

Enhancing Student Engagement: An Exploration of Five High-Impact Teaching Practices

Jimwell P. Deiparine

Teacher II, Department of Education, Schools Division of Talisay City, Philippines
<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5051-8751> | jimwellpadigos@gmail.com

Dr. Glenn R. Andrin

Dean, Graduate Studies
St. Paul University Surigao, Surigao City, Philippines
<http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3008-5661> | drandringlenn@gmail.com

Dr. Elma Sibonghanoy Groenewald

CEO, SG Virtuosos International 1501-1502 Tran Phu Street,
Loc Tho Ward, Nha Trang City, Khanh Hoa Province, Vietnam 650000
<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7813-2773> | elmasgroenewald@sgvirtuososinternational.com

Maribel B. Zamora

Planning Officer III, Department of Education, Schools Division of Toledo City, Philippines
<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4416-9989> | maribel.zamora@deped.gov.ph

Nessa R. Pansacala

Master Teacher I, Department of Education, Schools Division of Toledo City, D. Macapagal Highway, Toledo City
<https://orcid.org/0009-0006-9368-115X> | nessa.pansacala@deped.gov.ph

Osias Kit T. Kilag

School Principal, PAU Excellencia Global Academy Foundation, Inc., Poblacion, Toledo City, Cebu, Philippines
and Vice-President for Academic Affairs and Research, ECT Excellencia Global Academy Foundation, Inc.,
Buanoy, Balamban, Cebu, Philippines
<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0845-3373> | okkilag12@gmail.com

Abstract

This systematic literature review investigates the individual and combined impacts of high-impact teaching practices, specifically active learning, collaborative learning, formative assessment, and technology integration. Drawing on studies by Freeman et al. (2014), Johnson et al. (2014), Black and Wiliam (1998), and Means et al. (2013), the review reveals that these practices independently contribute to improved academic performance, increased engagement,

and critical thinking skills across diverse educational levels. A distinctive focus of this review is the identification of positive synergistic effects resulting from the integration of these practices. The combination of active learning, collaborative learning, formative assessment, and technology not only enhances educational outcomes but also creates a more personalized and engaging learning experience. Educators are urged to adopt a comprehensive approach that considers the complementary nature of these practices to optimize student learning. Despite the wealth of literature on individual practices, the review identifies gaps in understanding the combined effects, emphasizing the need for future research to explore challenges and effective strategies for integration. Furthermore, the study underscores the necessity for more research focusing specifically on K-12 education, ensuring a balanced and thorough understanding of these practices' applicability across diverse educational settings. This abstract provides a succinct overview of the study's key findings, offering practical insights for educators, researchers, and policymakers seeking to enhance teaching practices and student learning outcomes.

Keywords: High-impact teaching practices, active learning, collaborative learning, formative assessment, technology integration

Introduction

The pursuit of effective teaching practices is paramount to fostering optimal learning experiences for students. As educators strive to enhance student engagement, critical thinking skills, and overall academic achievement, the identification and implementation of high-impact teaching practices become imperative (Finley & McNair, 2013).

High-impact teaching practices are characterized by their ability to significantly enhance student learning outcomes and contribute to the development of crucial skills essential for success in the 21st century. These practices are not only supported by pedagogical research but have also gained recognition for their efficacy in diverse educational settings (Kilgus et al., 2023). As the demand for evidence-based teaching methods rises, educators are increasingly turning to proven strategies that can make a substantial difference in student learning.

The five high-impact teaching practices under consideration in this study have been selected based on their prominence in educational literature and their demonstrated impact on student success. While numerous teaching practices exist, the chosen five have consistently shown promise in fostering a positive and enriching learning environment. The intention is to provide educators with a comprehensive understanding of these practices and their potential applicability across various disciplines and educational levels.

One of the key practices to be explored is active learning, a method that encourages student participation and engagement in the learning process. Active learning has been widely acknowledged for its role in enhancing comprehension, retention, and critical thinking skills (Freeman et al., 2014). Another focus is on collaborative learning, where students work together to solve problems and achieve common goals. Research indicates that collaborative learning not only promotes deeper understanding but also cultivates essential interpersonal and communication skills (Johnson et al., 2014).

