Community Policing as a Strategy for Combating Armed Banditry in Northwestern Nigeria

Sabitu Mustapha [1]

[1] Department of Political Science, Modibbo Adama University, Yola
, Mustaphasabitu72@mau.edu.ng

Abstract:

This study explores the role of community policing in controlling armed banditry in Northwestern Nigeria, a region plagued by persistent insecurity, violent crimes, and socio-economic disruptions. The research adopts the Collective Security Theory as its theoretical framework to explain the significance of collaborative security mechanisms in addressing localized threats such as banditry. Community policing is conceptualized as a proactive strategy that fosters partnership between law enforcement agencies and community members, emphasizing intelligence gathering, trust building, and joint problem-solving approaches to enhance public safety. The paper highlights the historical evolution of community policing and examines the dynamics of armed banditry within the Nigerian context, particularly its relationship with cattle rustling, rural insecurity, and the proliferation of small arms. It argues that community policing offers a viable response to banditry by leveraging grassroots engagement, local intelligence, and cultural familiarity to prevent and mitigate criminal activities. However, several challenges undermine its effectiveness, including the public's mistrust of the Nigeria Police Force, allegations of corruption, poor operational capacity, and inadequate funding. Additional constraints include limited training, logistical deficiencies, and competing priorities within security agencies. Policy recommendations for strengthening the effectiveness of community policing, include institutional reforms to restore public confidence, enhanced resource allocation, specialized training for officers, and active involvement of traditional and religious leaders in security governance. Emphasis is also made to address underlying socio-economic drivers of banditry, such as poverty, unemployment, and social exclusion, through targeted development initiatives.

Keywords: Community Policing, Armed Banditry, Northwestern Nigeria.

Introduction

Nigeria continues to grapple with a wide range of security challenges, each region facing its peculiar threats. In the Northwest geopolitical zone, armed banditry has emerged as a dominant security menace (Abdullahi & Mukhtar, 2022). This phenomenon has devastated states such as Zamfara, Kaduna, Katsina, Kebbi, and Sokoto, resulting in widespread killings, kidnappings, cattle rustling, and destruction of livelihoods. Armed banditry in this region represents a continuum of insecurity that has evolved into a major humanitarian crisis, displacing thousands of people and creating conditions akin to insurgency (Mukhtar & Abdullahi, 2022). The severity of the crisis in Northwestern Nigeria, particularly in states like Zamfara and Katsina, has drawn comparisons to the Boko Haram insurgency in the Northeast, given the audacity and brutality of the attacks (Global Security, 2019). Katsina and Kaduna, for example, have witnessed relentless assaults on rural communities, while Kebbi and Sokoto have not been spared from similar waves of violence. These attacks have undermined social stability and economic development, compounding the region's existing challenges of poverty and unemployment (Mukhtar, Isyaku & Sani, 2016).

To effectively address armed banditry, there is an urgent need to adopt innovative security strategies beyond conventional military operations. The Nigeria Police Force, being the most visible and accessible law enforcement agency, plays a pivotal role in this effort. Although other security agencies are involved in policing national security (Ibrahim & Mukhtar, 2016), the police remain central to internal security management. Over the years, numerous police reform initiatives have been introduced, premised on the belief that proactive policing can both deter crime and strengthen police-community relations (Wiley & Esbensen, 2013). Within this context, community policing has been promoted as an alternative strategy for crime control in complex security environments.

Community policing is grounded in the philosophy that collaborative engagement between law enforcement and the community fosters trust and enhances public safety. It requires the police to assume new roles beyond mere enforcement to act as facilitators, advisors, and partners in problem-solving initiatives (Kappeler & Gaines, 2009). The model emphasizes grassroots participation rather than a top-down approach, aligning with the principles of participatory governance in security management. Despite the deployment of both kinetic and non-kinetic measures, including amnesty programs and peace pacts with bandit groups, the crisis has persisted in the Northwest (Punch, January 17, 2020). For instance, state governments in Katsina and Zamfara attempted to negotiate with bandits, offering amnesty and integration packages. Initially, these initiatives yielded some positive outcomes, but peace agreements collapsed as bandit groups renewed their attacks, rendering dialogue-based solutions largely ineffective (TVC News, 2020; Ibrahim & Mukhtar, 2017).

