

Linguistic Analysis and Description of Poetic Text

Babaxanov Laziz Abdullaxanovich¹

¹ Angren State University

Abstract:

This article analyzes the essence of poetic text as a special form of literary text. Definitions of poetic text given by scholars are examined, and its significance from a linguistic perspective is highlighted. Various studies related to poetic text are also reviewed.

Keywords: poetic text, literary text, linguistics, poetic semantics, phonetic poetics, rhyme, rhythm.

Introduction. In linguistics and literary studies, literary text is significant as a type of text that manifests the multifaceted capabilities of language through its unique characteristics, form, and style. Literary texts are divided into prose and poetry. Poetic text holds a special place due to its unique structure, high capacity for expressing emotions, and extensive use of artistic and aesthetic devices.[1]

Poetic text is the central link of any literary process, with its main function not only to convey information but also to promote emotions and moral values expressed at an artistic level. Its lexical, grammatical, phonetic, and stylistic features play a crucial role. The wide use of rhyme, rhythm, metaphor, epithet, allegory, symbolism, and other artistic devices highlights its distinctiveness.[2]

Literature Review. It is known that poetic text is a product of verbal art, formed through a certain rhythm, rhyme, and artistic devices, containing spiritual and aesthetic content. It reveals the musicality of language, the process of emotions, and the harmony of meanings. It not only conveys information but also evokes emotions in the reader, creates artistic images, and establishes a dialogue with the soul and spirit. Each line is a song of the heart, a river of musicality and emotions in words, serving as an expressive means of elevating inner experiences.[3]

Linguists and literary scholars have defined poetic text in various ways. V.V. Vinogradov considers poetic text as a "specific form of artistic speech," while Yu.M. Lotman describes it as a "semiotic system," emphasizing its symbolic nature. Uzbek literary scholars N.M. Mallaev and I. G'afurov recognize poetic text as a "special structural form of literary text." [4]

Methodology. This article employs a comprehensive scientific approach to analyze poetic text. The study applies historical-analytical methods to explore the development history of poetic text and its changes across different periods. A comparative method is used to identify differences between poetic and prose texts and to analyze poetic texts in various languages and cultures. [5]Linguopoetic analysis is applied to study phonetic, morphological, and syntactic features, as well as rhythm and rhyme structures. Cognitive analysis is used to explore the formation of poetic images and their perception by human cognition. This methodological approach allows for a holistic and thorough examination of poetic text from multiple perspectives.[6]

Discussion and Analysis. The linguistic analysis of poetic text enables an understanding of its unique structure and semantic composition. Poetic discourse follows specific rules and norms that play an essential role in understanding literary processes and their significance in society. [7] Today, the study of poetic text through cognitive linguistics, corpus analysis, semiotics, and linguopoetics has become a pressing issue.

The linguistic features of poetic text can be analyzed based on the following principles:

1. **Phonetic Poetics:** This concept in linguistics focuses on the rhythmic properties of poetic text, examining how sound and tone in poetry enhance its expressiveness. Scholars such as R. Jakobson, Sh. Rahmatullaev, A. Muhammadiyev, J. Jamolov, and N. Norqulov have explored the interconnection of phonetic and rhythmic units in poetry and their impact on meaning.[8]
2. **Poetic Semantics:** This field studies the semantic layers of poetic texts, including metaphors, symbols, and their cognitive composition. M. Lakoff and J. Johnson's cognitive linguistic research has demonstrated that metaphors significantly influence human thinking and are more prevalent in poetic texts.[9]
3. **Comparative Poetics:** The similarities and differences of poetic texts across different cultures and languages are analyzed to enhance the understanding of global linguistic and literary traditions. Scholars such as Yu.M. Lotman and M.M. Bakhtin have developed comparative literature methods to analyze the intertextual characteristics of poetic texts. Sh. Umarov and A. Abduazizov have studied artistic devices in Uzbek and world poetry through comparative analysis.[10]
4. **Computational and Corpus Linguistics:** Poetic texts are examined through computational linguistic research, which includes automatic rhyme and rhythm detection, semantic analysis, and syntactic modeling. Institutions such as Oxford and MIT conduct corpus-based linguistic studies to analyze the syntactic and phonetic properties of poetic texts.[11]
5. **Cognitive Linguistics:** This field explores how poetic images, emotions, and thought mechanisms are connected to cognitive processes, providing deeper insights into artistic cognition. Scholars such as A.A. Zalevskaya have studied the cognitive and emotional impact of poetic texts, arguing that metaphors and images in poetry form associations in the mind and enhance memorization. Uzbek scholars A.A. Rasulov and Z.Kh. Yoldosheva have examined the cognitive aspects of emotions in poetic texts.[12]
6. **Translation Studies:** The challenges of preserving artistic and semantic features of poetic texts in translation are analyzed. G. Turneyzen and A. Komissarov have proposed theoretical principles for maintaining phonetic, rhythmic, and semantic harmony in poetic translations. Uzbek scholars M. Qosimov and H. Khudoyberdiyev have conducted research on translating Alisher Navoi, Furqat, Hamid Olimjon, and other poets' works.[13]