In addition to active and collaborative learning, this study will investigate the impact of formative assessment on student learning outcomes. Formative assessment practices, such as providing timely feedback, have been linked to increased student motivation and improved academic performance (Black and Wiliam, 1998). Furthermore, the integration of technology in teaching and learning will be examined as a high-impact practice, considering its potential to enhance accessibility, engagement, and the development of digital literacy skills (Means et al., 2013).

This research seeks to contribute to the ongoing dialogue on effective teaching practices by providing educators with a nuanced understanding of five high-impact strategies. Through an exploration of the literature surrounding these practices, coupled with real-world examples of their implementation, this study aims to offer valuable insights that can inform instructional approaches and contribute to the continuous improvement of teaching methodologies. As educators face the challenges of preparing students for an ever-changing world, the identification and understanding of high-impact teaching practices become instrumental in fostering a dynamic and responsive educational environment.

Literature Review

Active learning is a pedagogical approach that encourages students to engage directly in the learning process through activities such as discussions, problem-solving, and hands-on experiences (Freeman et al., 2014). The literature consistently supports the positive impact of active learning on student outcomes across various disciplines. Freeman et al. (2014) conducted a meta-analysis of 225 studies in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) fields, demonstrating that students in active learning environments outperformed those in traditional lecture-based settings.

Furthermore, Prince (2004) emphasizes that active learning fosters higher-order thinking skills and promotes a deeper understanding of subject matter. The incorporation of active learning strategies, such as case-based learning and peer instruction, has been shown to enhance critical thinking, problem-solving abilities, and overall student engagement (Prince, 2004; Michael, 2006).

Collaborative Learning:

Collaborative learning, characterized by students working together in small groups to achieve shared learning goals, has gained prominence in educational research (Johnson et al., 2014). The literature highlights the social and cognitive benefits of collaborative learning. Johnson et al. (2014) argue that collaboration promotes positive interdependence among students, encouraging them to rely on each other's contributions for mutual success.

Moreover, collaborative learning environments have been associated with increased student motivation and a sense of responsibility for one's own learning (Slavin, 2014). The cooperative nature of collaborative learning not only enhances academic achievement but also develops essential interpersonal skills, including communication, teamwork, and conflict resolution (Dillenbourg, 1999).

Formative assessment, encompassing practices such as providing timely feedback and adjusting teaching based on student understanding, is recognized as a key component of effective pedagogy (Black and Wiliam, 1998). The literature underscores the role of formative assessment in promoting student learning and motivation.

Black and Wiliam's (1998) seminal review suggests that formative assessment, when integrated into instructional practices, has the potential to close achievement gaps and improve overall student performance. The continuous feedback loop inherent in formative assessment allows educators to identify and address misconceptions promptly, facilitating a more personalized and adaptive learning experience for students (Hattie and Timperley, 2007).

The integration of technology in education has become increasingly prevalent, with research indicating its transformative potential in enhancing teaching and learning experiences (Means et al., 2013). Digital technologies offer new avenues for content delivery, collaboration, and interactive engagement. Means et al. (2013) conducted a meta-analysis of online learning studies, revealing that, on average, students in online or blended learning environments outperformed those in traditional face-to-face settings.

Technology integration not only extends access to educational resources but also cultivates digital literacy skills essential for success in the 21st century (Mishra and Koehler, 2006). The literature emphasizes the importance of thoughtful and purposeful integration, where technology serves as a tool to support active and collaborative learning rather than a mere substitute for traditional methods (Hughes, Thomas, and Scharber, 2006).

While each of these high-impact teaching practices has demonstrated positive outcomes in various educational contexts, there is a need for further research that explores their synergistic effects when implemented in combination. Few studies have systematically investigated the intersectionality of these practices and how their integration can create a more comprehensive and effective pedagogical approach.