In light of these realities, community policing emerges as a potentially effective strategy for combating armed banditry in Northwestern Nigeria. This study, therefore, seeks to assess the role of community policing in controlling armed banditry across the Northwest region, focusing on the experiences of Kaduna, Katsina, Kebbi, Sokoto, and Zamfara States. The specific objectives of the study are:

1. To examine the strategies for implementing community policing in Northwestern Nigeria;

2. To assess the role of community policing in controlling armed banditry in Northwestern Nigeria;

3. To identify the challenges facing community policing in the control of armed banditry in Northwestern Nigeria.

Conceptual and Theoretical Clarifications

Community Policing: Community policing is widely recognized as a modern policing philosophy that emphasizes proactive strategies, collaborative partnerships, and problem-solving approaches to address crime and public safety concerns. Rather than relying on the traditional reactive model, where law enforcement primarily responds to incidents after they occur; community policing seeks to prevent crime and reduce social disorder through partnership-based initiatives (President's Task Force on 21st Century Policing, 2015; Ibrahim & Mukhtar, 2016). Tillman (2000) defines community policing as an approach that brings the police and the community together to prevent crime and solve problems, shifting the emphasis from reactive law enforcement to preventive measures. This paradigm promotes shared responsibility between law enforcement and the public in ensuring safety and security. The philosophy of community policing is rooted in three core principles:

1. Community Partnerships: Building trust and collaborative relationships between law enforcement agencies, community members, local organizations, and other stakeholders to enhance crime prevention.

2. Organizational Transformation: Restructuring police operations and administrative practices to support decentralized decision-making, accountability, and community-oriented practices.

3. Problem-Solving: Implementing proactive strategies to identify and address the underlying causes of crime and disorder rather than focusing solely on symptoms.

Community policing gained prominence in the 1990s as law enforcement agencies worldwide sought to rebuild trust and legitimacy in communities, particularly those experiencing high crime rates and strained police-community relations (COP Office, 2008). The approach leverages community involvement through voluntary participation in safety initiatives such as neighborhood watch programs, joint patrols, and intelligence sharing. Ultimately, community policing is designed to foster mutual trust, enhance information flow, and improve the overall quality of life in communities.

Armed Banditry: The concept of banditry has evolved over time, varying across historical periods, regions, and socio-political contexts. In 19th-century Europe and the Americas, bandits were often romanticized as freedom fighters or social rebels resisting oppressive systems. For example, historical figures such as Chucho el Roto, Herachio Bernel, and Santanon were celebrated as champions of justice during the Mexican independence era (Abdullahi & Mukhtar, 2022). These individuals were regarded by local communities as defenders of the poor, even though state authorities considered them criminals and outlaws (Warto, 1994). Thus, in many pre-industrial societies, peasants perceived bandits not as miscreants but as avengers and "breadwinners" who challenged social inequalities. However, the African experience presents a stark contrast. Banditry in traditional African societies has been largely associated with violent criminality, particularly armed robbery and related offenses (Curott & Fink, 2008).

In contemporary Africa, and especially in Nigeria, armed banditry is characterized by violent attacks on communities, killings, abductions for ransom, destruction of property, and large-scale cattle rustling (Rufai, 2017). This form of criminality is intricately linked to the proliferation of Small Arms and Light Weapons (SALWs), which empower bandits to conduct raids with devastating consequences (Addo, 2006). A major dimension of armed banditry in Nigeria is cattle rustling, which is a practice involving the theft of livestock through the use of force. Herdsmen, in an attempt to protect their animals, often resist, leading to violent clashes. Bandits, on the other hand, deploy lethal weapons to ensure successful livestock raids, making the phenomenon not only a security issue but also a threat to food security and rural livelihoods (Rufai, 2018). Over time, this criminal enterprise has expanded beyond cattle rustling to include mass kidnappings, destruction of

villages, and the displacement of entire communities, making it a significant threat to national stability.

Theoretical Framework

To explain the importance of community policing in controlling armed banditry in Northwestern Nigeria, this study adopts Collective Security Theory, which, although rooted in international relations, offers useful insights into local security arrangements and the necessity of cooperative strategies. While originally designed to address interstate aggression, the core principles of collective security can be adapted to understand how community policing fosters collaboration between security agencies and local communities to address criminal violence (Mukhtar & Abdullahi, 2022). The theory empathises shared responsibility, mutual protection, and joint action against common threats.

