



## Building Capacity, Driving Impact: A Holistic Approach to School Leader Development

### **Mercenisa C. Piala**

Campus Administrator, University of the Visayas Toledo City Campus, Philippines

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6467-1546> | [toledocampus@uv.edu.ph](mailto:toledocampus@uv.edu.ph)

### **Osias Kit T. Kilag**

School Principal, PAU Excellencia Global Academy Foundation, Inc., Philippines

Vice-President for Academic Affairs and Research, ECT Excellencia Global Academy Foundation, Inc., Philippines

<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0845-3373> | [okkilag12@gmail.com](mailto:okkilag12@gmail.com)

### **Dr. Julianie L. Abella**

Teacher III, Department of Education, Schools Division of Toledo City, Philippines

<https://orcid.org/0009-0000-1640-7196> | [julanieabellalife@gmail.com](mailto:julanieabellalife@gmail.com)

### **Dr. Elma S. Groenewald**

CEO, SG Virtuosos International 1501-1502 Tran Phu Street,

Loc Tho Ward, Nha Trang City, Khan Hoa Province, Vietnam 650000

<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7813-2773> | [elmasgroenewald@sgvirtuososinternational.com](mailto:elmasgroenewald@sgvirtuososinternational.com)

### **Nelson A. Cordova Jr.**

Program Head, School of Teacher Education, DMC College Foundation, Inc.,

Fr. Patangan Road, Sta. Filomena, Dipolog City, Zamboanga del Norte, Philippines

<https://orcid.org/0009-0003-0939-2899> | [nelson@dmc.edu.ph](mailto:nelson@dmc.edu.ph)

### **Dr. Frolomea Narra Techo Tañiza**

District in Charge / PIV, Department of Education

SDO Baybay, Brgy. Gaas, Diversion Road, Baybay City Leyte

<https://orcid.org/0009-0001-2904-5240> | [frolomeanarra.taza@deped.gov.ph](mailto:frolomeanarra.taza@deped.gov.ph)

### **Abstract**

This systematic literature review explores the multifaceted landscape of "Building School Leader Capacity for Impact." Drawing upon diverse studies, the review identifies key strategies to enhance the capacity of school leaders, underscoring their direct influence on educational outcomes. The findings reveal that professional development, including sustained and job-embedded initiatives, serves as a cornerstone for capacity building, aligning leaders with the

dynamic challenges of educational leadership. Additionally, the transformative potential of distributed leadership models emerges, emphasizing the benefits of shared responsibility and decentralized decision-making within school communities. Contextualized approaches to capacity building stand out as a vital finding, emphasizing the need to tailor strategies to the unique challenges of individual educational settings. This departure from a one-size-fits-all approach recognizes the diverse needs of schools and communities. The review also highlights the enduring impact of capacity-building initiatives on student outcomes, with sustained efforts correlating positively with lasting achievements. The synthesis of these findings informs evidence-based practices for educational stakeholders, fostering effective leadership that positively influences student experiences and outcomes. The review calls for a renewed commitment to continuous learning, collaborative leadership, and contextually grounded strategies as essential components in the journey towards educational excellence.

*Keywords:* School leadership, Leader capacity building, Professional development, Distributed leadership

## Introduction

In the dynamic landscape of contemporary education, the role of school leaders has become increasingly pivotal in shaping the quality and effectiveness of educational institutions. The success of a school is intricately tied to the capacities of its leaders, who bear the responsibility of navigating complex challenges, fostering a positive learning environment, and driving continuous improvement (Catacutan, et al., 2023). As educational systems strive to meet the demands of an ever-evolving society, there is a growing recognition of the need to enhance school leader capacity to effectively lead and inspire positive change. The term "capacity" in this context refers to the amalgamation of knowledge, skills, attitudes, and dispositions that enable school leaders to make informed decisions, cultivate a collaborative culture, and foster innovation within their educational institutions.

Research in the field underscores the correlation between effective school leadership and improved student outcomes (Robinson, Lloyd, & Rowe, 2008). School leaders are not only responsible for administrative tasks but also play a crucial role in setting the vision, establishing a positive school culture, and implementing strategies that promote student success. Therefore, understanding and enhancing the capacity of school leaders is fundamental to creating a foundation for impactful leadership that directly contributes to positive educational outcomes.

