

# The Importance of Academic Freedom in Uzbekistan's Higher Education System and its Impact on Educational Quality

---

**Mukhamedova Mokhigul Khusanovna**

Independent Researcher, Tashkent State University of Economics, Uzbekistan

E-mail: [m.muxamedova@tsue.uz](mailto:m.muxamedova@tsue.uz)

---

## Abstract:

This article examines the concept, legal framework, and practical outcomes of academic freedom in Uzbekistan's higher education system. Academic freedom and university autonomy are considered to be foundational pillars for enhancing educational quality. The article highlights the positive effects of institutional independence in developing curricula and conducting research. Uzbekistan's experience is compared with international models, particularly those of the United States, Europe, and Canada. The study analyzes how governance, financial, and academic autonomy influence the quality of education in foreign higher education institutions. It is argued that academic freedom allows universities to fully realize and express their intellectual potential.

**Keywords:** Academic Freedom, University Autonomy, Education Quality, International Experience, Higher Education Reforms

## Introduction

In recent years, Uzbekistan's higher education system has undergone a profound phase of reform, prioritizing the improvement of educational quality, the preparation of competitive specialists, and the achievement of international integration. At the core of these reforms lies the principle of academic freedom. Large-scale efforts are underway to modernize higher education, enhance teaching quality, and strengthen research capacity[1]. As a result, the number of higher education institutions (HEIs) and the gross enrollment ratio have increased dramatically. For instance, the

number of HEIs rose from 77 in 2016 to 211 by 2024, including 116 public universities, 67 private institutions, and 30 foreign branches. This expansion has led to an increase in higher education coverage from 9% to 43%, granting thousands of young people access to tertiary education in a relatively short period [2], [3].

The transformative changes in the education system were accompanied by the establishment of foreign university branches, the emergence of private institutions, and increased admission quotas. Furthermore, critical legal documents were adopted to improve educational quality and institutional management [4]. Notably, the "Concept for the Development of the Higher Education System in the Republic of Uzbekistan until 2030," approved in 2019, set the strategic direction to align higher education with international standards. On December 24, 2021, presidential decrees granted public universities organizational and financial independence, thereby broadening their institutional authority. These legal instruments not only aimed at addressing systemic challenges but also enhancing the international standing of Uzbek universities.

Most importantly, in 2023, the newly adopted Constitution of Uzbekistan formally enshrined the right to academic freedom for higher education institutions [5], [6]. According to Article 51 of the Constitution, "Higher education institutions shall have the right to academic freedom, self-governance, freedom of research, and freedom of teaching in accordance with the law." This constitutional provision elevated academic freedom to a central democratic value in the country [7].

Academic freedom refers to the ability of higher education institutions to organize educational and research processes independently, without bureaucratic interference, and to design academic programs tailored to their specific needs [8], [9]. It also includes the right to determine teaching methods and durations. In other words, academic freedom signifies a departure from centralized, command-driven systems and supports innovation and responsiveness to market demands.

To better understand these developments, it is essential to compare Uzbekistan's progress with that of selected developed countries. The following sections analyze academic freedom practices and their influence on educational quality in the United States, Europe, and Canada.

#### International comparative analysis

One of the most effective mechanisms ensuring academic freedom in the United States higher education system is the tenure system. Tenure is the process by which faculty members receive permanent academic appointments after a probationary period of productive teaching and research. A tenured professor cannot be dismissed without cause, except in cases of severe disciplinary violations or extraordinary financial circumstances [10]. The system is designed to protect scholars' freedom of thought and research, contributing significantly to the quality of education and innovation in academia. Professors with tenure can express their views openly and pursue bold ideas, enriching the intellectual climate of their institutions.

Although tenure has not yet been implemented in Uzbekistan, encouraging long-term employment contracts and strengthening the social protection of academic staff can promote independent scholarly work. The U.S. experience shows that guaranteeing job security and academic stability is essential for fostering academic freedom. Uzbekistan may benefit from exploring similar mechanisms in the future [11].

In European countries, academic freedom is strongly tied to the principle of university autonomy. This refers to the institutional right of universities to independently manage their internal affairs, including curriculum development, faculty appointments, budget allocation, and research direction. Across countries such as the United Kingdom, Germany, and France, universities operate under legal frameworks that grant them substantial autonomy [12]. For instance, British universities are governed by independent councils and enjoy a high degree of freedom from direct government interference, while receiving strategic public funding. German and French universities similarly operate based on

internal statutes and manage their academic and research affairs independently.

