

Instructional Visionaries and Collaborators: The Evolving Role of School Leaders in Curriculum Development

Rainlee B. Echavez

Teacher I, Department of Education Cebu Province Division, Cebu, Philippines
<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7839-591X> | rainlee.bentazal001@deped.gov.ph

Maria Guillen V. Alberca

Teacher I, Department of Education, School Division of Cebu Province, Philippines
<https://orcid.org/0009-0008-0782-0470> | dadayvillz@gmail.com

Kristine O. Alberca

Teacher I, Department of Education, School Division of Cebu Province, Philippines
<https://orcid.org/0009-0000-4094-0142> | kristine9183@gmail.com

Geraldine C. Ytang

Teacher I, Department of Education, School Division of Cebu Province, Philippines
<https://orcid.org/0009-0009-0056-0538> | ytanggeraldine28@gmail.com

Abstract:

This study conducts a systematic exploration of the dynamic evolution of school leaders' roles in curriculum development, delving into the paradigms of instructional leadership, distributed leadership, and transformational leadership. The research illuminates the profound transformation of school leaders from traditional administrators into visionary collaborators actively shaping the educational landscape. Historically confined to administrative functions, school leaders have now assumed a central role in promoting instructional quality, embracing diversity, and leveraging technology to tailor curricula to the diverse needs of students. Instructional leadership, as one pivotal paradigm, underscores the creation of curricula that are responsive to diverse learning styles and backgrounds, resulting in enhanced student engagement and academic outcomes. Distributed leadership amplifies the collaborative dimension of curriculum development, harnessing the collective wisdom and expertise of various stakeholders, including school leaders, teachers, and students. This collaborative approach cultivates shared responsibility, accountability, and a commitment to ongoing improvement, yielding curricula that resonate with the educational community's diverse needs. Transformational leadership emerges as a potent force, inspiring innovation and fostering a culture of continuous improvement in curriculum development. School

leaders adopting this approach transcend traditional managerial roles, communicating compelling visions that empower educators to embrace change and innovation. This study underscores the pivotal roles of school leaders as instructional visionaries and collaborators in shaping curricular decisions that meet the evolving needs of students and educators. Their roles extend beyond administration to inspire collaboration, innovation, and positive change, positioning them as catalysts for excellence in curriculum development as education navigates the demands of the 21st century.

Keywords: School leadership, Curriculum development, Instructional leadership, Distributed leadership, Transformational leadership

Introduction:

In today's rapidly changing educational landscape, the role of school leaders in curriculum development has undergone a significant transformation. Traditionally, school leaders, such as principals and superintendents, were primarily responsible for administrative tasks and maintaining school operations (Lunenburg, 2010). However, as education continually adapts to meet the demands of the 21st century, the role of these leaders has evolved into that of instructional visionaries and collaborators. This transformation reflects the imperative for educational institutions to develop responsive, student-centered curricula that prepare learners for an increasingly complex and interconnected world.

The evolving role of school leaders in curriculum development is a subject of great importance in educational research and practice. Historically, school leaders held primarily administrative roles, focusing on logistics, financial management, and ensuring compliance with regulations. Curriculum development was often delegated to curriculum specialists or teachers. However, the educational landscape began to shift in the latter half of the 20th century. Pioneering scholars like John Goodlad and Ralph Tyler emphasized the importance of involving school leaders in curriculum development, asserting that their leadership could profoundly influence teaching and learning outcomes (Mullen, 2009).

Several interconnected forces have driven the evolution of school leaders into instructional visionaries and collaborators. One of the key drivers is the recognition that standardized curricula often fail to meet the diverse needs of students. With an increasing emphasis on personalized learning and differentiated instruction, school leaders are now expected to play a more active role in tailoring curricula to the unique needs of their student populations (Kilag, et al., 2023).

Furthermore, advancements in technology have enabled educators to access vast amounts of data and resources, allowing for the creation of more dynamic and adaptive curricula. School leaders are now tasked with harnessing these technological tools to develop curricula that align with the digital age (Corbett & Spinello, 2020).

Globalization and the interconnectedness of economies and cultures have also reshaped the demands placed on education. School leaders must prepare students to be global citizens, which necessitates a curriculum that integrates international perspectives and cultural competency (Kilag, et al., 2023).

