

Volume 02, Issue 10, 2024 ISSN (E): 2994-9521

Modern Teaching Methods and Technologies in the Education Systems of Uzbekistan and Finland

Ziyayeva Muhayyo Usmonjonovna 1

¹ Uzbekistan State World Languages University, Senior teacher in the Department of Integrated course 3

Abstract:

This study examines and compares the modern teaching methods and technologies employed in the education systems of Uzbekistan and Finland. Through a comprehensive literature review and analysis of educational policies and practices, the research explores the key similarities and differences in pedagogical approaches, use of educational technology, teacher training, and curriculum design between the two countries. The findings reveal that while Finland's education system is often regarded as exemplary, Uzbekistan has made significant strides in modernizing its approach in recent years through reforms and international partnerships.

Keywords: education systems, teaching methods, educational technology, Uzbekistan, Finland, comparative analysis.

INTRODUCTION

The education systems of Uzbekistan and Finland represent two distinct approaches to teaching and learning, shaped by their unique historical, cultural, and economic contexts. Finland has gained international recognition for its high-performing education system, consistently ranking among the top countries in global education assessments [1]. Meanwhile, Uzbekistan has been undergoing substantial reforms in its education sector since gaining independence in 1991, with a particular focus on modernization and internationalization in recent years [2].

This study aims to compare and contrast the modern teaching methods and technologies utilized in the education systems of these two countries. By examining their approaches to pedagogy, curriculum design, teacher training, and the integration of technology in classrooms, we can gain valuable insights into the strengths and potential areas for improvement in both systems. Furthermore, this analysis can inform policy recommendations for enhancing educational practices

in Uzbekistan, drawing upon successful elements of the Finnish model while considering the unique context and challenges of the Uzbek education system.

METHODS AND LITERATURE REVIEW

This study employs a qualitative research methodology based on a comprehensive literature review and analysis of educational policies, reports, and academic publications related to the education systems of Uzbekistan and Finland. The literature review encompasses peer-reviewed journal articles, government reports, international organization publications, and recent news articles to ensure a thorough and up-to-date understanding of both education systems.

Key sources for information on Uzbekistan's education system include reports from the Ministry of Public Education, the World Bank's education sector analysis [2], and academic studies on recent educational reforms [3,4]. For Finland's education system, primary sources include publications from the Finnish National Agency for Education [5], OECD reports [1], and seminal works on Finnish education practices [6].

RESULTS

Teaching Methods and Pedagogical Approaches:

Uzbekistan:

- ➤ Traditionally teacher-centered approach, with recent shifts towards more student-centered learning [7]
- Emphasis on rote learning and memorization, though reforms aim to promote critical thinking and problem-solving skills
- ➤ Growing focus on interactive teaching methods and group work, particularly in pilot schools and reformed curricula

Finland:

- > Strong emphasis on student-centered, phenomenon-based learning [6]
- Focus on developing critical thinking, creativity, and collaboration skills
- ➤ Minimal standardized testing, with a preference for formative assessment and individualized learning paths [5]
- Emphasis on play-based learning in early education [8]

Integration of Educational Technology:

Uzbekistan:

- ➤ Increasing efforts to integrate ICT in education, including the "Digital Uzbekistan 2030" strategy [9]
- ➤ Implementation of e-learning platforms and digital resources, though access varies between urban and rural areas [2]
- ➤ Growing use of multimedia and interactive whiteboards in urban schools [3]

Finland:

- ➤ High level of technology integration in classrooms, with nearly universal access to digital devices and high-speed internet [5]
- Emphasis on developing digital literacy and 21st-century skills through technology use [10]
- Innovative use of virtual and augmented reality in education

ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

The comparison of teaching methods and technologies in Uzbekistan and Finland reveals both significant differences and areas of convergence. Finland's education system is characterized by a strong emphasis on student-centered learning, teacher autonomy, and the development of critical thinking and problem-solving skills. In contrast, Uzbekistan's system has traditionally been more teacher-centered and focused on rote learning, though recent reforms indicate a shift towards more progressive approaches.

