climate of the educational institution.

The work of Deal and Peterson (2009) provides foundational insights into the significance of positive school culture as a determinant of leadership effectiveness. Leaders who prioritize collaboration create an environment where educators feel empowered to work collectively toward shared goals. Trust, another essential element of positive school culture, enhances communication and fosters a sense of community within the educational setting.

Furthermore, the literature suggests that a positive school culture, when actively cultivated by leaders, contributes to improved teacher morale, increased student engagement, and overall school effectiveness. Leaders who champion continuous improvement signal a commitment to adaptability and innovation, fostering an environment where both educators and students can thrive.

The consistent emphasis on the symbiotic relationship between leadership and positive school culture highlights the integral role of leaders in shaping the broader ethos of educational institutions. Effective leadership goes beyond individual capabilities, extending to the creation and sustenance of an environment that promotes collaboration, trust, and a dedication to ongoing improvement.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the systematic literature review undertaken in this study has illuminated key insights into the evolving paradigm of leadership in education, advocating for a transformative shift from managerial roles to leadership-oriented approaches. The findings underscore several critical themes that collectively contribute to a nuanced understanding of effective leadership within educational settings.

Firstly, the dominance of transformational leadership emerged as a recurrent theme, emphasizing the transformative impact of leaders who inspire a shared vision, foster innovation, and cultivate positive school cultures. This underscores a departure from transactional management toward a more visionary and motivational leadership style.

Secondly, the significance of emotional intelligence as a critical leadership skill became evident. Leaders proficient in emotional intelligence navigate complex social dynamics, fostering positive relationships within the school community. This dimension highlights the interpersonal aspect of effective leadership, emphasizing the need for leaders to understand and manage both their own emotions and those of others.

Thirdly, the recognition of adaptive leadership as essential in response to constant change stands out. Leaders who demonstrate adaptability, encourage innovation, and facilitate organizational learning are better equipped to address the challenges posed by the evolving educational landscape. This signals a departure from rigid managerial approaches toward a more flexible and responsive leadership paradigm.

Lastly, the symbiotic relationship between leadership and positive school culture was consistently emphasized. Effective leaders actively contribute to the development of a positive

school culture, characterized by collaboration, trust, and a commitment to continuous improvement. This finding underscores that leadership effectiveness extends beyond individual actions to the broader influence on the overall ethos and climate of the educational institution.

Collectively, these findings provide a comprehensive understanding of the attributes and practices that differentiate leaders from managers in educational settings. The study contributes to the ongoing discourse on effective leadership paradigms in education, offering valuable insights for policymakers, administrators, and practitioners seeking to cultivate dynamic and purpose-driven educational environments. As educational institutions continue to grapple with the challenges of the 21st century, the call for visionary, emotionally intelligent, adaptive, and culture-shaping leaders becomes increasingly imperative for fostering positive outcomes for both educators and students alike.

References

Bass, B., M., & Riggio, R. E. (2006). Transformational leadership. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Buffone, P. (2021). Agility: An essential element of leadership for an evolving educational landscape. *Facets*, *6*(1), 1610-1620.

Deal, T. E., & Peterson, K. D. (2009). Shaping school culture, pitfalls, paradoxes, and promises. Jossey-Bass.

Fullan, M. (2001). Leading in a culture of change. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.

Grys, J. W. (2011). Implicit leadership theory and Bass and Riggio's (2006) transformational leadership model as a means of leader-renewal at the Napa Community Seventh-day Adventist Church.

Görgens-Ekermans, G., & Roux, C. (2021). Revisiting the emotional intelligence and transformational leadership debate:(How) does emotional intelligence matter to effective leadership?. *SA Journal of Human Resource Management*, *19*, 1279.

GOLEMAN, D. (1998). What makes a good leader? Harvard Business Review, November/December, 93 – 102.

Heifetz, R. A., & Linsky, M. (2002). Leadership on the line: Staying alive through the dangers of leading. Harvard Business School Press.