Despite the acknowledged importance of school leader capacity, there exists a gap in the literature regarding the specific strategies and interventions that effectively contribute to its development (Malbas, et al., 2023). Furthermore, the study seeks to explore the contextual factors that influence the effectiveness of capacity-building initiatives, recognizing that educational environments are diverse and multifaceted. By understanding the unique challenges faced by school leaders in different settings, this research endeavors to provide insights that can inform tailored strategies for capacity development, thereby contributing to the advancement of educational leadership practices.

This study aims to contribute valuable insights into effective strategies for developing the capacity of school leaders, ultimately enhancing their ability to positively impact the educational experiences of students and the overall success of educational institutions.

## **Literature Review**

In the ever-evolving landscape of education, the role of school leaders has garnered increasing attention due to its direct impact on the quality and effectiveness of educational institutions. The term "school leader capacity" encompasses a complex set of attributes, skills, and knowledge that empower leaders to navigate the challenges of educational leadership successfully. This literature review explores existing research on building school leader capacity, focusing on the importance of effective leadership in promoting positive educational outcomes.

### **Importance of School Leadership**

Research consistently highlights the pivotal role of school leadership in shaping the academic and social development of students (Leithwood et al., 2004). Effective school leaders are instrumental in setting a compelling vision for their institutions, fostering a positive school culture, and implementing strategies that lead to improved student achievement (Robinson et al., 2008). The impact of leadership on student outcomes is evident across various educational settings and underscores the need for investing in the development of school leader capacity.

The multifaceted nature of school leader capacity encompasses several key dimensions. Hallinger and Heck (2010) identified three major dimensions: cognitive, social, and psychological. The cognitive dimension involves the acquisition of knowledge and skills, including problem-solving, decision-making, and strategic planning. The social dimension emphasizes the importance of interpersonal skills and the ability to build and sustain positive relationships with various stakeholders. The psychological dimension pertains to the personal dispositions and attitudes that contribute to effective leadership, such as resilience and a growth mindset.

One prominent avenue for building school leader capacity is through targeted professional development initiatives. Successful professional development programs provide leaders with opportunities to enhance their knowledge, acquire new skills, and engage in reflective practices. The National Staff Development Council (now Learning Forward) emphasizes the need for continuous, job-embedded professional development that is directly connected to improving student learning outcomes (NSDC, 2001).

Furthermore, a meta-analysis by Yoon et al. (2007) underscores the positive impact of professional development on student achievement, with a notable emphasis on sustained and collaborative learning experiences for educators. For school leaders, this highlights the importance of ongoing, contextually relevant professional development that addresses the unique challenges faced in their specific educational settings.

### **Distributed Leadership Models**

Distributed leadership models have gained prominence as effective approaches for building school leader capacity. Spillane, Halverson, and Diamond (2004) argue that leadership is not confined to a single individual but is distributed across various roles within a school community. This approach emphasizes collaboration and shared responsibility among staff members, empowering leaders at all levels to contribute to the overall success of the institution.

Moreover, a study by Harris (2008) suggests that distributed leadership can enhance the capacity of school leaders by leveraging the collective expertise and talents of the entire staff. By fostering a culture of collaboration and distributed decision-making, school leaders can build a more responsive and adaptable organization.

While the importance of building school leader capacity is evident, it is crucial to acknowledge the impact of contextual factors on the effectiveness of capacity-building initiatives. Every educational setting is unique, presenting its own set of challenges and opportunities. Diano Jr, et al. (2023) argue that effective leadership practices must be contextually grounded, considering the specific needs and characteristics of the school and its community.

Challenges in building school leader capacity may include resistance to change, resource constraints, and the need for sustained commitment from all stakeholders. Fullan (2001) emphasizes the significance of addressing these challenges through a comprehensive approach that combines capacity-building initiatives with a supportive policy environment.

To assess the impact of capacity-building initiatives on school leaders, it is essential to consider both qualitative and quantitative measures. Leithwood and Riehl (2003) highlight the importance of examining changes in leadership behavior, school culture, and student outcomes. Quantitative studies can provide valuable insights into the correlation between specific capacity-building interventions and measurable improvements in student achievement.

A longitudinal study by Waters, Marzano, and McNulty (2003) provides evidence of the long-term impact of leadership development programs on student achievement. Their research suggests that sustained efforts in building school leader capacity result in positive outcomes over time, emphasizing the need for ongoing and comprehensive initiatives.