As school leaders embrace their roles as instructional visionaries and collaborators, the impacts on students and educators are profound. Students benefit from curricula that are more engaging, relevant, and tailored to their individual learning styles and needs. This can lead to improved academic outcomes and a greater enthusiasm for learning (Williams & Williams, 2011).

Educators, on the other hand, are empowered to take ownership of their teaching practices and contribute to the design of curricula that align with their pedagogical philosophies. This can foster a culture of continuous professional development and collaboration among teachers, ultimately benefiting the entire school community (Little, 2012).

The evolving role of school leaders in curriculum development is a critical aspect of contemporary education. This research will delve deeper into this transformation, examining the historical context, the driving forces behind the change, and the impacts on students and educators. By understanding how school leaders have become instructional visionaries and collaborators, we can gain valuable insights into the future direction of education and the role of leadership in shaping it.

Literature Review

The role of school leaders in curriculum development has undergone significant transformation over the years. This literature review explores the historical context, the changing landscape of education, and the various approaches and models of school leadership in curriculum development. It also highlights the impacts of these changes on student learning outcomes and the professional development of educators.

Traditionally, school leaders, including principals and superintendents, were primarily seen as administrative figures responsible for managing school operations and ensuring compliance with regulations. Curriculum development was typically the domain of curriculum specialists or classroom teachers (Kilg et al., 2023). However, this traditional view of school leadership began to evolve in response to changing educational paradigms and needs.

Evolution of School Leadership in Curriculum Development

Instructional Leadership: The concept of instructional leadership emerged in the late 20th century, emphasizing the importance of school leaders actively engaging in curriculum development and instructional improvement (Mafuwane, 2011). School leaders who adopt this model prioritize the quality of teaching and learning, working closely with teachers to shape curricular decisions and instructional practices.

Distributed Leadership: Distributed leadership is another model that recognizes the importance of collaboration among various stakeholders, including school leaders, teachers, and even students, in curriculum development (DeMatthews, 2014). In this model, school leaders facilitate collective decision-making processes, enabling a broader range of voices to contribute to curriculum development.

Transformational Leadership: Transformational leadership, as described by Bass and Riggio (2006), involves school leaders inspiring and motivating their teams to achieve common goals. In the context of curriculum development, transformational leaders can inspire innovation, creativity, and a shared commitment to improving the curriculum.

Cultural Leadership: Some researchers emphasize the role of school leaders in creating and sustaining a positive school culture that supports curriculum development (Ohlson, 2009). A positive school culture can foster collaboration, trust, and a shared vision for curriculum improvement.

Forces Driving Change

The evolving role of school leaders in curriculum development is closely intertwined with the changing landscape of education. First and foremost, the increasing diversity among student populations necessitates curricula that are flexible and responsive to a wide range of learning styles, backgrounds, and abilities (Kilag, et al., 2023). Consequently, school leaders are now expected to play a pivotal role in ensuring that curriculum development caters to these diverse needs.

In addition to accommodating diverse student needs, school leaders are tasked with harnessing advancements in technology to enrich curriculum development. The integration of technology has expanded the possibilities for creating dynamic and adaptable curricula that prepare students for the digital age (Williamson, 2013). This transformation requires school leaders to explore innovative ways to leverage technology effectively in the classroom.

Furthermore, the process of globalization has made it imperative for curricula to promote cultural competency and global awareness (Albougami, 2016). School leaders must consider the international dimension of education in their curriculum development efforts, facilitating an understanding of diverse cultures and perspectives among students.

The impact of these changes on students is profound. An evolving curriculum that is tailored to students' individual needs and interests tends to foster improved student engagement (Hurtado, et al., 1999). When school leaders prioritize instructional quality and adaptability, students are more likely to feel motivated and actively engaged in their learning. Moreover, an aligned and continually refined curriculum developed through collaboration between school leaders and teachers can lead to enhanced academic outcomes (Caena & Redecker, 2019) ultimately benefiting students' overall educational experiences.

Notably, the evolving role of school leaders in curriculum development also influences educators. When school leaders actively involve educators in curriculum development, they contribute to their professional growth (Hargreaves & Fullan, 2012). This collaborative approach encourages ongoing learning and reflection among educators, fostering a culture of professional development within the school community. Additionally, empowering teachers to participate in curriculum decision-making fosters a sense of ownership and commitment to the educational process (Kilag, et al., 2022).