Collective Security Theory emerged prominently after World War I, drawing on the philosophical ideas of Immanuel Kant (1724–1804), who argued that peace could be achieved through a federation of states committed to mutual defense and the renunciation of war. Earlier contributions from Hugo Grotius and the Stoics, who advanced the concept of "international citizenship," also resonate with this approach. The fundamental principle of collective security is that "an attack against one is an attack against all." Peace and stability, therefore, depend on collective action against aggression rather than isolated self-help efforts (Kupchan & Kupchan, 2011). In its original context, collective security underpinned the establishment of institutions such as the League of Nations and later the United Nations, which sought to institutionalize cooperation among states for global security. However, while the concept has often been criticized for operational weaknesses, such as slow response times and political constraints; its central logic remains relevant: no single actor can guarantee security in isolation, especially when threats are diffuse, organized, and deeply entrenched within society (Morgenthau, 1948).

Applied to the context of Northwestern Nigeria, this theory underscores why community policing is essential in combating armed banditry. The crisis of banditry is not confined to isolated villages; it spreads across local government areas and state boundaries, exploiting vast ungoverned spaces and weak law enforcement presence. Just as states must cooperate internationally to deter aggression, security agencies and local communities must collaborate at the domestic level to create a unified front against bandits. The traditional policing model, where law enforcement operates independently of the community; has proven inadequate in addressing these highly mobile and well-armed criminal networks (Abdullahi & Mukhtar, 2022). Community policing operationalizes the principles of collective security by fostering shared responsibility for safety. Under this approach, communities are not passive recipients of security but active participants in identifying threats, sharing intelligence, and shaping crime-prevention strategies. This "all against one" principle at the local level enhances trust, encourages voluntary compliance with security measures, and ensures faster detection of criminal activity. Moreover, it reduces the intelligence gap that bandits exploit to evade capture, thereby increasing the overall resilience of communities against organized crime.

In essence, collective security theory provides a conceptual justification for community policing as a cooperative, inclusive, and preventive security strategy. By integrating state security forces with community networks, the theory emphasizes that the protection of one community must be viewed as the responsibility of all, creating a security ecosystem where collective action is the foundation for sustainable peace in Northwestern Nigeria.

Armed Banditry in Northwestern Nigeria

The Northwestern region of Nigeria, comprising Katsina, Kaduna, Zamfara, Sokoto, and Kebbi States, has, over the past decade, witnessed a significant escalation in armed banditry, which has become a major security and socio-economic challenge (Global Security, 2019; Abdullahi &

Mukhtar, 2022). This violent phenomenon manifests in widespread killings, kidnappings for ransom, cattle rustling, destruction of property, and the displacement of thousands of residents. The crisis, which began as sporadic attacks, has transformed into a sustained campaign of violence, posing a severe threat to human security, agriculture, and rural livelihoods in the region (Mukhtar & Abdullahi, 2022). The menace of armed banditry became more pronounced from 2014 onwards, initially driven by cattle rustling activities in Zamfara State, which later spread to neighboring states such as Katsina, Kaduna, Sokoto, and Kebbi by 2019 (Mohammed, 2021). According to ACAPS (2020), bandit attacks typically involve shootings, killings, mass abductions, rape, looting of valuables, and the burning of entire villages, creating a humanitarian crisis in rural communities. These attacks are concentrated in areas with porous international borders and dense forest reserves, which serve as operational hideouts for the bandits (Okoli & Ugwu, 2019).

Recent incidents underscore the severity of the situation. For instance, TVC News (2020) reported the abduction of a mother and her one-year-old child during coordinated attacks in rural local government areas. Similarly, Ibrahim & Mukhtar (2017) argue that kidnapping for ransom, which was once an isolated criminal act, has now become an entrenched enterprise within the wider framework of banditry. The lucrativeness of ransom payments has incentivized the proliferation of armed groups, contributing to the intensification of violent crime in the region (WANEP, 2018). Research indicates that Kaduna, Zamfara, and Katsina States remain the most severely affected, experiencing continuous assaults over the past four years (Attah, Sambo, Sule, Bello & Saragih, 2021; Abdulyakeen, 2020). Mohammed & Alimba (2015) observed that although banditry has existed in parts of northern Nigeria for decades, its recent scale and sophistication mark a significant departure from previous patterns of rural criminality. These developments reflect a convergence of multiple security threats, including armed robbery, intimidation, and cattle rustling, compounded by the illicit circulation of Small Arms and Light Weapons (SALWs) across porous borders (Addo, 2006; Isyaku, Ishaq & Mukhtar, 2018).