While existing literature provides valuable insights, there remains a need for further research to advance our understanding of effective strategies for building school leader capacity. Future studies could explore the impact of emerging technologies, such as artificial intelligence and data analytics, on leadership practices (Kilag, et al., 2023). Additionally, investigating the transferability of successful capacity-building models across diverse cultural and educational contexts would contribute to the development of universally applicable frameworks.

Building school leader capacity is a multifaceted and dynamic process that requires a comprehensive understanding of the dimensions involved. The literature reviewed highlights the significance of effective school leadership in driving positive educational outcomes. Professional development, distributed leadership models, and a consideration of contextual factors emerge as key components in the endeavor to enhance school leader capacity. As education continues to

evolve, ongoing research in this field is crucial for informing evidence-based practices that empower school leaders to navigate the complexities of educational leadership successfully.

## Methodology

The methodology employed in this study involved a systematic literature review conducted to comprehensively explore and synthesize existing research on the topic of "Building School Leader Capacity for Impact." The systematic review process followed established guidelines and consisted of several key stages.

The first step in the systematic literature review was to formulate a clear and focused research question. The research question guided the identification of relevant studies and ensured the review's alignment with the study's objectives. The primary research question for this systematic literature review was: "What are the effective strategies and interventions for building school leader capacity, and how do they impact overall school effectiveness?"

A systematic search strategy was developed to identify relevant studies from academic databases, journals, and other scholarly sources. Keywords and search terms related to "school leader capacity," "leadership development," and "educational effectiveness" were used in combination with Boolean operators to refine search queries. The inclusion and exclusion criteria were established to ensure the selection of studies directly related to the research question.

Several academic databases were selected for the systematic review, including but not limited to PubMed, ERIC, PsycINFO, and Education Source. These databases were chosen based on their relevance to educational leadership, capacity building, and related fields.

The screening process involved two stages: title and abstract screening followed by full-text assessment. During the title and abstract screening, studies were initially screened based on their relevance to the research question. Subsequently, full-text articles were assessed to determine their eligibility for inclusion in the review. Inclusion criteria encompassed studies that focused on strategies and interventions for building school leader capacity and their impact on overall school effectiveness.

A systematic data extraction process was employed to gather relevant information from the selected studies. This included details such as study design, sample characteristics, interventions or strategies employed, key findings, and implications for school leader capacity building.

A quality assessment was conducted to evaluate the methodological rigor of the selected studies. Established criteria for assessing the quality of different study designs (e.g., randomized controlled trials, qualitative studies) were applied to ensure the inclusion of high-quality evidence in the review.

The findings from the selected studies were synthesized and analyzed to identify common themes, patterns, and trends related to effective strategies for building school leader capacity. This process involved a qualitative synthesis of the key findings, providing a comprehensive overview of the state of the literature on the topic.

## Findings and Discussion

### **Professional Development: A Cornerstone for Building School Leader Capacity**

One of the central findings derived from the systematic literature review on "Building School Leader Capacity for Impact" emphasizes the critical role of professional development in enhancing the capacity of school leaders. The literature consistently highlights professional development as a cornerstone, offering diverse and impactful strategies for capacity building. This finding is supported by insights from various studies, with the reviewed literature providing valuable perspectives on effective approaches.

Professional development, encompassing a spectrum of activities such as workshops, mentorship programs, and participation in ongoing learning communities, emerged as a multifaceted strategy. The significance of these initiatives lies not only in the acquisition of new knowledge and skills but also in their application to real-world leadership scenarios. This aligns with the National Staff Development Council's (NSDC) emphasis on job-embedded professional development, which is a dynamic and contextually relevant approach to learning (NSDC, 2001).

The literature consistently points to the effectiveness of sustained professional development programs in achieving positive outcomes in school leadership. Continuous and job-embedded learning experiences were found to be particularly impactful, allowing school leaders to engage with evolving educational landscapes and apply newfound knowledge directly within their leadership roles (Yoon et al., 2007). This underscores the importance of not viewing professional development as a one-time event but rather as an ongoing process that evolves with the changing needs of educational contexts.

Citations from NSDC (2001) and Yoon et al. (2007) lend empirical support to the notion that sustained professional development is integral to the capacity-building process. The NSDC's guidelines for professional development align with the idea that effective learning experiences should be directly connected to improving student learning outcomes. Yoon et al.'s meta-analysis reinforces the positive correlation between ongoing, collaborative professional development and improved student achievement, underscoring the enduring impact of continuous learning for school leaders.

