The Use of Reflective Practices, Project Work, and Digital Resources in Teaching Folk Instruments at Children's Music Schools

Sharipov Sherzod Maqsudovich

Head of the Department for Coordination of Education, Ministry of Culture of the Republic of Uzbekistan

Email: sherzodmaqsudovichsharipov@gmail.com

Abstract:

This article explores the modernization of folk instrument lessons in children's music schools by emphasizing the role of innovative pedagogical technologies in developing students' professional and creative competencies. We focus on three interconnected stages of the educational process: reflective practices, project-based teaching/learning and purposeful digital technology integration. This study illustrates the way in which the combination of these approaches is providing students a more student-centered learning environment that encourages students to consciously or alternatively own and manage their own learning activities. This leads to not only competent performers but also critical responders to the musical text, creative interpretive agents, individual project development and independent musicians who are able to operate with musical materials in a digital learning environment. The findings highlight the pedagogical potential of modern technologies in enhancing the quality and relevance of folk instrument education in contemporary music schools.

Keywords: Traditional Musical Instruments, Modern Teaching Strategies, Reflective Practice, Project-Oriented Instruction, Digital Learning Tools, Hybrid Learning Environment

Introduction

Folk instrument instruction in children's music schools traditionally relies on established educational practices. This tradition is preserved for its emphasis on the master-apprentice relationship, the learning by ear, the repetitive practice, focus on the musical aesthetics and style, and the capacity to listen and absorb the expressive meaning of a piece of music. But the educational model of today is shifting quickly. Students today seek immediate access to information, are affected by multiple audio and video stimuli, communicate in virtual realms, and desire learning that is tailored to their personal interests and timeline. When instruction is limited solely to short, in-class meetings, the quality of home practice may remain uncontrolled, student motivation may decline, listening habits may be shaped by unstructured sources, and superficial imitation in performance may increase.

Under such conditions, innovative pedagogical technologies should be introduced into folk instrument lessons not as elements foreign to tradition, but as tools that reinforce traditional approaches, transform students into conscious and independent learners, and accelerate purposeful artistic development.

Innovation doesn't mean simply bringing computers or mobile devices into the classroom. Instead the renewal of the logic of instruction: from the student perspective, being a part of the learning process, making learning transparent, authenticity of learning experiences, enhanced lessons through real-life tasks and creative outputs, organized and timely feedback the use of many different resources for whom who want to learn and setting opportunities so teachers can utilize their time more efficiently with better guidance of learning. This is particularly important in folk instrument education, where performance mastery largely depends on home practice. Without skills such as self-monitoring, identifying problem areas, selecting effective practice strategies, recording and listening to one's performance, asking meaningful questions, and listening to accurate models, home practice can easily turn into inefficient repetition. Therefore, an innovative approach should foster these competencies in students while providing teachers with effective mechanisms to monitor and manage the learning process.

Literature Review

In addressing the issue of reflection and reflective practice, Schön distinguishes two types of thinking in the professional activity of teachers and practitioners: reflection-in-action and reflection-on-action. This approach is particularly relevant to the learning of musical performance, as students monitor their actions while playing and analyze the results after completing the performance. Schön conceptualizes reflection as a mechanism that deepens professional expertise; in the absence of reflection, skills tend to turn into repetitive habits, and professional growth slows down [1]. In the context of folk instrument education, students may repeat the same mistakes for long periods if they are unable to hear the error or understand its causes, while the teacher limits instruction to demonstration alone. Therefore, reflection should not remain on the periphery of the lesson but must occupy a central place in the instructional process.

Regarding experiential learning, Kolb presents learning as a cyclical process. The sequence of concrete experience, observation and reflection, abstract conceptualization, and active experimentation leads learners toward deeper understanding [2]. This cycle is clearly observable in folk instrument instruction: students perform a musical piece, record and listen to their performance, analyze it, draw technical and artistic conclusions, and then re-practice and test these conclusions in action. Kolb's model implies that students should be taught not only to repeat but also to analyze and reflect. At this point, reflective technologies play a key role in structuring the learning process.

In the field of project-based learning, Thomas synthesizes empirical evidence supporting the project approach, emphasizing that learners should engage in tasks closely related to real-life problems, exercise independence and choice, produce tangible outcomes, and develop collaboration and communication skills throughout the process [3]. In folk instrument education, project tasks

often emerge naturally. These include compiling local songbooks, studying repertoire performed by local master musicians on a specific instrument, developing ensemble programs, programming local concerts, or building digital catalogs. These activities combine performance with research and organizational skills to provide well-defined, meaningful lessons while turning students from passive connoisseurs of repertoire into active managers of musical action.