The commercialization of livestock raiding has further worsened the problem. Kuna & Ibrahim (2016) noted that while cattle rustling in Nigeria was previously a small-scale activity, it has evolved into an organized criminal enterprise over the past five years, displacing hundreds of pastoral families and deepening the farmer-herder conflict. Abdullahi and Mukhtar (2022) corroborate this, linking the escalation of cattle theft to violent clashes and retaliatory killings. Consequently, the line between cattle rustling, kidnapping, and armed banditry has become increasingly blurred, with bandits alternating tactics for maximum economic gain (WANEP, 2018). Scholars have also connected the rise of banditry to poverty, unemployment, and social dislocation in the region (Mukhtar, Isyaku & Sani, 2016), as well as to the drug-crime nexus among youths, which fuels the cycle of violence (Hussein, Mukhtar & Umar, 2017). Additionally, the failure of early state-led interventions, such as peace deals and amnesty programs, has emboldened the bandits, further undermining state authority and public confidence in the government's ability to guarantee security (Abdullahi & Mukhtar, 2022; Mukhtar, 2025).

In fact, armed banditry in Northwestern Nigeria has evolved from localized rural theft to a complex and highly organized form of violent criminality, with far-reaching implications for regional security and socio-economic stability (International Crisis Group, 2014; Mukhtar, 2025b). The persistence of this crisis underscores the urgent need for comprehensive and community-driven security strategies, particularly community policing, to restore peace and protect rural livelihoods

Challenges of Community Policing in Controlling Armed Banditry

Despite its potential, community policing faces several challenges in addressing armed banditry in Northwestern Nigeria. One of the most significant obstacles is the negative public perception of the Nigeria Police Force, which has resulted in widespread distrust and reluctance among citizens to cooperate with law enforcement agencies (Ibrahim et al., 2016; Abdullahi & Mukhtar, 2022). This

lack of trust is rooted in numerous allegations against the police, including arbitrary arrests, corruption, perversion of justice, and the use of crude investigative techniques. There are also frequent reports of collusion between some security personnel and criminal groups, as well as cases of accidental discharge leading to fatalities (Ibrahim & Mukhtar, 2017; Mukhtar & Abdullahi, 2022). These issues erode confidence in policing institutions, thereby undermining the principles of partnership that community policing relies upon. Another major challenge relates to resource constraints and logistical limitations. Community policing programs require significant investments in terms of personnel, specialized training, technology, and operational infrastructure, yet many police departments operate under conditions of severe budgetary stress (Shanzar et al., 2016). This financial inadequacy hampers the development of effective community outreach programs and the deployment of officers to remote rural areas where banditry is most prevalent (Abdullahi & Mukhtar, 2022). Additionally, in multilingual and culturally diverse settings such as Northwestern Nigeria, the lack of interpreters or language training for officers creates communication barriers between law enforcement and local communities, reducing the effectiveness of joint operations.

Furthermore, misaligned priorities between the police and the community can hinder collaboration. While residents view banditry as an urgent existential threat, given its devastating impact on lives, livelihoods, and regional stability, local police commands may prioritize other issues such as urban crimes, drug trafficking, or political security (Shanzar et al., 2016). This misalignment creates frustration among communities, leading to withdrawal of cooperation and the rise of alternative security measures, including vigilantism, which often escalates violence rather than curbing it (International Crisis Group, 2014; Isyaku, et al. 2018). Community willingness to partner with the police is also influenced by the effectiveness of law enforcement in addressing other public safety concerns (Shanzar et al., 2016; Mukhtar, 2025a). Where the police are perceived as ineffective or compromised, communities tend to disengage from collaborative security initiatives, creating space for armed groups to flourish. Moreover, widespread poverty, unemployment, and youth marginalization in the region further exacerbate insecurity, as these socio-economic conditions drive recruitment into banditry and related criminal networks (Mukhtar et al. 2016; Ibrahim & Mukhtar, 2017).

Lastly, the porous borders and vast ungoverned spaces of Northwestern Nigeria, coupled with the proliferation of small arms, make community policing efforts even more complex (Abdullahi & Mukhtar, 2022). These structural and institutional weaknesses indicate that while community policing offers a promising approach, its success depends on restoring public trust, increasing police accountability, and ensuring adequate resources for sustained implementation.