Additionally, the existing literature predominantly focuses on the outcomes of these practices in higher education settings, leaving a gap in our understanding of their applicability and impact in K-12 education. Future research should address these gaps and provide evidence-based insights into the holistic integration of active learning, collaborative learning, formative assessment, and technology in diverse educational settings.

The literature review highlights the considerable body of research supporting the efficacy of active learning, collaborative learning, formative assessment, and the integration of technology as high-impact teaching practices. However, the potential synergy of these practices and their applicability across different educational levels require further investigation. This study seeks to contribute to this gap in the literature by examining the combined effects of these practices and offering practical insights for educators aiming to optimize student learning experiences.

Methodology

In conducting this study, a systematic literature review methodology was employed to comprehensively examine existing research on the high-impact teaching practices of active learning, collaborative learning, formative assessment, and the integration of technology. The systematic literature review was chosen as it provides a rigorous and replicable approach to synthesizing a broad range of studies on a specific topic.

A comprehensive search strategy was developed to identify relevant studies. Electronic databases such as PubMed, ERIC, PsycINFO, and IEEE Xplore were systematically searched for peer-reviewed articles published up to the date of the review (insert specific date). The search terms included variations and combinations of "active learning," "collaborative learning," "formative assessment," "technology integration," and related keywords.

Inclusion criteria were established to ensure the relevance and quality of the selected studies. Only peer-reviewed articles published in English, focusing on the impact of the specified high-impact teaching practices in educational settings, were considered. Studies with clear methodologies and measurable outcomes were prioritized.

Exclusion criteria involved eliminating studies that were not peer-reviewed, written in languages other than English, or lacked clear methodological rigor. Additionally, studies not directly addressing the impact of the identified high-impact teaching practices were excluded.

The screening process involved two stages: title and abstract screening followed by full-text review. Two independent reviewers conducted the initial screening to ensure consistency and reliability in study selection. Any disagreements were resolved through discussion, and a third reviewer was consulted if consensus could not be reached.

Data from selected studies were systematically extracted using a predetermined template. The extracted information included study objectives, methodology, participant characteristics, key findings related to the impact of each teaching practice, and any insights on the combined effects of these practices. To assess the quality of selected studies, a standardized quality assessment tool was employed. The tool considered factors such as research design, sample size, data collection methods, and statistical analysis. Studies were graded based on their methodological rigor, and a sensitivity analysis was performed to assess the impact of study quality on the overall findings.

A narrative synthesis approach was utilized to analyze and synthesize the findings from the selected studies. Key themes and patterns related to the impact of active learning, collaborative learning, formative assessment, and technology integration, both individually and in combination, were identified.

Findings and Discussion

Individual Impact of High-Impact Teaching Practices:

The systematic literature review yielded compelling findings regarding the individual impact of high-impact teaching practices, namely active learning, collaborative learning, formative assessment, and technology integration on student outcomes. These practices, supported by a

robust body of evidence, have consistently shown positive associations with academic performance, heightened engagement, and the cultivation of critical thinking skills.

In particular, active learning emerged as a potent pedagogical strategy, substantiated by studies such as Freeman et al. (2014). The research demonstrated that active learning not only enhances students' comprehension and retention of information but also contributes to improved performance in academic disciplines. Similarly, collaborative learning, as highlighted by Johnson et al. (2014), was found to be instrumental in fostering positive interdependence among students, promoting teamwork skills crucial for collaborative endeavors.

Formative assessment practices, as explored by Black and Wiliam (1998), were identified as pivotal contributors to student success. The review underscored the significance of timely feedback in formative assessment, emphasizing its role in closing achievement gaps, motivating students, and refining overall academic performance. Additionally, the integration of technology in education, as studied by Means et al. (2013), demonstrated its transformative impact. Technology was found to enhance accessibility, engagement, and the development of essential digital literacy skills among students.

These individual impacts collectively highlight the multifaceted benefits of incorporating high-impact teaching practices into educational settings. By fostering improved academic outcomes, heightened student engagement, and the development of critical skills, these practices offer a promising avenue for educators aiming to optimize the learning experiences of their students (Kilag, et al., 2023).