The literature consistently highlights professional development as a foundational element in the endeavor to build school leader capacity. The multifaceted nature of professional development, coupled with its sustained and job-embedded characteristics, positions it as a dynamic strategy for fostering effective leadership. As school leaders navigate the complexities of their roles, continuous learning through professional development emerges as an essential component, contributing to improved leadership practices and, ultimately, positive outcomes for students.

### **Distributed Leadership: A Catalyst for School Leader Capacity Enhancement**

A key finding emerging from the systematic literature review underscores the significant impact of distributed leadership models in augmenting the capacity of school leaders. The literature consistently affirms the efficacy of distributed leadership, revealing its positive influence on leadership outcomes within educational settings. Supported by studies such as those by Spillane

et al. (2004) and Harris (2008), this finding sheds light on the transformative potential of fostering a culture of shared responsibility and collaboration among school staff.

The reviewed literature provides compelling evidence that schools cultivating a culture of shared responsibility experience tangible improvements in leadership outcomes. The decentralization of decision-making, a hallmark of distributed leadership, emerged as a crucial factor contributing to a more responsive and adaptive school environment. When leadership responsibilities are distributed among staff members, it not only alleviates the burden on a single leader but also harnesses the collective expertise and commitment of the entire school community (Spillane et al., 2004).

The study by Harris (2008) further supports the notion that distributed leadership enhances school leader capacity. By examining schools that embraced distributed leadership practices, Harris found that such models fostered collaboration and synergy among staff members. The promotion of collective leadership, as opposed to a traditional top-down approach, allowed schools to tap into the diverse talents and perspectives of their educators, leading to more effective and responsive leadership outcomes (Kilag, et al., 2023).

The cultivation of a collaborative culture and the decentralization of decision-making contribute to a more adaptive and responsive educational environment. Supported by empirical evidence from studies such as those by Spillane et al. (2004) and Harris (2008), distributed leadership emerges as a transformative approach that harnesses the collective potential of school communities, ultimately enhancing leadership outcomes within educational institutions.

### **Contextualized Approaches to School Leader Capacity Building**

Within the systematic literature review nuanced and crucial finding underscores the significance of adopting contextualized approaches in the process of building school leader capacity. This discovery, supported by studies such as those conducted by Pak, et al. (2020), sheds light on the necessity of tailoring interventions to address the unique challenges and characteristics inherent in individual educational settings.

Day, et al. work (2016) emphasizes the importance of leadership practices that are grounded in the specific context of each school. Successful interventions, according to their research, are those that take into account the diverse needs, challenges, and characteristics of schools and communities. This finding challenges the notion of a one-size-fits-all approach, acknowledging that effective strategies for building school leader capacity must be adapted to the specific contexts in which educational leaders operate.

The literature suggests that school environments vary widely, and as such, a tailored approach to capacity building is essential. Recognizing and addressing the unique challenges faced by each school allows for the development of leadership practices that are not only effective but also sustainable over the long term. Contextualized strategies consider factors such as the socio-economic background of students, community dynamics, and the specific challenges faced by the educational institution (Kilag, et al., 2023).

The study by Timperley and Alton-Lee (2008) exemplifies the importance of aligning leadership practices with the unique context of each school. This finding prompts a shift away from standardized approaches, highlighting the need for interventions that are sensitive to the diverse needs of schools and communities. By acknowledging and addressing the intricacies of individual educational settings, strategies for building school leader capacity become more relevant, meaningful, and ultimately, more successful.

### **Sustained Impact: The Long-Term Effects of School Leader Capacity Building**

A significant revelation from the systematic literature review on "Building School Leader Capacity for Impact" centers on the enduring impact of capacity-building initiatives on student outcomes. The literature consistently emphasizes the importance of adopting a longitudinal perspective to gauge the sustained effects, with studies such as the comprehensive work by Waters, Marzano, and McNulty (2003) providing empirical evidence for the correlation between ongoing efforts in building school leader capacity and lasting positive effects on student achievement.

The longitudinal study conducted by Waters, Marzano, and McNulty (2003) stands out as a notable reference, showcasing the enduring impact of sustained capacity-building initiatives. The findings from this research demonstrate a strong correlation between continuous efforts in developing the capacity of school leaders and positive outcomes in student achievement over an extended period. This reinforces the notion that capacity building should be perceived as a continual process rather than a short-term intervention, underscoring the need for sustained and deliberate efforts in this realm.

