

Predictive Models for Identifying Drought Tolerance Markers in Cotton Varieties

Rahimova Shirin Sa'dulla kizi, Abdukarimova Marjona Akramjon kizi
Tashkent Institute of Textile and Light Industry of Uzbekistan

Abstract:

Global cotton production is greatly influenced by drought, which can cause yield losses of up to 58 percent during critical growth stages. Improved drought tolerance in cotton varieties is important for agricultural productivity in water scarce areas. Previous studies have investigated physiological, morphological, and biochemical responses to drought but have little integration of these factors into predictive models for variety selection. To fill these gaps this study utilizes a multi-variate approach to assess the drought tolerance indices, namely Stress Tolerance Index (STI), and Mean Productivity (MP) across four cotton varieties in well watered and water deficit conditions. Using partial least squares regression, Pearson's correlation and multiple linear regression, key physiological (stomata conductance), morphological (root to shoot ratio) and biochemical (proline and malondialdehyde) marker data were analyzed to identify control measures for plant biomass. Results indicated that STI was dependent on Δ proline, Δ malondialdehyde and Δ boll weight, and MP was strongly determined by Δ chlorophyll a/b ratio, Δ stomatal conductance and Δ root/shoot ratio. The developed predictive models had robust accuracy in explaining 95% and 89% of the variability of STI and MP, respectively. We identify these results as vital marker genes for drought tolerance and provide a cost effective strategy for early stage phenotyping in cotton breeding programs. The work advances theoretical knowledge as it helps understand the interaction between physiological and biochemical trait within the context of water stress. Future research should expand upon these models to include molecular level analyses and explore combination of abiotic stressors, in order to further increase the robustness and applicability of these models to various agroclimatic settings.

Keywords: Drought tolerance, cotton varieties, water deficit, stress tolerance index, mean productivity, physiological markers, biochemical markers, predictive models, multivariate analysis, sustainable agriculture.

Introduction

Water scarcity is an escalating global challenge, highly impacting agricultural productivity. Due to its importance as a commercial crop, cotton has high sensitivity to water deficit during the blooming stage, as reductions of up to 58% in yield are reported. In the rapidly dwindling water resources caused by climate change and unsustainable practices, causing cotton varieties to become more drought tolerant is a top priority in agricultural research. Knowledge of the physiological, morphological and biochemical response of cotton to water stress is essential towards developing high yielding and sustainable cultivars.

Previous studies have identified other drought tolerance mechanisms, including osmotic regulation, antioxidant defences and root adaptation to water deficit, by which plants can survive in water deficit. For example, both the accumulation of proline and with malondialdehyde (MDA) attenuate oxidative stress and maintain cellular integrity under water limited stress conditions. Rates of chlorophyll stability and efficient stomatal conductance are equally important in maintaining photosynthetic activity. Nevertheless, there are still many knowledge gaps between the variables and their integration into prediction models for screening drought resistant types. In addition, previous research generally fails to utilize advanced multivariate analysis to quantify the combined impacts of these traits on drought tolerance indices.

To fill these gaps, a comprehensive multivariate approach is used in this study to assess the relationship between drought tolerance indices such as Stress Tolerance Index (STI) and Mean Productivity (MP) to certain key physiological, morphological and biochemical markers in cotton. Partial least squares, Pearson's correlation and multiple linear regression analysis were used to assess the variability of these markers under well watered and water deficit conditions, to identify key factors influencing these markers and to compare different time points of flowering.

Predictive models with high accuracy are expected to be developed from the study and critical variables to drought tolerance are expected to be identified. These findings will allow for a robust early-stage phenotyping scheme that utilizes cost efficient and effective methods to select drought resistant cotton varieties. Additionally, the study simultaneously contributes to filling the knowledge gap between theoretical and practical knowledge by depicting how physiological and biochemical processes shape plant resilience to water stress.