The European University Association (EUA) assesses university autonomy across four dimensions: organizational, financial, staffing, and academic autonomy. In Europe, academic freedom and autonomy are upheld at the policy level. For example, a resolution by the Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe emphasizes that academic freedom and university autonomy should be protected by law, ideally at the constitutional level [13], [14]. In developed countries, academic freedom is regarded as a core component of academic culture, allowing faculty members to conduct research and publish results without censorship. Uzbekistan's constitutional recognition of academic freedom can be reinforced by adopting European best practices for implementation and self-governance [15], [16].

Canada also presents a distinctive model in promoting academic freedom and university autonomy. While most Canadian universities receive public funding from provincial governments, they operate as legally independent institutions. Each university is governed by a Board of Governors and a Senate, which exercises broad institutional authority. Since education falls under provincial jurisdiction, each province assumes responsibility for ensuring the independence of its universities [17], [18]. The Canadian model includes financial and organizational autonomy, allowing institutions to manage their budgets, recruit faculty, and develop academic programs within the framework of government standards [19].

This model allows universities to respond flexibly to local priorities and global trends. Canadian universities consistently rank among the top 100 globally—such as the University of Toronto and McGill University—due in large part to their autonomous management and creative academic environment. The Canadian experience illustrates the importance of governance structures that empower institutions and support academic innovation [20].

In summary, each country has developed distinct mechanisms to ensure academic freedom based on its specific context. Uzbekistan is making strides in this direction, and international practices provide valuable guidance on which factors to prioritize during the transition.

## **Literature Review**

Academic freedom is a critical component of higher education systems worldwide, and its importance in Uzbekistan's higher education system is increasingly recognized. Academic freedom allows educators and researchers to explore, teach, and publish without undue restriction, fostering an environment conducive to innovation and critical thinking. In Uzbekistan, the pursuit of academic freedom is intertwined with broader educational reforms aimed at improving the quality of higher education. However, challenges remain due to historical and systemic constraints. This discussion will explore the significance of academic freedom in Uzbekistan's higher education system and its impact on educational quality [21].

### **Importance of Academic Freedom**

**Fostering Innovation and Critical Thinking:** Academic freedom is essential for fostering an environment where innovation and critical thinking can thrive. It allows educators to explore new ideas and methodologies, which is crucial for the development of new skills and competencies among students.

**Enhancing Research and Development:** Academic freedom supports the pursuit of research agendas that are not dictated by external authorities, enabling researchers to contribute to scientific and technological advancements. This is particularly important in Uzbekistan, where the higher education system is under strict government control, limiting the scope for independent research.

**Improving Educational Quality:** By allowing educators to teach and research freely, academic freedom directly impacts the quality of education. It ensures that students are exposed to diverse

perspectives and are encouraged to engage critically with the material.

### Challenges to Academic Freedom in Uzbekistan

**Government Control:** The higher education system in Uzbekistan is characterized by significant government oversight, which restricts academic freedom. This control limits the ability of educators to pursue independent research and affects the overall quality of education.

**Resource Allocation:** Despite high overall state budget spending on education, the allocation for tertiary education is low, which affects the infrastructure and resources available for higher education institutions. This underfunding further constrains academic freedom by limiting the resources available for research and innovation.

**Top-Down Reforms:** The strictly top-down approach to educational reforms in Uzbekistan has not been successful in improving key areas such as access to higher education and the capacities of institutions. This approach often overlooks the importance of academic freedom in driving educational quality.

### Impact on Educational Quality

**Quality Assurance and Reforms:** Recent reforms in Uzbekistan's higher education system aim to modernize and improve quality assurance processes. These reforms, supported by international cooperation, are crucial for enhancing educational quality and aligning it with global standards.

**International Collaboration:** International partnerships have played a significant role in transforming Uzbekistan's higher education landscape. These collaborations have introduced new quality assurance measures and have helped to foster a culture of continuous quality enhancement.

While academic freedom is crucial for improving educational quality, the current state of Uzbekistan's higher education system presents significant challenges. The government's control over educational institutions and the limited resources allocated to higher education hinder the full realization of academic freedom. However, ongoing reforms and international collaborations offer hope for a more open and innovative educational environment in the future. Balancing government oversight with academic independence will be key to achieving these goals and enhancing the quality of higher education in Uzbekistan.

### Methods

This study investigates the development, legal foundations, and interconnection of academic freedom to educational quality in Uzbekistan's higher education sector through a systematic analytical approach. A combination of qualitative and empirical methods was employed to ensure a comprehensive examination of the topic.

The methodology involved the use of descriptive analysis to outline the current status of academic freedom, comparative analysis to assess differences between Uzbekistan and leading international systems, content analysis of legal frameworks and strategic documents, and empirical observation of institutional practices.