In grounding the use of digital resources and pedagogical technologies, Mishra and Koehler propose the concept of Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK). According to this framework, it is insufficient for teachers to possess technological knowledge in isolation; technology becomes effective only when it is integrated with pedagogical methods and subject content [4]. The same principle applies to folk instrument lessons. For instance, the mere use of a metronome application does not constitute innovation. Innovation arises when the teacher understands which technical problem the metronome addresses, how it fits into a sequence of exercises, and how feedback is organized. Similarly, the use of music notation software alone is not sufficient; innovation occurs when such tools are purposefully employed to strengthen the connection between reading notation, auditory perception, and instrumental performance.

Methodology

In folk instrument lessons, reflection, project-based activities, and digital resources should function as a mutually reinforcing triad. The proposed didactic model is constructed as the following sequence: learning task, digital evidence, reflection, project-based extension, presentation, feedback, and a new task. The core idea of this model is to make the student's learning process visible. By a visible process, it is meant that the student's performance is presented in audio and video formats, their thoughts are expressed through reflective writing, and their exploratory efforts are embodied in project outcomes [5]. Based on this evidence, the teacher provides precise guidance, while students are able to monitor their own progress.

It is advisable to implement the model gradually. At the initial stage, the teacher introduces reflective questions into lessons and teaches students to record and listen to their own performances. At the next stage, small-scale projects are initiated. In the third stage, digital resources are systematized and a student portfolio is developed. Each stage should not impose additional workload on either teachers or students; on the contrary, it should simplify the instructional process while improving its quality. As emphasized in the Mishra and Koehler framework, technology becomes effective only when it is integrated with content and pedagogy; similarly, the proposed model places coherence and integration at its core [6].

Reflection in folk instrument instruction represents one of the most cost-effective yet powerful innovations for activating students' musical perception and thinking. The introduction of reflective technologies requires allocating dedicated time during lessons; however, this time does not prolong instruction but instead makes practice more purposeful and focused.

The first technology is the method of guiding questions. At various points during the lesson, the teacher poses brief, precise questions, and the student articulates their responses. These questions can be categorized into three categories [7]. The first component is questions related to how well the audio was comprehended, such as: Where did you lose the track of clarity? Where was one phrase breathy or hard to articulate? or Where did the intonation change. The second one falls under causal questions—for instance, what caused the problem—fingering hesitations, sight-reading, or speeding up. The third solution category deals with solutions, or what the student will do differently in the next repetition; e.g., play more slowly, chunk, use the metronome, record and listen again. Schön argues that such a sequence of reflective questioning drives professional growth in reflective practice [8].

The second technology is the one-minute reflective writing task. At the end of the lesson, students write three sentences within one minute. The first sentence identifies what was most successful in the lesson, the second describes the most challenging aspect, and the third specifies a concrete step for home practice. This brief writing activity places students within the experiential

learning cycle described by Kolb, as they summarize their experience and plan the next stage of experimentation [9]. Teachers review these reflections and adjust subsequent lessons accordingly.

The third technology is audio and video self-analysis. Students record a short excerpt of their performance at home or after the lesson, then listen to or watch the recording and identify three elements: a successful section, a problematic section, and the practice strategy selected to address the issue. As noted by Webster, technology transforms musical ideas into visible evidence; in this context, recordings serve as concrete evidence for the learner [10]. Through listening to recordings, students hear themselves from an external perspective and often independently identify issues previously highlighted by the teacher.

The fourth technology is peer reflection. In small groups, students listen to each other's performances and provide feedback based on two predetermined criteria, such as sound quality and rhythmic stability. Each student offers one positive comment and one constructive suggestion. This method fosters a culture of attentive listening, develops musical language, and strengthens communication and collaboration competencies. When combined with project-based activities, this technology also reinforces a sense of collective responsibility and community culture [11].

The fifth technology is the reflection map. The teacher provides students with a worksheet on which they document their weekly learning process across four sections: what was learned, what problems emerged, which methods were applied, and what results were achieved. This approach teaches students to manage their own learning process and operationalizes Kolb's learning cycle in a concrete and visible form [12]. For teachers, the reflection map offers a realistic understanding of the quality of students' home practice.