Understanding the long-term impact of capacity-building initiatives has significant implications for educational policymakers and practitioners (Kilag, et al., 2023). The findings suggest that short-term interventions may not fully capture the transformative potential of capacity building. Instead, a commitment to ongoing professional development, contextualized leadership practices, and collaborative efforts is essential for realizing sustained positive effects on student outcomes.

The literature review highlights the enduring impact of capacity-building initiatives on student outcomes. The study by Waters, Marzano, and McNulty (2003) exemplifies the importance of sustained efforts in building school leader capacity, providing empirical evidence for the long-term correlation with positive student achievement. This finding underscores the need for a shift in perspective, emphasizing capacity building as an ongoing and integral component of educational leadership practices, rather than a transient intervention.

### **Conclusion**

The systematic literature review on "Building School Leader Capacity for Impact" has provided a comprehensive exploration of key insights and findings in the realm of educational leadership. The synthesis of diverse research illuminated several pivotal aspects, each contributing to a nuanced understanding of how to enhance school leader capacity and, consequently, foster positive impacts on educational outcomes.

The first noteworthy finding accentuated the centrality of professional development in building school leader capacity. Supported by empirical evidence (NSDC, 2001; Yoon et al., 2007), the literature underscores the multifaceted nature of professional development, advocating for sustained and job-embedded learning experiences. This finding accentuates the importance of continuous learning for school leaders, aligning their skills and knowledge with the dynamic challenges of educational leadership.

Secondly, the review highlighted the transformative potential of distributed leadership models. Backed by studies such as those by Spillane et al. (2004) and Harris (2008), the literature substantiates the positive impact of collaborative, shared leadership practices. Fostering a culture of shared responsibility and decentralizing decision-making emerged as crucial factors contributing to a more responsive and adaptive school environment. This underlines the significance of leveraging the collective expertise within a school community.

Furthermore, the necessity of contextualized approaches to capacity building emerged as a nuanced and vital finding. Informed by studies like those conducted by Louis and Leithwood (2012), the literature emphasizes that effective leadership practices must be tailored to address the unique challenges and characteristics of individual educational settings. This underscores a departure from a one-size-fits-all approach, recognizing the diverse needs of schools and communities.

Lastly, the review unveiled the enduring impact of capacity-building initiatives on student outcomes. With empirical support from the longitudinal study by Waters, Marzano, and McNulty (2003), the literature underscores the need to view capacity building as a continual process. Sustained efforts in developing school leader capacity were shown to correlate with lasting positive effects on student achievement, emphasizing the significance of a long-term commitment to capacity-building initiatives.

This systematic literature review provides a holistic view of strategies for building school leader capacity. The synthesis of findings emphasizes the interconnectedness of professional development, distributed leadership, contextualized approaches, and sustained efforts. Moving forward, educational stakeholders can draw upon these insights to inform evidence-based practices, fostering effective leadership that, in turn, positively impacts the educational experiences and outcomes of students. The journey towards educational excellence continues with a renewed focus on nurturing and empowering school leaders for sustained, meaningful impact.

## References

Catacutan, A., Kilag, O. K., Diano Jr, F., Tiongzon, B., Malbas, M., & Abendan, C. F. (2023). Competence-Based Curriculum Development in a Globalized Education Landscape. *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education* (2994-9521), 1(4), 270-282.

Day, C., Gu, Q., & Sammons, P. (2016). The impact of leadership on student outcomes: How successful school leaders use transformational and instructional strategies to make a difference. *Educational administration quarterly*, 52(2), 221-258.

Diano Jr, F., Kilag, O. K., Malbas, M., Catacutan, A., Tiongzon, B., & Abendan, C. F. (2023). Towards Global Competence: Innovations in the Philippine Curriculum for Addressing International Challenges. *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education* (2994-9521), 1(4), 295-307.

Harris, A. (2008). Distributed leadership: Developing tomorrow's leaders. *New York*.

Harris, A. (2008). Distributed leadership: According to the evidence. *Journal of educational administration*, 46(2), 172-188.

Heck, R. H., & Hallinger, P. (2010). Collaborative leadership effects on school improvement: Integrating unidirectional-and reciprocal-effects models. *The Elementary School Journal*, 111(2), 226-252.

Kilag, O. K. T., Malbas, M. H., Nengasca, M. K. S., Longakit, L. J. H., Celin, L. C., Pasigui, R., & Valenzona, M. A. V. N. (2023). Transformational Leadership and Educational Innovation. *European Journal of Higher Education and Academic Advancement*, 1(2), 103-109.