The integration of normative-legal review, statistical indicators, cross-national comparison, and real-world case studies enabled an in-depth exploration of the implications of academic freedom. This multifaceted approach provided an evidence-based foundation to evaluate how academic autonomy correlates with educational outcomes and institutional development in Uzbekistan.

### Results and Discussion

In the years 2024–2025, significant progress has been observed in expanding academic freedom in Uzbekistan's higher education system. Based on descriptive, empirical, and comparative methods, a strong correlation was identified between university autonomy and educational quality.

First, the number of higher education institutions has reached 211, including a growing number of foreign and private institutions. This indicates a diversification of the higher education landscape and opens the door to more flexible approaches to curriculum design and instructional delivery.

Second, more than 40 universities with academic freedom now independently design their curricula and implement international joint programs. This increases opportunities for students to earn globally recognized degrees and enhances academic mobility.

Third, according to 2024 data, over 10,318 articles were published in the Scopus and Web of Science databases, reflecting a notable increase in research activity. This demonstrates the effectiveness of academic freedom in promoting scholarly productivity.

Additionally, the number of financially autonomous universities has surpassed 30, enabling them to manage resources independently, attract grants, and fund institutional initiatives.

The integration of digital tools—including electronic registrar offices, learning management systems (LMS), electronic assessments, and online ranking systems—has improved transparency, accountability, and operational efficiency.

Moreover, 38 academic programs have successfully passed international accreditation, proving their compliance with global educational standards. Academic freedom has been a critical enabler for these achievements.

The table 1 below summarizes key indicators and outcomes related to academic freedom for the period of 2024–2025:

**Table 1.** Systemic outcomes related to academic freedom in higher education institutions of the Republic of Uzbekistan.

| No  | Directions                              | Status in 2024–2025                           |
|-----|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| 1.  | Number of higher education institutions | 211 (115 public, 66 private, 30 foreign)      |
| 2.  | Higher education coverage               | Increased to 43%                              |
| 3.  | Institutions with academic autonomy     | More than 40                                  |
| 4.  | Financially autonomous institutions     | More than 30                                  |
| 5.  | Institutions ranked in QS Asia 2025     | 23                                            |
| 6.  | Articles in Scopus/Web of Science       | 10,318 publications                           |
| 7.  | Internationally accredited programs     | 38 programs                                   |
| 8.  | Faculty with foreign training           | 3450 members                                  |
| 9.  | Digital registrar offices               | Phased implementation across all institutions |
| 10. | Implementation of credit-module system  | Fully adopted in 2024                         |

This table summarizes the key outcomes achieved in the higher education system of Uzbekistan during the years 2024–2025, as a result of implementing academic freedom. Each indicator reflects positive developments in various dimensions of higher education—namely, quality, autonomy, digitalization, research productivity, and international integration. The analytical indicators demonstrate that academic freedom has had a positive impact on the quality of education, the effectiveness of scientific research, and international recognition of higher education institutions. Therefore, the consistent continuation of this approach holds strategic importance.

## Conclusion

The reforms aimed at expanding academic freedom in Uzbekistan’s higher education system have already yielded promising initial outcomes. The autonomy granted to universities has empowered them to unlock their institutional potential and foster conditions for rapid development.

In conclusion, academic freedom serves as a vital bridge between educational quality, scientific progress, and societal advancement. As President Shavkat Mirziyoyev stated, “The cornerstone of progress and the force that makes a nation great is science and education.” Science and education flourish only in an environment of intellectual liberty. Thus, by advancing academic freedom, Uzbekistan can elevate its education system to a new stage, nurturing a generation of well-educated, competitive professionals and ensuring sustainable national development.

Based on the research findings, the following recommendations are proposed:

- a. Develop a national academic freedom culture strategy: Academic freedom must go beyond legal permission to include institutional culture. It is essential to introduce training programs, internal ethical guidelines, and methodological resources for universities, departments, and faculty to build the capacity to exercise academic freedom effectively.
- b. Institutionalize academic freedom as a student right: Core academic rights should be guaranteed to all students, including the right to choose elective courses, research topics, and pursue independent academic work. It is recommended to develop measurable indicators of academic freedom—such as the proportion of student-chosen electives, student-initiated research projects, and participation in academic competitions—to monitor and promote student agency in academic processes.