Policy Recommendations for Effective Community Policing in Controlling Banditry

Addressing the challenges of community policing in controlling armed banditry in Northwestern Nigeria requires a holistic policy approach that focuses on restoring trust, strengthening institutional capacity, and promoting inclusive security governance. One of the most pressing priorities is rebuilding public confidence in the Nigeria Police Force (NPF), as the lack of trust has long hindered effective collaboration between the police and communities. This calls for comprehensive police reforms that eliminate corruption, arbitrariness, and human rights abuses that have historically damaged the institution's image (Ibrahim & Mukhtar, 2017; Abdullahi & Mukhtar, 2022). Transparent disciplinary mechanisms should be established to hold officers accountable while promoting professionalism, and community complaint boards should be created across all states in the region to enhance accountability and foster confidence in law enforcement.

Equally important is the provision of adequate funding and resources, as community policing cannot succeed without sufficient financial and logistical support. Federal and state governments must dedicate special security intervention funds to strengthen the operational capacity of the police, particularly in rural and conflict-prone areas. This includes equipping officers with modern

communication tools, surveillance technology, and mobility resources such as patrol vehicles and motorcycles to ensure timely response to security threats (Mukhtar & Abdullahi, 2022). Partnerships with private sector actors and international donors should also be explored to sustain capacity-building initiatives.

Recruitment and training remain critical to the success of community policing. Language and cultural barriers have often impeded effective engagement; therefore, the NPF should prioritize recruiting indigenous personnel from Northwestern states, particularly those fluent in Hausa and Fulfulde. Officers should also undergo specialized training in conflict resolution, intelligence gathering, and human rights-based policing (Hussein, et al. 2017). These efforts will not only improve operational efficiency but also foster a sense of ownership and trust among local populations.

Institutionalizing collaborative frameworks between law enforcement agencies and local stakeholders is another essential measure. Strong partnerships with traditional rulers, religious leaders, and community-based organizations should be formalized through security committees that facilitate joint decision-making and information sharing. Involving civil society organizations will enhance transparency and accountability in the process (International Crisis Group, 2014). Furthermore, addressing the socio-economic conditions that fuel armed banditry is indispensable. Poverty, unemployment, and marginalization create fertile ground for criminal networks; hence, governments must implement comprehensive livelihood programs, agricultural support schemes, and vocational training for at-risk youths (Mukhtar et al. 2016).

Lastly, intelligence-led policing and cross-border security cooperation should be strengthened through technology-driven surveillance and regional partnerships aimed at curbing arms trafficking and the movement of criminal groups. This must be complemented by a robust legal framework that institutionalizes community policing, defines operational guidelines, and ensures sustainability beyond political cycles. Implementing these measures will not only strengthen the capacity of law enforcement agencies but also foster a collaborative security architecture capable of addressing the persistent threat of armed banditry in Northwestern Nigeria.

Conclusion

The persistence of armed banditry in Northwestern Nigeria remains a critical threat to security, socio-economic development, and human well-being. States such as Kaduna, Katsina, Kebbi, Sokoto, and Zamfara have experienced devastating consequences, including loss of lives, displacement of communities, and severe economic disruptions. This study examined the importance of community policing as a strategic response to this menace, adopting the Collective Security Theory as a framework for understanding collaborative approaches to security. The theory emphasizes cooperative mechanisms, where peace and stability are sustained through shared responsibility. Applying this principle locally, community policing reflects a decentralized approach in which law enforcement agencies work closely with local communities to prevent crime and address insecurity.

However, the effectiveness of community policing in controlling armed banditry faces several challenges. These include deep-seated mistrust between the police and the public, often fueled by corruption, human rights violations, and perceived collusion with criminals. This lack of trust significantly limits the flow of intelligence, which is crucial for proactive policing. Furthermore, structural and operational constraints such as inadequate funding, insufficient training, and poor logistical support weaken the capacity of the Nigeria Police Force to respond effectively. In addition, the absence of strong partnerships between security agencies and community stakeholders reduces the impact of preventive measures and erodes public confidence in the system.