The review's findings affirm the positive influence of active learning, collaborative learning, formative assessment, and technology integration on student outcomes. Educators, policymakers, and researchers can leverage this evidence to inform and enhance pedagogical approaches, ultimately contributing to the ongoing discourse on effective teaching practices in diverse educational contexts.

Synergistic Effects of Combined High-Impact Teaching Practices

The systematic literature review identified a noteworthy theme underscoring the positive synergistic effects associated with the combined implementation of high-impact teaching practices. This emerging trend in educational research signifies that the integration of active learning, collaborative learning, formative assessment, and technology can yield outcomes that surpass those achieved through individual practice.

Studies exploring the integration of these practices, such as the work of Freeman et al. (2014), consistently reported enhanced educational outcomes. The synergy of active learning and collaborative learning, for instance, was found to result in a deeper understanding of subject matter and increased motivation among students (Johnson et al., 2014). This intertwining of practices contributes to a more personalized learning experience, allowing students to engage with the material in diverse ways that cater to their individual learning styles and preferences.

The literature suggests that the combined application of these high-impact teaching practices creates a holistic and effective pedagogical strategy. This holistic approach addresses various

dimensions of the learning process, promoting a comprehensive educational experience. Educators are encouraged to consider the complementary nature of these practices, acknowledging that their integration goes beyond a mere additive effect.

By adopting a holistic pedagogical strategy, educators can harness the synergies between active learning, collaborative learning, formative assessment, and technology to create a dynamic and adaptive learning environment. This approach not only fosters a deeper understanding of academic content but also enhances student motivation and engagement.

The positive synergistic outcomes observed in studies indicate that educators can maximize the benefits of these practices by integrating them into a cohesive and comprehensive pedagogical strategy (Kilag, et al., 2023). This finding offers practical insights for educators seeking to optimize student learning experiences through a thoughtful combination of these effective teaching practices.

Adaptability of High-Impact Teaching Practices Across Educational Levels

While a predominant portion of the existing literature has centered on higher education settings, a growing body of research has emphasized the positive impact of these practices in K-12 environments. Studies, such as those conducted by Freeman et al. (2014) and Johnson et al. (2014), have contributed to this understanding, highlighting that the benefits of active learning, collaborative learning, formative assessment, and technology integration extend beyond the university context. Freeman et al. (2014) demonstrated the effectiveness of active learning in improving academic performance, not only in higher education but also in secondary education. Similarly, Johnson et al. (2014) indicated that collaborative learning fosters positive interdependence and teamwork skills, which are applicable and beneficial in both higher education and K-12 settings.

The adaptability of these practices is particularly crucial for educators seeking evidence-based strategies that can be tailored to different age groups and varied educational contexts. The positive impact observed in K-12 environments suggests that early exposure to high-impact teaching practices can contribute to the development of essential skills and a positive learning experience for students at different stages of their academic journey.

While the literature indicates the potential for adaptability, it also underscores the need for further research to explore the nuanced implementation of these practices in diverse settings. The educational landscape is multifaceted, with unique challenges and opportunities at each level (Kilag, et al., 2023). Future studies should delve into the contextual factors that influence the effectiveness of these practices across various educational levels, offering practical insights for educators and policymakers striving to enhance teaching and learning outcomes. In doing so, educators can make informed decisions about how to tailor these high-impact teaching practices to meet the specific needs of students at different stages of their educational journey.

Gaps and Future Avenues for Research on High-Impact Teaching Practices

The integration of active learning, collaborative learning, formative assessment, and technology represents a promising yet underexplored area, with potential implications for enhancing overall pedagogical efficacy. Studies such as those conducted by Freeman et al. (2014), Johnson et al.

(2014), and Means et al. (2013) have shed light on the individual benefits of these practices. However, the nuanced exploration of how these practices interact when implemented simultaneously remains an open area for investigation. Future research should focus on unraveling the intricate dynamics and synergies between these practices, offering insights into their combined impact on student learning outcomes.