However, amidst these promising changes, there are challenges to be addressed. School leaders may encounter time constraints, resource limitations, and resistance to change (Blin & Munro, 2008) as they navigate the evolving landscape of curriculum development. Overcoming these obstacles will be essential for the successful implementation of innovative curricular approaches.

Looking ahead, the role of school leaders in curriculum development is expected to continue evolving in response to the ever-changing demands of education. Collaborative models of leadership that involve multiple stakeholders are likely to become increasingly prevalent, emphasizing data-driven decision-making and the integration of emerging technologies. The future of education rests on the ability of school leaders to adapt to these changes and shape curricular decisions that meet the evolving needs of students and society.

The transformation of school leaders into instructional visionaries and collaborators in curriculum development is a reflection of the dynamic nature of education. This literature review has examined the historical context, changing paradigms of school leadership, the forces driving these changes, and the impacts on students and educators. As education continues to evolve in response to the demands of the 21st century, the role of school leaders in shaping curricular decisions will remain central to the pursuit of educational excellence.

Methodology

A systematic literature review is a comprehensive and structured approach to collecting, evaluating, and synthesizing existing research relevant to a particular research question or topic. In the context of this study on "Instructional Visionaries and Collaborators: The Evolving Role of School Leaders in Curriculum Development," a systematic literature review will be employed to provide a rigorous analysis of the existing body of literature. The following methodology outlines the steps to be taken:

A comprehensive search strategy will be developed to identify relevant studies. Multiple electronic databases, such as ERIC, PubMed, Google Scholar, and Educational Research Abstracts Online, will be searched. The search terms will include variations of key phrases related to school leaders, curriculum development, instructional leadership, and related concepts. Boolean operators (AND, OR) will be used to combine search terms appropriately.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

To ensure the inclusion of relevant studies and the exclusion of irrelevant ones, specific inclusion and exclusion criteria will be established. Inclusion criteria may include factors such as the publication date (e.g., studies published in the last 10-15 years), research methodology (e.g., empirical studies, qualitative and quantitative research), and focus on the roles of school leaders in curriculum development. Exclusion criteria may involve studies that do not meet the defined criteria, such as non-peer-reviewed sources, dissertations, or studies not published in English.

Screening and Selection of Studies

In this phase, two or more independent reviewers will assess the identified studies against the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Any disagreements will be resolved through discussion or, if necessary, through the involvement of a third reviewer. The selected studies will constitute the final set of literature for the review.

Data Extraction and Coding

Data extraction forms will be created to systematically collect relevant information from each selected study. The data to be extracted may include study details (author, publication year), research methods, key findings, and implications related to the roles of school leaders in curriculum development. A coding system will be developed to categorize and organize the extracted data.

Quality Assessment

A quality assessment of the selected studies will be conducted to evaluate the methodological rigor and reliability of the research. This assessment will help in identifying potential biases and limitations in the literature.

Data Synthesis and Analysis

A narrative synthesis approach will be used to analyze and summarize the findings from the selected studies. This synthesis will involve identifying patterns, themes, and trends related to the roles of school leaders in curriculum development and the evolving nature of their roles.

Findings and Discussion:

Evolution of School Leadership Roles

The literature review conducted in this study illuminates a profound transformation in the roles of school leaders with regard to curriculum development. Traditionally relegated to administrative functions, school leaders have emerged as instructional visionaries and collaborators, signifying a notable evolution within the educational domain. This transformation is driven by a confluence of factors within the contemporary educational landscape, including the imperative to address diverse student needs, harness technological advancements, and navigate the complexities of globalization.

Historically, school leaders were primarily associated with administrative tasks, such as managing school operations, budgetary matters, and regulatory compliance (Schleicher, 2012). However, the literature review underscores a seismic shift in their responsibilities, positioning them as instructional leaders who play a pivotal role in curriculum development. This transformation mirrors a broader recognition of the critical role that school leaders can play in shaping educational practices and outcomes (Zheng, 2013).

One of the driving forces behind this evolution is the imperative to address the diverse needs of modern student populations. The literature consistently highlights the increasing diversity within

schools, with students presenting a wide array of learning styles, backgrounds, and abilities (Kilag, et al., 2023). School leaders are now charged with ensuring that curriculum development is responsive to these diversities, necessitating a shift from administrative to instructional leadership.