## References

- [1] S. S. Saidov, “Academic freedom in Uzbekistan: Problems and prospects,” *Alma Mater. Vestnik Vysshey Shkoly*, 2023. doi: 10.20339/am.08-23.036.
- [2] G.-R. Wegmarshaus, “Uzbekistan’s higher education and research system: Main actors and recent reforms of doctoral graduation,” *Research Papers in Economics*, 2017. doi: 10.22004/AG.ECON.259821.
- [3] A. A. Osman, “Freedom in Teaching and Learning,” *International Journal of Humanities and Social Science*, vol. 3, no. 2 (Special Issue), pp. 1–10, 2013. [Online]. Available: [http://www.ijhssnet.com/journals/Vol\\_3\\_No\\_2\\_Special\\_Issue\\_January\\_2013/14.pdf](http://www.ijhssnet.com/journals/Vol_3_No_2_Special_Issue_January_2013/14.pdf)
- [4] N. H. Naqvi and I. Kheyfets, *Uzbekistan - Modernizing tertiary education*, pp. 1–157, 2017. [Online]. Available: <https://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/963621501476859454/Uzbekistan-Modernizing-tertiary-education>
- [5] A. Hussain, M. Khan, D. A. Rakhmonov, Z. T. Mamadiyarov, M. T. Kurbonbekova, and M. Q. K. Mahmudova, “Nexus of training and development, organizational learning capability, and organizational performance in the service sector,” *Sustainability*, vol. 15, no. 4, p. 3246, 2023.
- [6] K. Ruziev and U. Burkhanov, “Higher education in Uzbekistan: reforms and the changing landscape since independence,” *Research Papers in Economics*, 2016. [Online]. Available: <http://eprints.uwe.ac.uk/31598/>
- [7] Z. T. Mamadiyarov, “Oliy ta’lim tashkilotlarini boshqarish tizimidagi yuzaga kelishi mumkin bo’lgan muammolar,” *Inter Education & Global Study*, no. 1, pp. 385–395, 2025.
- [8] A. Krouglov, “Modernisation of higher education in Uzbekistan: transforming quality assurance system and approaches,” *Sabiedrība, Integrācija, Izglītība*, vol. 1, pp. 148–156, 2022. doi: 10.17770/sie2022vol1.6822.
- [9] A. Krouglov, “Transforming Higher Education in Uzbekistan: From Quality Control to Quality Assurance Culture,” in *Global Perspectives on Higher Education*, Chandos Publishing, 2017, pp. 173–189. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-08-100553-8.00007-0.
- [10] S. J. Khamdamov, S. Makhmudov, N. B. Talapova, N. B. Rejapova, and S. K. Valieva, “Forecasting the growth of scientific research funding in Uzbekistan’s higher education system: An ARIMA model approach,” *Current Dynamics in Business and Economics: Theoretical Approaches and Empirical Discoveries*, pp. 257–270, 2024.
- [11] S. Makhmudov, G. H. Karlibaeva, Z. Mamadiyarov, S. J. Khamdamov, A. A. Yadgarov, I. R. Q.

- Ibragimova, and D. S. Q. Jumadullayeva, "Economic Forecasting in Higher Education: ARIMA Model Application for Financial Planning at Tashkent State University of Economics," in Proc. Int. Conf. on WorldS4, Singapore: Springer Nature, 2024, pp. 503–513.
- [12] Decree of the President of the Republic of Uzbekistan No. PF-6108, "On the Strategy for the Development of Education in the Republic of Uzbekistan for 2021–2030," Oct. 29, 2021.
- [13] P. G. Altbach, "Academic Freedom: International Realities and Challenges," *Higher Education*, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 205–219, 2001.
- [14] S. Marginson, "High Participation Systems of Higher Education," *The Journal of Higher Education*, vol. 87, no. 2, pp. 243–271, 2016.
- [15] A. S. Jahrir, Y. W. Gultom, H. Herman, F. Zabadi, M. Ngongo, Z. Mamadiyarov, and N. Saputra, "Investigating the elements of short commercial mineral water advertisement: A case on discourse analysis," *Studies in Media and Communication*, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 94–104, 2025.
- [16] B. R. Clark, *Creating Entrepreneurial Universities: Organizational Pathways of Transformation*. Paris: UNESCO/IAU Press, 1998.
- [17] P. Scott, "University Autonomy: Balancing Institutional and System Demands," *European Journal of Education*, vol. 37, no. 1, pp. 3–14, 2002.
- [18] M. T. Olimova, "Priority Directions for Modernizing the Higher Education Management System," *Science and Development*, no. 3, pp. 58–62, 2021.
- [19] I. Cahyani et al., "Exploring the use of TikTok application in enhancing the skill of pronunciation: A case on students' perception," *Studies in Media and Communication*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 150–158, 2025.
- [20] I. J. To'xtayev, "Normative Foundations and Ways to Improve the Quality of Education," *Scientific Bulletin of TDPU*, no. 4, pp. 91–95, 2020.
- [21] U. K. Jabborov, "Governance of Higher Education under Conditions of Innovative Development," *Scientific Journal of TSUE*, no. 2, pp. 46–52, 2019.