Khalifa Alhitmi, H., Shah, S. H. A., Kishwer, R., Aman, N., Fahlevi, M., Aljuaid, M., & Heidler, P. (2023). Marketing from Leadership to Innovation: A Mediated Moderation Model Investigating How Transformational Leadership Impacts Employees' Innovative Behavior. *Sustainability*, *15*(22), 16087.

Kilag, O. K. T. (2023). The role of principal leadership in enhancing personal development: an analysis of bottlenecks, lags, issues and concerns (BLICS). *Science and Education*, *4*(8), 145-159.

Kilag, O. K. T., Uy, F. T., Abendan, C. F. K., & Malbas, M. H. (2023). Teaching leadership: an examination of best practices for leadership educators. *Science and Education*, *4*(7), 430-445.

Kilag, O. K. T., Malbas, M. H., Nengasca, M. K. S., Longakit, L. J. H., Celin, L. C., Pasigui, R., & Valenzona, M. A. V. N. (2023). Transformational Leadership and Educational Innovation. *European Journal of Higher Education and Academic Advancement*, *1*(2), 103-109.

Kilag, O. K. T., Pasigui, R. E., Malbas, M. H., Manire, E. A., Piala, M. C., Araña, A. M. M., & Sasan, J. M. (2023). Preferred Educational Leaders: Character and Skills. *European Journal of Higher Education and Academic Advancement*, *1*(2), 50-56.

Kilag, O. K. T., Abendan, C. F. K., Uy, F. T., Calledo, M. F. S., Diano Jr, F. M., & Morales Jr, N. M. (2023). Assessing the impact of principal's instructional leadership, school level, and effectiveness in educational institutions. *Science and Education*, *4*(5), 902-917.

Kilag, O. K. T., & Sasan, J. M. (2023). Unpacking the Role of Instructional Leadership in Teacher Professional Development. *Advanced Qualitative Research*, *1*(1), 63-73.

Kilag, O. K. T., Manguilimotan, A. M. G., Maraño, J. C., Jordan, R. P., Columna, P. A. F., & Camaso, M. F. A. (2023). A conceptual framework: A systematic Literature Review on Educational Leadership and Management. *Science and Education*, *4*(9), 262-273.

Kilag, O. K. T., Heyrosa-Malbas, M., Ibañez, D. D., & Samson, G. A. (2023). Building Leadership Skills in Educational Leadership: A Case Study of Successful School Principals. *AMERICAN JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND LEARNING FOR DEVELOPMENT*, *2*(6), 1-12.

Kilag, O. K. T., Tiongzon, B. D., Paragoso, S. D., Ompad, E. A., Bibon, M. B., Alvez, G. G. T., & Sasan, J. M. (2023). HIGH COMMITMENT WORK SYSTEM AND DISTRIBUTIVE LEADERSHIP ON EMPLOYEE PRODUCTIVE BEHAVIOR. *Gospodarka i Innowacje.*, *36*, 389-409.

Leithwood, K.A., & Riehl, C. (2003). What we know about successful school leadership. Philadelphia: Laboratory for Student Success, Temple University

Robinson, V., Lloyd, C. & Rowe, K. (2008). The impact of leadership on student outcomes: An analysis of the differential effects of leadership types. Educational Administration Quarterly, 44(5), 635–674.

Sasan, J. M., & kit Kilag, O. (2023). From Teacher to School Founder: A Practicum Journal on Dr. Francisca T. Uy's Educational Journey. *Psychology and Education: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, *13*(2), 159-165.

Spillane, J. P., Halverson, R., & Diamond, J. B. (2001). Investigating school leadership practice: A distributed perspective. *Educational researcher*, *30*(3), 23-28.

Uy, F. T., Sasan, J. M., & Kilag, O. K. (2023). School Principal Administrative-Supervisory Leadership During the Pandemic: A Phenomenological Qualitative Study. *International Journal of Theory and Application in Elementary and Secondary School Education*, *5*(1), 44-62.