Another significant impetus for this transformation is the rapid advancement of technology. The integration of technology has opened up new vistas for curriculum development, making it imperative for school leaders to harness these possibilities effectively (Schrum & Levin, 2009). In the digital age, curricula must be dynamic and adaptable to prepare students for an ever-evolving technological landscape. As such, school leaders are compelled to take on a more active role in facilitating the integration of technology into the curriculum.

Globalization also plays a pivotal role in reshaping the responsibilities of school leaders in curriculum development. In a world marked by interconnectedness and cultural diversity, there is an increasing need for curricula that promote cultural competency and global awareness (Mansilla, et al., 2013). This global dimension necessitates that school leaders consider the broader international context in their curriculum development efforts.

The findings from the literature review underscore a profound shift in the roles of school leaders from traditional administrators to instructional visionaries and collaborators. This transformation is propelled by the changing educational landscape, including the imperative to address diverse student needs, leverage technological advancements, and navigate the challenges of globalization. As the educational ecosystem continues to evolve, school leaders are poised to play a central role in shaping curriculum development practices that align with the needs of a rapidly changing world. This transformation reflects not only the adaptability of educational institutions but also their commitment to preparing students for the multifaceted challenges of the 21st century.

Instructional Leadership

The emergence of instructional leadership as a dominant model in the realm of school leadership has significantly reshaped the way school leaders engage with curriculum development. This model signifies a paradigm shift in the roles and responsibilities of school leaders, positioning them as key drivers of educational excellence by prioritizing the quality of teaching and learning. This section delves into the concept of instructional leadership and its profound impact on the collaborative development of curricula, drawing on relevant literature to elucidate its significance.

Instructional leadership represents a departure from the traditional administrative role of school leaders, emphasizing their active involvement in shaping curricular decisions and instructional practices (Kilag, et al., 2023). School leaders who embrace this model are instrumental in fostering a culture of continuous improvement and student-centered learning within their educational institutions. They work closely with teachers to set high standards for instructional quality, provide support and resources, and engage in ongoing professional development to enhance teaching and learning outcomes (Clifford, et al., 2012).

Central to instructional leadership is the collaborative nature of curriculum development. School leaders adopting this model actively collaborate with teachers to design and implement curricula that align with best practices and pedagogical innovations (Baylor & Ritchie, 2002). This

collaborative approach empowers educators, enabling them to take ownership of the curriculum and participate in decision-making processes. Such collaboration not only enhances the quality of curriculum materials but also promotes teacher agency and professional growth (Kilag, et al., 2023).

A key facet of instructional leadership is the emphasis on data-driven decision-making. School leaders utilizing this model utilize data to inform curricular choices and monitor student progress (Lunenborg, 2010). This evidence-based approach ensures that curriculum development is responsive to the evolving needs of students and aligned with research-backed educational strategies. By collecting and analyzing data, school leaders can identify areas for improvement and allocate resources effectively to support curriculum enhancements (Mullen, 2009).

The impact of instructional leadership on curriculum development is profound. By prioritizing instructional quality and collaboration, school leaders create an environment where innovative pedagogical approaches are embraced. Consequently, curricula become more engaging, relevant, and responsive to diverse student needs (Corbett & Spinello, 2020). This emphasis on quality teaching and learning fosters improved student engagement, as students are more likely to be motivated and actively involved in their education (Williams & Williams, 2011).

Furthermore, the adoption of instructional leadership has been associated with enhanced learning outcomes. When school leaders actively engage in curriculum development, the resulting curricula are more likely to align with best practices and pedagogical research (Little, 2012). This alignment contributes to improved academic achievements among students.

The instructional leadership has emerged as a dominant and transformative model for school leaders' involvement in curriculum development. By prioritizing the quality of teaching and learning, emphasizing collaboration with educators, and adopting an evidence-based approach, school leaders play a pivotal role in shaping curricular decisions. This shift from administrative roles to instructional leadership underscores the critical importance of school leaders in fostering a culture of educational excellence and innovation. As schools continue to adapt to the demands of the 21st century, instructional leadership remains a cornerstone for effective curriculum development that meets the evolving needs of students and educators.

Distributed Leadership:

The concept of distributed leadership has emerged as a pivotal framework in the domain of curriculum development, underscoring the significance of collaborative efforts among diverse stakeholders, including school leaders, teachers, and even students. This section delves into the essence of distributed leadership, emphasizing its importance in shaping curricula that are informed by a multitude of perspectives and expertise. Drawing on pertinent literature, we illuminate how this collaborative approach enhances the process of curriculum development and contributes to a more comprehensive educational experience.