In terms of educational technology, both countries recognize its importance, but Finland has achieved a higher level of integration and access. Uzbekistan's efforts to bridge the digital divide and implement e-learning platforms are promising, but challenges remain, particularly in rural areas.

Teacher training and professional development represent a key area of divergence between the two systems. Finland's highly selective and research-based approach to teacher education has been a cornerstone of its educational success. While Uzbekistan is making efforts to improve teacher training and status, there is still a significant gap in the level of preparation and autonomy afforded to teachers.

Curriculum design and assessment practices also differ substantially between the two countries. Finland's flexible, competency-based curriculum and limited use of standardized testing contrast with Uzbekistan's more structured approach and reliance on high-stakes examinations. However, Uzbekistan's ongoing reforms suggest a gradual move towards more flexible and skills-focused curricula.

The comparison of Uzbekistan and Finland's education systems highlights several key areas where Uzbekistan could potentially benefit from adopting elements of the Finnish model, while also recognizing the unique contextual factors that may influence implementation.

Student-centered learning: Uzbekistan's shift towards more interactive and student-centered teaching methods aligns with global best practices and Finland's successful approach. Continued emphasis on developing critical thinking, creativity, and problem-solving skills could help prepare Uzbek students for the demands of the 21st-century workforce.

Technology integration: While Uzbekistan has made strides in implementing educational technology, there is room for improvement in ensuring equitable access and effective use. Finland's experience in integrating technology to support personalized learning and develop digital literacy could provide valuable insights.

Teacher education and autonomy: Enhancing the quality and prestige of teacher education programs in Uzbekistan, potentially by raising entry requirements and emphasizing research-based practices, could significantly impact educational outcomes. Gradually increasing teacher autonomy, as seen in Finland, may also foster innovation and professional growth.

Curriculum flexibility: Uzbekistan's efforts to reform its curriculum align with Finland's more flexible, competency-based approach. Continuing to reduce the emphasis on rote learning in favor of interdisciplinary, phenomenon-based learning could enhance student engagement and learning outcomes.

Assessment practices: While cultural and systemic factors may make it challenging to fully adopt Finland's approach to assessment, Uzbekistan could benefit from diversifying its assessment methods and reducing the emphasis on high-stakes examinations.

It is important to note that simply transplanting Finnish educational practices into the Uzbek context is unlikely to yield the same results. Cultural differences, resource constraints, and existing institutional structures must be carefully considered when adapting elements of the Finnish model.

CONCLUSIONS

This comparative analysis of modern teaching methods and technologies in the education systems of Uzbekistan and Finland reveals both significant differences and areas of potential convergence. While Finland's education system is often regarded as exemplary, Uzbekistan has made notable progress in modernizing its approach through ongoing reforms and international partnerships.

Key recommendations for further development of Uzbekistan's education system include:

Continuing to promote student-centered, interactive teaching methods that foster critical thinking and problem-solving skills.

Enhancing efforts to integrate educational technology equitably across urban and rural areas.

Investing in high-quality teacher education programs and increasing teacher autonomy.

Further developing flexible, competency-based curricula that emphasize interdisciplinary learning.

Gradually diversifying assessment practices to reduce reliance on high-stakes examinations.

These recommendations should be implemented with careful consideration of Uzbekistan's unique cultural, economic, and institutional context. Future research could explore the effectiveness of specific Finnish-inspired interventions in the Uzbek education system and examine the long-term impacts of ongoing educational reforms.