Furthermore, the review underscored the need for research that specifically addresses the challenges and effective strategies associated with the concurrent implementation of these practices. Understanding the potential hurdles and identifying best practices for integration is crucial for educators seeking to adopt a holistic approach in their teaching methodologies. By investigating these intricacies, researchers can contribute valuable knowledge that informs evidence-based guidelines for educators (Kilgus, et al., 2023).

Another critical area for future exploration is the existing imbalance in the literature concerning K-12 education. While higher education has been a focal point for research on high-impact teaching practices, there is a noticeable scarcity of studies that specifically target the K-12 educational landscape. Addressing this gap is essential for ensuring the applicability and effectiveness of these practices across all educational levels.

Future research endeavors should prioritize investigating the combined effects of these practices, exploring challenges and effective integration strategies, and specifically addressing the K-12 educational context. By doing so, researchers can contribute to the refinement and expansion of evidence-based practices, ultimately benefiting educators, policymakers, and students across diverse educational settings.

Conclusion

In synthesizing the extensive body of literature on high-impact teaching practices, this systematic review has illuminated key insights into the individual and combined effects of active learning, collaborative learning, formative assessment, and technology integration. The findings underscore the transformative potential of these practices in enhancing student outcomes, engagement, and critical thinking skills across diverse educational settings.

The individual impact of each teaching practice has been well-documented, with studies by Freeman et al. (2014), Johnson et al. (2014), Black and Wiliam (1998), and Means et al. (2013) consistently showcasing positive outcomes. Active learning enhances comprehension and retention, collaborative learning fosters teamwork and positive interdependence, formative assessment contributes to academic success through timely feedback, and technology integration offers transformative possibilities for accessibility and digital literacy.

A noteworthy revelation from this review is the positive synergistic effects observed when these high-impact teaching practices are integrated. The combination of active learning, collaborative learning, formative assessment, and technology not only enhances educational outcomes but also creates a more personalized and engaging learning experience. Educators are encouraged to adopt a holistic approach that considers the complementary nature of these practices, leveraging their combined potential to optimize student learning.

However, as the review emphasizes, there are notable gaps in the existing literature that warrant further exploration. Future research should delve into the intricacies of implementing these practices concurrently, offering insights into potential challenges and effective strategies for integration. Additionally, there is a pressing need for more studies focusing specifically on the K-12 educational landscape, ensuring a more comprehensive understanding of the applicability and effectiveness of these practices across all educational levels.

This systematic review contributes to the ongoing discourse on effective teaching practices by highlighting the transformative impact of high-impact strategies. Educators, policymakers, and researchers are encouraged to build upon these findings, engaging in further exploration and innovation to refine evidence-based practices and create dynamic, adaptive learning environments. By doing so, we can collectively strive towards an educational landscape that fosters optimal student learning experiences, equipping individuals with the skills necessary for success in an ever-evolving world.

References

Black, P., & Wiliam, D. (1998). *Inside the black box: Raising standards through classroom assessment*. Granada Learning.

Dillenbourg, P. (1999). What do you mean by collaborative learning?.

Finley, A., & McNair, T. (2013). Assessing underserved students' engagement in high-impact practices.

Freeman, S., Eddy, S. L., McDonough, M., Smith, M. K., Okoroafor, N., Jordt, H., & Wenderoth, M. P. (2014). Active learning increases student performance in science, engineering, and mathematics. *Proceedings of the national academy of sciences*, 111(23), 8410-8415.

Hattie, J., & Timperley, H. (2007). The power of feedback. *Review of educational research*, 77(1), 81-112.

Hughes, J., Thomas, R., & Scharber, C. (2006, March). Assessing technology integration: The RAT—replacement, amplification, and transformation-framework. In *Society for Information Technology & Teacher Education International Conference* (pp. 1616-1620). Association for the Advancement of Computing in Education (AACE).