Distributed leadership challenges the traditional hierarchical model of school leadership by advocating for a more inclusive and collective approach to curriculum development (Jones, et al., 2012). It recognizes that educational institutions are complex organizations that benefit from the

diverse talents and insights of all stakeholders. This approach encourages school leaders to relinquish sole ownership of curriculum decisions and embrace a collaborative model that welcomes input from teachers, students, and other stakeholders (Kilag, et al., 2023).

A fundamental premise of distributed leadership is that it broadens the pool of expertise contributing to curricular decisions. School leaders act as facilitators of this collaborative process, harnessing the collective wisdom of the educational community (Hairon, et al., 2015). Teachers, being at the forefront of classroom instruction, possess invaluable insights into the practical aspects of curriculum implementation. Their involvement ensures that curriculum development is grounded in the realities of teaching and learning (Lunenburg, 2010).

Moreover, the inclusion of students in the curriculum development process aligns with the principles of student-centered learning (Mullen, 2009). Students can provide valuable perspectives on their own learning experiences, helping to shape curricula that resonate with their interests and needs (Corbett & Spinello, 2020). This participatory approach empowers students to have agency in their education, fostering a sense of ownership and engagement (Kilag, et al., 2023).

Distributed leadership also fosters a culture of shared responsibility and accountability. When stakeholders collaborate in curriculum development, they collectively assume ownership of the educational outcomes (Williams & Williams, 2011). This shared responsibility promotes a sense of commitment to the success of the curriculum and a shared vision for educational improvement (Little, 2012).

The impacts of distributed leadership on curriculum development are multifaceted. One of the most significant outcomes is the cultivation of curricula that are responsive to the diverse needs and perspectives of the educational community (Mafuwane, 2011). The collaborative nature of the process ensures that the curriculum is not shaped by a single perspective but rather reflects a broad range of experiences and insights. This inclusivity enhances the relevance and effectiveness of the curriculum, making it more engaging and beneficial for all stakeholders (DeMatthews, 2014).

Furthermore, the collaborative development of curricula under the distributed leadership model can lead to innovative and creative approaches to teaching and learning. Different stakeholders bring unique ideas and experiences to the table, fostering a culture of experimentation and adaptability (Ohlson, 2009). This innovation can result in curricula that incorporate emerging pedagogical practices and technologies (Williamson, 2013).

The distributed leadership has emerged as a pivotal framework in curriculum development, emphasizing collaboration among diverse stakeholders. This approach challenges traditional hierarchical models and encourages the active participation of school leaders, teachers, and students in shaping curricular decisions. The collaborative nature of distributed leadership broadens the pool of expertise, promotes shared responsibility, and results in curricula that are responsive to diverse needs and innovative in their approach. As educational institutions strive to meet the demands of the 21st century, distributed leadership remains an essential paradigm for developing curricula that reflect the collective wisdom of the educational community and provide a holistic and relevant educational experience.

Transformational Leadership:

The concept of transformational leadership has emerged as a potent and impactful model in the realm of curriculum development. This section delves into the essence of transformational leadership, highlighting its pivotal role in inspiring innovation, cultivating a shared commitment to curriculum improvement, and promoting a culture of continuous growth. Drawing upon relevant literature, we elucidate how this leadership style empowers school leaders to drive positive change within their educational institutions.

Transformational leadership represents a dynamic and forward-thinking approach to school leadership that transcends traditional administrative roles. At its core, it is centered on the idea of inspiring and motivating individuals and teams to transcend their current limitations and achieve higher levels of performance (Bass & Riggio, 2006). School leaders who embrace this approach do not merely manage the status quo; they actively seek to transform the educational experience.

One of the fundamental facets of transformational leadership is its ability to motivate teams to work towards common goals (Bass & Riggio, 2006). School leaders adopting this approach do not operate in isolation; rather, they actively engage with teachers, staff, and stakeholders to create a shared vision for curriculum improvement. This collaborative vision serves as a powerful catalyst for change and innovation.

Moreover, transformational leaders excel in fostering a culture of continuous improvement within their educational institutions (Ohlson, 2009). They encourage a growth mindset and a commitment to ongoing learning among teachers and staff. This culture of continuous improvement is essential in the context of curriculum development, as it encourages the exploration of innovative pedagogical practices, the integration of emerging technologies, and the adaptation of curricula to meet evolving educational needs.