These analyses demonstrate that poetic and prose texts are two fundamental forms of literary expression, each with its distinctive role and function. The main differences between them are:

- **Structure and Form:** Poetic text follows specific artistic rules such as rhythm, rhyme, and stanza structure, whereas prose is written in free form without such constraints.[14]
- **Meaning and Semantics:** Poetic text exhibits high semantic density, where a single word may carry multiple meanings, while prose generally presents ideas more explicitly and directly.
- **Emotional and Figurative Aspects:** Poetic text is rich in artistic devices and highly expressive, whereas prose focuses more on storytelling and factual information with less emphasis on emotions.
- **Phonetic Features:** Poetic text extensively uses alliteration, assonance, and rhythmic harmony, which are not primary elements in prose.
- **Language and Stylistic Differences:** Poetic text utilizes metaphors, symbols, hyperbole, and similes, while prose prioritizes clarity, logical consistency, and direct expression.

These distinctions highlight the linguistic uniqueness of poetic texts.[15]

Conclusion. Poetic text is a unique form of artistic speech that should be studied not only in linguistics but also in literary studies, cognitive linguistics, corpus analysis, and semiotics. Its linguistic study helps reveal its internal and external structures, meaning layers, and mechanisms of artistic impact. This underscores the necessity of examining poetic text as a high-value aesthetic phenomenon.

Modern linguistics is advancing towards corpus analysis, cognitive modeling, and translation theory in the study of poetic texts. Research in poetic semantics, comparative poetics, and computational linguistics provides deeper insights into the social and cultural significance of poetry.

Therefore, studying poetic text is crucial not only for linguistics but also for preserving cultural heritage, analyzing literary processes, and understanding socio-psychological phenomena. Further research using new analytical methods will yield valuable scientific contributions to the fields of linguistics and literary studies.

REFERENCES

1. Y. M. Lotman, Analysis of Poetic Texts. Saint Petersburg: Art, 1992.
2. S. Umarbekov, Artistic Symbols in Uzbek Poetry. Tashkent: University Publishing, 2005.
3. A. A. Rasulov, Cognitive Linguistics and Literary Text Analysis. Tashkent: Ilm-Fan, 2012.
4. A. A. Zalevskaya, Cognitive Processes and Poetic Texts. Moscow: Languages of Slavic Cultures, 2012.
5. M. I. T. Language и P. A. R. Group, Computational Analysis of Poetic Structure. Cambridge: MIT Press, 2020.
6. S. Umarov и A. Abduazizov, Foundations of Comparative Literature Studies. Tashkent: Fan va Texnologiya, 2010.
7. N. M. Mallaev, History of the Uzbek Literary Language. Tashkent: Fan, 1976.
8. R. Jakobson, Linguistics and Poetics. Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1910.
9. Z. K. Yuldosheva, Metaphor and Its Cognitive Analysis in Uzbek Poetry. Tashkent: Akademyashr, 2018.
10. G. Lakoff и M. Johnson, Metaphors We Live By. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1980.
11. V. V. Vinogradov, On the Language of Fiction. Moscow: Nauka, 1971.
12. M. Kosimov, On the Translation of Alisher Navoi's Ghazals. Tashkent: Fan, 1999.

13. N. Norqulov, Poetic Text Analysis in Corpus Linguistics. Tashkent: Ilm-Fan, 2018.
14. A. Mukhammadiev, Rhyme and Rhythm in Uzbek Poetry. Tashkent: University Publishing, 1995.
15. A. V. Komissarov, Translation Theory. Moscow: Higher School, 1990.