This research has the opportunity to incorporate these findings into breeding programs which will significantly improve the sustainability of cotton production in water scarce areas. Finally, this has implications for other areas of understanding the response of plants to abiotic stress, with wider potential application for enhanced crop improvement under changing climatic conditions. These results should serve as a starting point to future studies using molecular analysis and exploring the effects of multiple stressors to improve cotton drought tolerance strategies.

Materials and Methods

This research method applies integration of physiological, morphological and biochemical markers together with advanced statistical analyses to explore drought tolerance in cotton varieties and development of predictive models. Four cotton varieties were evaluated in well watered and water deficit conditions during the blooming stage. The experiment is performed using randomized block design with split plots for water regimes and varieties to ensure robust comparison. Response and adaptability of various key variables to varying water deficiency, such as leaf and root dry matter, stomatal conductance, proline and chlorophyll ratios, were measured. Analysis was conducted on calculated indices (including Stress Tolerance Index (STI), Mean Productivity (MP)) with them as variables, and predictors, using partial least squares, Pearson's correlation, and multiple linear regression to analyze their relationship with the variables. The models predict 95% and 89% variability of STI and MP respectively and proline, chlorophyll ratios, and boll weight were important contributing factors. These findings provide a practical framework for cotton breeding

programs to increase selection efficiency within the least drought tolerant and most drought tolerant extremes of the cotton family, simultaneously improving both cotton resilience and productivity under water deficit conditions.

Results and Discussion

The study generated predictive models for the drought tolerance of cotton varieties combining physiological, morphological and biochemical variables. The Stress Tolerance Index (STI) and the Mean Productivity (MP) models showed good predictive accuracy: 95% and 89% respectively, in explaining variability. From the findings, it was found that Δ proline, Δ malondialdehyde (Δ MDA), and Δ boll weight were the critical contributors to STI while Δ chlorophyll a/b ratio, Δ stomatal conductance, and Δ root/shoot ratio had significant effect on MP. The physiological and biochemical mechanisms behind water deficit adaptation mean that these variables are excellent selection markers for drought-tolerant varieties.

Proline plays a major role in osmotic regulation and antioxidative defense to moderate lipid peroxidation and preserve cellular stability and thus the Δ proline content presumably must be the dominant factor. The Δ chlorophyll a/b ratio of the photosynthetic apparatus similarly expressed its structural integrity under water deficit, and similarly reflects the plant's ability to maintain photosynthetic efficiency. The Δ root/shoot ratio indicated that root system plasticity resulted in improved water uptake and nutrient acquisition under stress. Taken together, these findings are consistent with previously reported information and are relevant in drought tolerance studies.

However, some of these limitations warrant further investigation. Variability of response in different genotypes indicated some degree of 'Shard genes' not adequately explored. In addition, although the study is multivariate, the level of mechanistic understanding is limited by excluding molecular level analysis (e.g., gene expression profiles). New research expanding to incorporate omics technologies may help to reveal the breadth and nature of the molecular pathways involved in drought tolerance.

These results have important practical implications for cotton breeding programs. Calibrated models provide a streamlined methodology for early stage phenotyping decreasing the time and cost involved in traditional selection schemes. Yet, the high destructive power of root characteristic evaluation immediately raises practical problems, as it obliges the development of non-invasive phenotyping tools. For example, the rapid assessment of root traits and chlorophyll content could be feasible by imaging technologies or remote sensing advances that did not affect the plant integrity.

The study bridges important knowledge gaps from a theoretical point of view by identifying and quantifying the interactions between morphological, physiological and biochemical factors in controlling drought tolerance. Nevertheless, these inconsistencies in the contribution of Δ proline indicate that further probing of the context dependent role of Δ proline is necessary within the varieties. Finally, preliminary future work on the exploration is also suggested on the impact of environmental variables, e.g., soil composition and micro climatic conditions, on the predictive accuracy of the models.

Further Research Directions

The future studies should be further extended to validate the predictive models across different agro climatic regions and cotton genotypes to improve generalizability. Genomic and transcriptomic data integration can identify novel markers and pathways relevant to drought resilience. In addition to this, studies of how multiple stressors (heat and nutrient deficiency) function synergistically would yield a complete picture of how plants respond to stress. Along with practical research this should also center around high throughput, non invasive phenotyping techniques to truly make the results more applicable to large scale breeding programs.