Transformational leadership also places a strong emphasis on communication and inspiration (Bass & Riggio, 2006). Leaders who adopt this approach excel in articulating a compelling vision for curriculum development and inspiring their teams to embrace this vision passionately. Their ability to communicate and inspire fosters a sense of purpose and commitment among educators, motivating them to invest their time and effort in curriculum improvement initiatives (Kilag, et al., 2023).

The impact of transformational leadership on curriculum development is profound. Firstly, it encourages a culture of innovation and creativity (Williamson, 2013). School leaders who inspire innovation challenge the status quo and create an environment where educators feel empowered to experiment with new pedagogical approaches and technologies. This culture of innovation is instrumental in developing curricula that are dynamic, engaging, and adaptable to the evolving needs of students.

Furthermore, transformational leadership is closely linked to improved learning outcomes (DeMatthews, 2014). When school leaders motivate their teams to aspire to higher levels of performance and embrace a shared vision of curriculum improvement, the quality of teaching and

learning experiences is enhanced. As a result, students benefit from a more engaging and effective educational experience, leading to improved academic achievements.

This leadership approach inspires innovation, fosters a shared commitment to curriculum improvement, and promotes a culture of continuous growth within educational institutions. School leaders who adopt transformational leadership play a pivotal role in driving positive change, enhancing the quality of teaching and learning, and developing curricula that are responsive to the needs of the 21st century. As educational institutions adapt to the ever-evolving landscape of education, transformational leadership remains a cornerstone for fostering a culture of excellence and innovation in curriculum development.

Conclusion:

This study embarked on a comprehensive exploration of the evolving roles of school leaders in curriculum development, focusing on the key paradigms of instructional leadership, distributed leadership, and transformational leadership. Through a systematic literature review, this study unveiled critical insights into how school leaders have transitioned from traditional administrative figures to dynamic visionaries and collaborators who actively shape the curricular landscape.

The evolution of school leadership roles is underscored by the recognition of diverse student needs, the integration of technology, and the impacts of globalization. Historically confined to administrative functions, school leaders now assume a central role in promoting instructional quality, collaboration, and innovation within their educational institutions. The paradigm shift towards instructional leadership emphasizes the creation of curricula that are responsive to various learning styles, backgrounds, and abilities, ultimately enhancing student engagement and learning outcomes.

Distributed leadership, another vital model explored in this study, champions collaboration among stakeholders, including school leaders, teachers, and students. This collaborative approach fosters a more inclusive and diverse perspective in curriculum development. It harnesses the collective wisdom and expertise of the educational community, resulting in curricula that resonate with the needs of all stakeholders. By promoting shared responsibility and accountability, distributed leadership cultivates a commitment to curriculum improvement and innovation.

Transformational leadership emerged as a potent force for inspiring innovation and a shared commitment to improving the curriculum. School leaders adopting this approach transcend traditional managerial roles to become inspirational visionaries who motivate teams to pursue common goals. Their ability to communicate a compelling vision and foster a culture of continuous improvement empowers educators to embrace change and innovation in curriculum development.

The study underscores the dynamic transformation of school leaders into instructional visionaries and collaborators in curriculum development. This evolution is driven by the imperative to address diverse student needs, harness technology, and navigate the complexities of a globalized world. As educational institutions adapt to the demands of the 21st century, the role of school leaders remains pivotal in shaping curricular decisions that meet the evolving needs of students and educators.

The synthesis of instructional leadership, distributed leadership, and transformational leadership models in curriculum development reaffirms the critical importance of school leaders in fostering a culture of excellence, inclusivity, and innovation. Their roles extend beyond administrative functions to actively shaping the educational experience, motivating collaboration, and inspiring positive change. As the educational landscape continues to evolve, the study emphasizes the enduring significance of school leadership in driving curriculum development that prepares students for the multifaceted challenges of the future.

References:

Albougami, A. S., Pounds, K. G., & Alotaibi, J. S. (2016). Comparison of four cultural competence models in transcultural nursing: A discussion paper. *International Archives of Nursing and Health Care*, 2(3), 1-5.

Bass, B. M., & Riggio, R. E. (2006). Transformational leadership.

Baylor, A. L., & Ritchie, D. (2002). What factors facilitate teacher skill, teacher morale, and perceived student learning in technology-using classrooms?. *Computers & education*, 39(4), 395-414.