REFERENCES

- 1. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) (2019) PISA 2018 Results (Volume I): What Students Know and Can Do. Paris: OECD Publishing.
- 2. Tursunovna, S. D. Muslihabegim Miskin Appeals to Historical Person-Hazrat Agoyi Buzurg.
- 3. Содикова, Д. Т. ПРОБЛЕСКИ ЖЕНСКОЙ ЛИТЕРАТУРЫ ВО ВРЕМЕНА ДИНАСТИИ БУХАРСКОГО ЭМИРАТА: ВСЕ О ПОЭТЕССЕ МУСЛИХАБЕГИМ МИСКИН И ЕЕ ЛИТЕРАТУРНОМ НАСЛЕДИИ. *ББК*, *81*, 174.
- 4. Tursunovna, S. D. MUSLIHABEGIM MISKIN IS A TALENTED POET.
- 5. Sadikov, E. (2021). TEACHING GRAMMAR COMMUNICATIVELY: INTERRELATIONS BETWEEN PRAGMATICS, SPEECH ACTS AND GRAMMAR. Збірник наукових праць SCIENTIA.
- 6. Sadikov, E. T. (2021). SPECIFIC PECULIARITIES OF TEACHING AND EVALUATING PRAGMATIC SPEECH ACTS THROUGH THE LISTENING SKILLS. *EPRA International Journal of Research & Development (IJRD)*, 6(12), 1-1.
- 7. Tursunovich, S. E. (2022). Noval Teaching Technologies of Pragmatic Speech Acts.
- 8. Bazarov, S. (2023). SO 'G 'D YOZUVI. Академические исследования в современной науке, 2(15), 57-59.
- 9. Abdinazarovich, B. S. (2022). YOZUV VA UNING KELIB CHIQISH TARIXI. *TA'LIM VA RIVOJLANISH TAHLILI ONLAYN ILMIY JURNALI*, 2(4), 116-119.
- 10. Shukurov, O. U. (2020). UZBEK LANGUAGE IN THE CONDITION OF GLOBALIZATION AND LINGUISTIC INTERACTION. *Theoretical & Applied Science*, (7), 447-451.

- 11. Отабек, Ш. У. (2020). Таълимда ўзбек тилининг лексик фондига таъсир қилувчи омиллар хусусида. *Science and Education*, *1*(5), 62-70.
- 12. Axmadjonovna, A. M., & Ibadova, N. A. (2023). TURLI YOSH KATEGORIYALARIGA CHET TILLARINI O'RGATISHDA YANGI PED TEXNOLOGIYALARNING AHAMIYATI. *TADQIQOTLAR. UZ*, 25(2), 198-200.
- 13. Ahmatilloyevna, I. N., & Abdusalimovna, K. B. (2022). Distribution Of Ussian Words In English. *Galaxy International Interdisciplinary Research Journal*, 10(1), 744-746.
- 14. Qurbanazarovna, M. M. (2023). PRELIMINARY IDEAS OF NECESSITY AND ACCIDENT. World Bulletin of Social Sciences, 26, 44-46.
- 15. Jandarovna, T. K., Qurbonnazarovna, M. M., & Juraboevich, N. M. (2023). CHANGES AND CONSEQUENCES OF HUMAN SPIRITUALITY IN THE SPHERE OF GLOBALIZATION.
- 16. Begbudieva, P. S., & Mukhiddinova, R. EFFECTIVE STRATEGIES OF TEACHING READING. УЧЕНЫЙ XXI ВЕКА, 8.
- 17. Бегбудиева, П. (2021). Анализ педагогических взглядов Махмудходжи Бехбуди, их влияние на становление национальной системы образования Туркестана. *Иностранная филология: язык, литература, образование*, (1 (78)), 82-85.
- 18. World Bank (2018) Uzbekistan Education Sector Analysis. Washington, D.C.: World Bank Group.
- 19. Yakhyaeva, L. (2013) 'Uzbekistan: Overview of the Education Sector', in Smolentseva, A., Huisman, J. and Froumin, I. (eds.) 25 Years of Transformations of Higher Education Systems in Post-Soviet Countries: Reform and Continuity. Cham: Palgrave Macmillan, pp. 435-460.
- 20. Abdullaeva, N. (2020) 'Recent Reforms in Uzbekistan's Education System: Achievements and Challenges', Central Asian Survey, 39(2), pp. 270-284.