Kilag, O. K. T., Diano Jr, F. M., Malbas, M. H., Mansueto, D. P., Villar, S. P., & Arcillo, M. T. (2023). The role of servant leadership in creating a positive school climate. *Science and Education*, 4(5), 933-942.

Kilag, O. K. T., Malbas, M. H., Miñoza, J. R., Ledesma, M. M. R., Vestal, A. B. E., & Sasan, J. M. V. (2023). The Views of the Faculty on the Effectiveness of Teacher Education Programs in Developing Lifelong Learning Competence. *European Journal of Higher Education and Academic Advancement*, 1(2), 92-102.

Kilag, O. K. T., Pasigui, R. E., Malbas, M. H., Manire, E. A., Piala, M. C., Araña, A. M. M., & Sasan, J. M. (2023). Preferred Educational Leaders: Character and Skills. *European Journal of Higher Education and Academic Advancement*, 1(2), 50-56.

Kilag, O. K. T., Heyrosa-Malbas, M., Ibañez, D. D., & Samson, G. A. (2023). Building Leadership Skills in Educational Leadership: A Case Study of Successful School Principals. *AMERICAN JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND LEARNING FOR DEVELOPMENT*, 2(6), 1-12.

Kilag, O. K. T., Heyrosa-Malbas, M., Sebial, M. U., & Mayol, J. M. (2023). A Comparative Analysis of Experimental Learning Approach and Traditional Teacher Professional Development Programs. *European Journal of Higher Education and Academic Advancement*, 1(1), 11-16.

Kilag, O. K. T., Uy, F. T., Abendan, C. F. K., & Malbas, M. H. (2023). Teaching leadership: an examination of best practices for leadership educators. *Science and Education*, 4(7), 430-445.

Leithwood\*, K., Jantzi, D., Earl, L., Watson, N., Levin, B., & Fullan, M. (2004). Strategic leadership for large-scale reform: the case of England's national literacy and numeracy strategy. *School Leadership & Management, 24*(1), 57-79.

Leithwood, K., & Riehl, C. (2003, April). What do we already know about successful school leadership. In *annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Chicago, IL* (Vol. 22).

Malbas, M., Dacanay, L., & Kilag, O. K. (2023). The Synergy Between Generative Grammars and Literary Style: A Chomskyan Perspective. *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education (2994-9521), 1*(5), 73-84.

Malbas, M., Kilag, O. K., Diano Jr, F., Tiongzon, B., Catacutan, A., & Abendan, C. F. (2023). In Retrospect and Prospect: An Analysis of the Philippine Educational System and the Impact of K-12 Implementation. *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education (2994-9521), 1*(4), 283-294.

National Staff Development Council. (2001). Standards for Staff Development (Revised). Oxford, OH: NSDC.

Pak, K., Polikoff, M. S., Desimone, L. M., & Saldívar García, E. (2020). The adaptive challenges of curriculum implementation: Insights for educational leaders driving standards-based reform. *AERA Open, 6*(2), 2332858420932828.

Robinson, V. M., Lloyd, C. A., & Rowe, K. J. (2008). The impact of leadership on student outcomes: An analysis of the differential effects of leadership types. *Educational administration quarterly, 44*(5), 635-674.

Spillane, J. P., Halverson, R., & Diamond, J. (2004). Towards a theory of leadership practice: A distributed perspective. *Journal of Curriculum Studies, 36*(1), 3-34.

Spillane, J. P., & Sherer, J. Z. (2004, April). A distributed perspective on school leadership: Leadership practice as stretched over people and place. In *Annual meeting of the American education association, San Diego, CA*.

Timperley, H., & Alton-Lee, A. (2008). Reframing teacher professional learning: An alternative policy approach to strengthening valued outcomes for diverse learners. *Review of research in education, 32*(1), 328-369.

Waters, T., Marzano, R. J., & McNulty, B. (2003). Balanced Leadership: What 30 Years of Research Tells Us about the Effect of Leadership on Student Achievement. A Working Paper.

Yoon, K. S., Duncan, T., Lee, S. W. Y., Scarloss, B., & Shapley, K. L. (2007). Reviewing the evidence on how teacher professional development affects student achievement. issues & answers. rel 2007-no. 033. *Regional Educational Laboratory Southwest (NJ1)*.