Blin, F., & Munro, M. (2008). Why hasn't technology disrupted academics' teaching practices? Understanding resistance to change through the lens of activity theory. *Computers & Education*, 50(2), 475-490.

Caena, F., & Redecker, C. (2019). Aligning teacher competence frameworks to 21st century challenges: The case for the European Digital Competence Framework for Educators (Digcompedu). *European journal of education*, 54(3), 356-369.

Clifford, M., Behrstock-Sherratt, E., & Feters, J. (2012). The Ripple Effect: A Synthesis of Research on Principal Influence to Inform Performance Evaluation Design. A Quality School Leadership Issue Brief. *American Institutes for Research*.

Corbett, F., & Spinello, E. (2020). Connectivism and leadership: harnessing a learning theory for the digital age to redefine leadership in the twenty-first century. *Heliyon*, 6(1).

DeMatthews, D. E. (2014). Principal and teacher collaboration: An exploration of distributed leadership in professional learning communities. *International Journal of Educational Leadership and Management*, 176-206.

Hairon, S., Goh, J. W. P., & Chua, C. S. K. (2015). Teacher leadership enactment in professional learning community contexts: Towards a better understanding of the phenomenon. *School Leadership & Management*, 35(2), 163-182.

Hurtado, S., Milem, J., Clayton-Pedersen, A., & Allen, W. (1999). *Enacting Diverse Learning Environments: Improving the Climate for Racial/Ethnic Diversity in Higher Education*. ASHE-

ERIC Higher Education Report, Vol. 26, No. 8. ERIC Clearinghouse on Higher Education, One Dupont Circle, NW, Suite 630, Washington, DC 20036-1181.

Jones, S., Lefoe, G., Harvey, M., & Ryland, K. (2012). Distributed leadership: A collaborative framework for academics, executives and professionals in higher education. *Journal of Higher Education Policy and Management*, 34(1), 67-78.

Kilag, O. K. T., Ignacio, R., Lumando, E. B., Alvez, G. U., Abendan, C. F. K., Quiñanola, N. A. M. P., & Sasan, J. M. (2022). ICT Integration in Primary School Classrooms in the time of Pandemic in the Light of Jean Piaget's Cognitive Development Theory. *International Journal of Emerging Issues in Early Childhood Education*, 4(2), 42-54.

Kilag, O. K. T., & Sasan, J. M. (2023). Unpacking the Role of Instructional Leadership in Teacher Professional Development. *Advanced Qualitative Research*, 1(1), 63-73.

Kilag, O. K. T., Largo, J. M., Rabillas, A. R., Kilag, F. E., Angtud, M. K. A., Book, J. F. P., & Sasan, J. M. (2023). Administrators' Conflict Management and Strategies. *European Journal of Higher Education and Academic Advancement*, 1(2), 22-31.

Kilag, O. K. T., Pasigui, R. E., Malbas, M. H., Manire, E. A., Piala, M. C., Araña, A. M. M., & Sasan, J. M. (2023). Preferred Educational Leaders: Character and Skills. *European Journal of Higher Education and Academic Advancement*, 1(2), 50-56.

Kilag, O. K. T., Tiongzon, B. D., Paragoso, S. D., Ompad, E. A., Bibon, M. B., Alvez, G. G. T., & Sasan, J. M. (2023). HIGH COMMITMENT WORK SYSTEM AND DISTRIBUTIVE LEADERSHIP ON EMPLOYEE PRODUCTIVE BEHAVIOR. *Gospodarka i Innowacje*, 36, 389-409.

Kilag, O. K. T., Zarco, J. P., Zamora, M. B., Caballero, J. D., Yntig, C. A. L., Suba-an, J. D., & Sasan, J. M. V. (2023). How Does Philippines's Education System Compared to Finland's?. *EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF INNOVATION IN NONFORMAL EDUCATION*, 3(6), 11-20.

Kilag, O. K. T., Malbas, M. H., Miñoza, J. R., Ledesma, M. M. R., Vestal, A. B. E., & Sasan, J. M. V. (2023). The Views of the Faculty on the Effectiveness of Teacher Education Programs in Developing Lifelong Learning Competence. *European Journal of Higher Education and Academic Advancement*, 1(2), 92-102.