To address these challenges, it is essential to implement holistic policy measures that restore public trust and strengthen institutional capacity. Comprehensive police reforms focusing on accountability, transparency, and professionalism are necessary to enhance credibility and operational effectiveness. Adequate funding and resource allocation must be prioritized to improve mobility, surveillance, and communication technology for real-time responses. Recruiting and training officers with local knowledge and cultural competence can further enhance community engagement and intelligence gathering. Strengthening collaboration with traditional rulers, religious leaders, and civil society organizations will also promote grassroots participation in security management. Beyond policing, addressing the socio-economic root causes of banditry, such as poverty, unemployment, and social exclusion; through targeted development programs is critical for achieving long-term stability.

In conclusion, community policing provides a viable and sustainable framework for combating armed banditry in Northwestern Nigeria. Its success, however, depends on a multi-faceted approach that combines institutional reforms, adequate resources, inclusive governance, and socio-economic interventions. Only through coordinated efforts between security agencies, state authorities, and local communities can a strong and resilient security architecture be built to restore peace and guarantee lasting stability in the region.

References:

1. Abdullahi, A. S. & Mukhtar, J. I. (2022). Armed Banditry as a Security Challenge in Northwestern Nigeria. *African Journal of Sociological and Psychological Studies (AJOSAPS)*,2 (1): 45-62. DOI: https://doi.org/10.31920/2752-6585/2022/v2n1a3

2. Abdulyakeen, A. (2020). "Armed banditry and human security in Northwestern Nigeria: The impacts and the way forward", *Journal of Humanities, Social and Management Sciences, 1*(1), 89-107.

3. ACAPS (2020). Banditry, Violence and Displacement in the North West. Retrieved from: https://www.acaps.org/country/nigerial/crisis/northwest-banditry.

4. Attah, N.E., Sambo, U., Sule, B. Bello, M.A. & Saragih, M.Y. (2021). "COVID 19 and increased security challenge in northern Nigeria: Interrogating armed banditry in northwestern Nigeria", *Journal of Religion, Social, Cultural and Political Sciences, 6*(1): 33-44. Retrieved from: https://www.doi.org/10.33258/siasat.v6i1.87

5. Addo, P. (2006). Cross-Border Criminal Activities in West, Africa: Options for Effective Responses, Kofi Annan International Peace Keeping Training Centre Cantonments, Accra Ghana.

6. Brown, S. E., Esbensen, & F. Geis, G. (2010). Criminology: *Explaining Crime and Its Context.* New Providence, NJ: Lexis Nexis.

7. COPS Office (2008). *Award winning community policing strategies*. US Department of Justice.

8. Curott, N.A. & Fink,A. D.(2012). "Bandit Heroes: Social, mythical or rational?" The American Journal of Economics and Sociology, 71(2), 470- 497.
Retrieved from: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1536-7150.2012.00837.x

9. Global Security (2019). "Katsina State BanditryOperation Egwe Eke". Retrieved from: https://www.globalsecurity.org/military/world/war/op-egwe-eke.htm(Accessed on: 10/03/2025).

10. Hussein, M. D., Mukhtar, J. I & Umar, M. B. (2017). "Drug-crime nexus among Nigerian youths: A solution through crime prevention strategies". KASU Journal of Social   Sciences (KJSS), 8 (1): 155-166.

11. Ibrahim, B., Saleh, M. & Mukhtar, J. I. (2016). "An overview of community policing in Nigeria". A paper presented at 2nd International Conference of Social Sciences and Law (ICSSL), Africa organized by the Nigerian Turkish Nile University, 11-12 may 2016

12. Ibrahim, B. & Mukhtar, J. I. (2016). Changing pattern of prostitution: An assessment of transnational commercial sex by Nigerian women. European Scientific Journal. 12(2). 81-95.

13. Ibrahim, B., & Mukhtar, J. I. (2017). An analysis of the causes and consequences of kidnapping in Nigeria. African Research Review, 11(4), 134-143.

14. International Crisis Group (2014). Curbing Violence in Nigeria (II) The 'Boko Haram' Insurgence. Africa Report. Brussels, Belgium No.216 3th April, 2014.[online] available on: Brussels@crisisgroup.org (Retrieved on 20th March, 2025).

15. Isyaku, S. M., Ishaq, M. A. & Mukhtar, J. I. (2018). "A qualitative investigation into the factors responsible for Boko Haram insurgency: Study in Yobe State, Nigeria". Jigawa Journal of Multi-disciplinary Studies, 1 (1): 62-68.