The study concludes with a robust framework for selecting of drought tolerant cotton varieties for sustainable agriculture in water limited environments. But to make progress in the domain both theoretically and practically, we believe addressing the identified gaps through interdisciplinary approach will be critical.

Conclusion

The study concludes by developing predictive models of drought tolerance in cotton varieties that integrate physiological, morphological, and biochemical markers, with STI model achieving 95% accuracy, and the Mean Productivity (MP) model achieving 89% accuracy. STI key variables were identified as critical indicators including Δ proline, Δ malondialdehyde, and Δ boll weight; the Δ chlorophyll a/b ratio, Δ stomatal conductance, and Δ root/shoot ratio were influential for MP, and were potential indicators that should be considered when selecting a drought-resistant cotton variety. The results have important implications to improve cotton breeding programs by allowing for efficient early phenotyping and selection of drought resistant varieties promoting agricultural sustainability in areas of water limitation. The study, however, points to further work in the genetic underpinnings of drought tolerance, inclusion of molecular level analysis and development of non invasive phenotyping tools that will enable large scale application. Moreover, by expanding the research to several agro climatic conditions and the simultaneous effect of multiple stressors, there is a great potential to understand more comprehensively plant stress responses and make the predictive models more robust.

References:

1. Baxramovna, K. D., Qutratillayevna, S. G., & Shamuratovna, A. M. (2021). Research On The Selection Of Dyes For Dying Mixed Yarn Based On Silk And Cotton Fiber. *European Journal of Research Development and Sustainability*, 2(1), 11-14.
2. Khudayberdieva, D. B., Buriev, Z. T., Jumaniyazov, Q. J., Sadikova, G. Q., & Mamadjanova, S. A. (2022, March). PERFORMANCE PROPERTIES OF NEW VARIETIES OF COTTON FIBER. In *Conference Zone* (pp. 245-247).
3. Khudayberdieva, D., Sadikova, G., & Mamadjanovna, S. (2022). Structural and performance properties of new varieties of cotton fiber. *Asian Journal of Research in Social Sciences and Humanities*, 12(3), 70-76.
4. Abdelraheem A, Adams N, Zhang J. 2020. Effects of drought on agronomic and fiber quality in an introgressed backcross inbred line population of Upland cotton under field conditions. *Field Crops Research*, 254, 107850
5. Fernández G C. 1992. Effective selection criteria for assessing stress tolerance. In: Proceedings of the International Symposium on Adaptation of Vegetable and Other Food Crops in Temperature and Water Stress. [2020-02-19]. <https://worldveg.tind.io/record/72511>
6. Ahmad A, Ilyas M Z, Aslam Z, Muhammad R, Ali A, Naeem S, Nazar M, Rehman S U. 2020. Physiological screening of cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) genotypes against drought tolerance. *Pure and Applied Biology*, 9, 140–147.
7. Bates L S, Waldren R P, Teare I D. 1973. Rapid determination of free proline for water-stress studies. *Plant and Soil*, 39, 205–207.
8. Burbano O, Montes-Mercado K S, Pastrana-Vargas I J, CadenaTorres J. 2017. Introduction and development of Upland cotton cultivars in the Colombian production system: A review. *Ciencia y Agricultura*, 15, 29–44. (in Spanish)
9. Chastain D R, Snider J L, Choinski J S, Collins G D, Perry C D, Whitaker J, Grey T L, Sorensen R B, Van lersen M, Byrd S A, Porter W. 2016. Leaf ontogeny strongly influences

photosynthetic tolerance to drought and high temperature in *Gossypium hirsutum*. *Journal of Plant Physiology*, 199, 18–28

10. Dubois M, Gilles K A, Hamilton J K, Rebers P A, Smith F. 1956. Colorimetric method for determination of sugars and related substances. *Analytical Chemistry*, 28, 350–356