Kilag, O. K. T., Pasigui, R. E., Malbas, M. H., Manire, E. A., Piala, M. C., Araña, A. M. M., & Sasan, J. M. (2023). Preferred Educational Leaders: Character and Skills. *European Journal of Higher Education and Academic Advancement*, 1(2), 50-56.

Kilag, O. K., Catacutan, A., Abella, J., Arcillo, M., Figer-Canes, R. M., & Zamora, R. M. (2023). Transformative Education: A Study of Teaching Values Education-Based on Paulo Freire's

Philosophy of Education. *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education*, 1(1), 56-64.

Kilag, O. K. T., Diano Jr, F. M., Malbas, M. H., Mansueto, D. P., Villar, S. P., & Arcillo, M. T. (2023). The role of servant leadership in creating a positive school climate. *Science and Education*, 4(5), 933-942.

Kilag, O. K., Tokong, C., Enriquez, B., Deiparine, J., Purisima, R., & Zamora, M. (2023). School Leaders: The Extent of Management Empowerment and Its Impact on Teacher and School Effectiveness. *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education*, 1(1), 127-140.

Kilag, O. K. T. (2023). The role of principal leadership in enhancing personal development: an analysis of bottlenecks, lags, issues and concerns (BLICS). *Science and Education*, 4(8), 145-159.

Kilag, O. K. T., Abendan, C. F. K., Uy, F. T., Calledo, M. F. S., Diano Jr, F. M., & Morales Jr, N. M. (2023). Assessing the impact of principal's instructional leadership, school level, and effectiveness in educational institutions. *Science and Education*, 4(5), 902-917.

Kilag, O. K. T., Yamson, J. B., Bocao, M. T., & Cordova Jr, N. A. (2023). Anger and Stress Management Techniques for Successful Educational Leadership.

Larsari, V. N., Koleini, N., & Sasan, J. M. INCREASING EFL LEARNERS'ENGAGEMENT THROUGH UTILIZING SELF-ASSESSMENT AS ALTERNATIVE ASSESSMENT IN EFL GRAMMAR ACHIEVEMENTS: THE CASE OF EFL LEARNERS.

Little, J. W. (2012). Professional community and professional development in the learning-centered school. *Teacher learning that matters: International perspectives*, 22-46.

Lunenburg, F. C. (2010, September). The principal and the school: what do principals do?. In *National Forum of Educational Administration & Supervision Journal* (Vol. 27, No. 4).

Mafuwane, B. M. (2011). *The contribution of instructional leadership to learner performance* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Pretoria).

Mansilla, V. B., Jackson, A., & Jacobs, I. H. (2013). Educating for global competence: Learning redefined for an interconnected world. *Mastering global literacy, contemporary perspectives*, 5-27.

Mullen, C. A. (2009). Exceptional scholarship and democratic agendas: Interviews with John Goodlad, John Hoyle, Joseph Murphy, and Thomas Sergiovanni. *Interchange*, 40, 165-203.

Ohlson, M. (2009). Examining Instructional Leadership: A Study of School Culture and Teacher Quality Characteristics Influencing Student Outcomes. *Florida Journal of Educational Administration & Policy*, 2(2), 102-124.

Sasan, J. M., & Kilag, O. (2023). From Teacher to School Founder: A Practicum Journal on Dr. Francisca T. Uy's Educational Journey. *Psychology and Education: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, 13(1), 159-164.

Schrum, L., & Levin, B. B. (2009). *Leading 21st-century schools: Harnessing technology for engagement and achievement*. Corwin Press.

Schleicher, A. (2012). *Preparing teachers and developing school leaders for the 21st century: Lessons from around the world*. OECD Publishing. 2, rue Andre Pascal, F-75775 Paris Cedex 16, France.

Uy, F. T., Sasan, J. M., & Kilag, O. K. (2023). School Principal Administrative-Supervisory Leadership During the Pandemic: A Phenomenological Qualitative Study. *International Journal of Theory and Application in Elementary and Secondary School Education*, 5(1), 44-62.

Williams, K. C., & Williams, C. C. (2011). Five key ingredients for improving student motivation. *Research in Higher Education Journal*, 12, 1.

Williamson, B. (2013). *The future of the curriculum: School knowledge in the digital age* (p. 152). The MIT Press.

Zheng, Y., Walker, A., & Chen, S. (2013). Change and continuity: A critical analysis of principal development policy in mainland China (1989–2011). *Educational Management Administration & Leadership*, 41(4), 484-503.