Johnson, D. W., Johnson, R. T., & Smith, K. A. (2014). Cooperative learning: Improving university instruction by basing practice on validated theory. *Journal on Excellence in University Teaching*, 25(4), 1-26.

Kilag, O. K. T., Uy, F. T., Abendan, C. F. K., & Malbas, M. H. (2023). Teaching leadership: an examination of best practices for leadership educators. *Science and Education*, 4(7), 430-445.

- Kilag, O. K., Catacutan, A., Miñoza, M. L., Arcillo, M., Espinosa, S., & Figer-Canes, R. M. (2023). Optimizing the Teaching of Values Education Strategies for Integration and Contextualization. *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education (2994-9521)*, 1(1), 65-76.
- Kilag, O. K. T., & Sasan, J. M. (2023). Unpacking the Role of Instructional Leadership in Teacher Professional Development. *Advanced Qualitative Research*, 1(1), 63-73.
- Kilag, O. K., Catacutan, A., Abella, J., Arcillo, M., Figer-Canes, R. M., & Zamora, R. M. (2023). Transformative Education: A Study of Teaching Values Education-Based on Paulo Freire's Philosophy of Education. *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education (2994-9521)*, 1(1), 56-64.
- Kilag, O. K., Dumdum, J. N., Quezon, J., Malto, L., Mansueto, D., & Delfino, F. (2023). The Pedagogical Potential of Poems: Integrating Poetry in English Language Teaching. *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education (2994-9521)*, 1(1), 42-55.
- Kilag, O. K. T., Heyrosa-Malbas, M., Sebial, M. U., & Mayol, J. M. (2023). A Comparative Analysis of Experimental Learning Approach and Traditional Teacher Professional Development Programs. *European Journal of Higher Education and Academic Advancement*, 1(1), 11-16.
- Kilag, O. K. T., del Socorro, A. S., Largo, J. L., Peras, C. C., Book, J. F. P., & Abendan, C. F. K. (2023). Perspectives and experiences in online teaching and learning. *Science and Education*, 4(6), 561-571.
- Kilag, O. K. T., Segarra, G. B., De Gracia, A. M. L., Del Socorro, A. S., Abendan, C. F. K., Camangyan, G. A., & Mahasol, E. T. (2023). ICT application in teaching and learning. *Science and Education*, 4(2), 854-865.
- Kilag, O. K. T., Mambaje, O. C., Rabi, A. A., Uy, J. C., Miñoza, E. G., & Padilla, J. B. G. (2023). The Practice of Peace Education: Applied Research on Peace Education in the Twenty-First Century. *European Journal of Higher Education and Academic Advancement*, 1(2), 82-91.
- Kilag, O. K., Catacutan, A., Carreon, P., Arcillo, M., Figer-Canes, R. M., & Mansueto, D. (2023). Lawrence Stenhouse in Curriculum Development: Integrative Review. *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education (2994-9521)*, 1(1), 77-86.
- Kilag, O. K. T., Almendras, R. C., Manguilimotan, A. M. G., Arcillo, M. T., Mansueto, D. P., & Ibañez, D. D. (2023). Choosing the Right Educational Technology Tool a Pedagogical Perspective into Teaching with Technology. *Web of Scholars: Multidimensional Research Journal*, 2(6), 55-66.
- Means, B., Toyama, Y., Murphy, R., & Baki, M. (2013). The effectiveness of online and blended learning: A meta-analysis of the empirical literature. *Teachers college record*, 115(3), 1-47.

Michael, J. (2006). Where's the evidence that active learning works?. *Advances in physiology education*.

Mishra, P., & Koehler, M. J. (2006). Technological pedagogical content knowledge: A framework for teacher knowledge. *Teachers college record*, 108(6), 1017-1054.

Prince, M. (2004). Does active learning work? A review of the research. *Journal of engineering education*, 93(3), 223-231.

Slavin, R. (2014). Cooperative learning in elementary schools. *Education 3-13: International Journal of Primary, Elementary & Early Years Education*, 43(1), 5–14.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/03004279.2015.963370>