16. Kappeler, V. E. & Gaines, L. K. (2009). *Community policing: A contemporary perspective (5th edition)*. Newark, NJ: Matthew Bender & Company.

17. Kuna, M. J. & Ibrahim, J. (2015). Rural banditry and conflict in northern Nigeria. Centre of Democracy and Development, CITECT Estate Abuja.

18. Kupchan, C.A. & Kupchan, C.A. (2011). The Promise of Collective Security. International

19. Security 20 (1) 52-61. [Online] Available on: http://www.jstor.org/stable/2539215 (Accessed on 12th June, 2025)

20. Morgenthau, H.J. (1949). "*Politics Among Nations*", New York: Alfred A. Knopf, M.C., p96.

21. Mohammed, I. (2021). "No end in sight for insecurity and banditry in northwest Nigeria", Terrorism Monitor, 19(133). Retrieved from: https://uc.xyz/10qQh2?pub=link

22. Muhammed, I.K. & Alimba, C. (2015) "Social impact of rural banditry". In Kuna, M.J. and Ibrahim, J. (Eds.) Rural Banditry and Conflicts in Northern Nigeria, Nigeria: Centre for Democracy and Development, 167-188.

23. Mukhtar, J. I., Isyaku, S. M. & Sani, I. (2016). Poverty, unemployment, and the challenges of security in Nigeria: The nexus. Journal of Political Inquiry, Volume 2 (2): 236-244.

24. Mukhtar, U., Mukhtar, J.I., Muhammad, M.H., & Abdullahi, M.A. (2014). Effects of Hurricane and Tornado on Socio-economic Development. International Journal of Research in Social Sciences. 4(4) 417-428

25. Mukhtar, J. I. & Abdullahi, A. S. (2022). Security-Development Nexus: A Review of Nigeria's Security Challenges. Journal of Contemporary Sociological Issues, 2 (1)18-39 doi:10.19184/csi.v2i1.25815

26. Mukhtar, J. I. (2025). An assessment of youth de-radicalisation strategies in The Northeast Geo-Political Zone and their security implication for Nigeria. Unpublished PhD Thesis, Submitted to the Department of Sociology, Bayero University Kano.

27. Mukhtar, J. I. (2025b). The Impact of Cyberbullying in Tertiary Institutions of Yobe State, Nigeria. American Journal of Social and Humanitarian Research, 6 (2): 212-229.

28. President's Task Force on 21st Century Policing (2015). *Final Report of the President's Task Force on 21st Century Policing*. Washington, DC: Office of Community Oriented Policing Services.

29. Punch (17th January, 2020). "Resurgence of banditry in Katsina". Retrieved from: https://punchng.com/resurgence-of-banditry-in-katsina/ (Accessed on: 14/03/2025).

30. Rufa'i, M. A. (2018). Cattle rustling and armed banditry along Nigeria-Niger borderlands. *IOSR Journal of Humanities and Social Science (IOSR-JHSS), 23* (4) Ver. 4: 66-73

31. Schanzer, D., Kurzman, C., Toliver, J., & Miller, E. (2016). *The challenge and promise of usingcommunity policing strategies to prevent violent extremism*. National Institute of Justice, US. January (2016).

32. Shehu, S. A., Victor, E. & Binta, M. (2017). The menace of cattle rustling and banditry in north-west Nigeria: A Case Study of Katsina State. *IOSR Journal of Research & Method in Education (IOSR-JRME) 7* (6): 40-47.

33. Tillman, R. J. (2000). *The effectiveness of community policing*. School of Police Staff & Command, Eastern Michigan University.

34. TVC News (2020). "Bandits kill one, abduct three in Katsina". Retrieved from: https://www.tvcnews.tv/bandits- kill-one-abduct-three-in-katsina/(Accessedon: 11/03/2020).

35. WANEP (2018). "Armed bandits, abduct more than 140 people in Birnin-Gwari in Kaduna State, Nigeria." WANEP, News Quick Update. www.wanepnigeria.org (15/04/2025).

36. Warto, P.A. (1994). "The social banditry in the rural areas of rembang by the end of the 19th century and at the beginning of the 20th century", International Journal for History Studies, 3(1).

37. Wiley, S. A. & Esbensen, F. (2013). The Effect of Police Contact: Does Official InterventionResult in Deviance Amplification? *Crime & Delinquency, XX*(X): 1-25 DOI: 10.1177/0011128713492496