Results and Discussion

Project-based activities make folk instrument lessons more meaningful and connected to real-life contexts. Students learn musical pieces not merely for performance, but for a clearly defined purpose. According to Thomas's framework, project work should be grounded in learner independence, problem orientation, product creation, and presentation [13]. In folk instrument instruction, project-based learning can be organized in three formats: small-scale projects, medium-scale projects, and large-scale projects.

Small-scale projects typically last two weeks. For example, students may prepare a brief presentation about their instrument, covering its structure, sound production principles, maintenance rules, and tuning procedures. As an outcome, students deliver a three-minute presentation and perform a short musical excerpt. This type of project increases students' sense of responsibility, strengthens their relationship with the instrument, and develops their ability to articulate ideas clearly. Digital resources such as photographs and short video clips may be incorporated to support the presentation.

Medium-scale projects usually last about one month. One example is the "local melodies map" project. Working in groups, students identify folk melodies from different regions, indicate their sources, listen to performance samples, prepare their own interpretations, and present them in class. The final product is a digital catalog that includes the title of each melody, its region of origin, a brief description, and a performance recording. This project encourages research skills, analytical listening, and respect for cultural memory. Bauer emphasizes that digital pedagogy can enhance students' listening and analytical abilities, and the digital catalog serves precisely this function [14].

Large-scale projects are designed to last for a quarter or an academic semester. An example is the "masters' legacy" project, in which students collect information about a renowned performer or teacher, analyze their performance style, attempt to interpret a musical piece in a similar stylistic manner, and present the results in the form of a lecture-concert. This project deepens students' aesthetic sensibilities, enriches their stylistic thinking, and improves their stage presentation skills. As Jorgensen highlights the inseparable connection between values and cultural meaning in music education, the "masters' legacy" project provides a strong moral and cultural foundation for learning [15].

Conclusion

Enhancing folk instrument instruction in children's music schools through innovative pedagogical technologies contributes to the sustainable development of students' competencies. This article substantiates the integration of reflection, project-based learning, and digital resources as an effective triadic mechanism within folk instrument education. Reflective technologies enable students to consciously analyze their own performance, identify the causes of challenges, formulate solutions, and transform experience into learning, thereby accelerating growth through reflective practice. The logic of experiential learning integrates reflection and practical experimentation into a cyclical process that deepens instructional quality. Project-based activities encourage students to engage in inquiry, collaboration, the construction of cultural meaning, and the presentation of performance outcomes, thereby connecting musical activity with meaningful real-world goals.

References

[1] D. A. Schön, *The Reflective Practitioner: How Professionals Think in Action*. New York: Basic Books, 2013.

[2] D. A. Kolb, *Experiential Learning: Experience as the Source of Learning and Development*. Englewood Cliffs: Prentice Hall, 2014.

[3] J. W. Thomas, "A Review of Research on Project Based Learning," The Autodesk Foundation, San Rafael, 2010.

[4] P. Mishra and M. J. Koehler, "Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge: A Framework for Teacher Knowledge," *Teach. Coll. Rec.*, vol. 108, no. 6, pp. 1017–1054, 2016.

[5] G. Siemens, *Connectivism: A Learning Theory for the Digital Age*. Athabasca: International Journal of Instructional Technology and Distance Learning, 2005.

[6] J. Dewey, *Experience and Education*. New York: Touchstone, 1997.

[7] G. Salomon, *Distributed Cognitions: Psychological and Educational Considerations*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1993.

[8] E. R. Jorgensen, *The Art of Teaching Music*. Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 2008.

[9] J. Hattie, *Visible Learning: A Synthesis of Over 800 Meta-Analyses Relating to Achievement*. London: Routledge, 2009.

[10] P. R. Webster, "Computer Based Technology and Music Teaching and Learning: Research and Practice," in *The Oxford Handbook of Music Education*, New York: Oxford University Press, 2012, pp. 1–25.

[11] D. Laurillard, *Teaching as a Design Science: Building Pedagogical Patterns for Learning and Technology*. London: Routledge, 2012.

[12] L. S. Vygotsky, *Mind in Society: The Development of Higher Psychological Processes*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1978.

[13] K. Swanwick, *Teaching Music Musically*. London: Routledge, 1999.

[14] P. Burnard, *Musical Creativities in Practice*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2012.

[15] W. I. Bauer, *Music Learning Today: Digital Pedagogy for Creating, Performing, and Responding to Music*. New York: Oxford University Press, 2014.