

On Semi Strong and Semi Weak Sets in Topological Spaces

Othman Rhaif Madhool Al-Charani, Qasim Hadi Heddam

Directorate General of Education in Babylon, Ministry of Education, Iraq

Abstract:

We investigate the concept of semi-strong (s-strong) and semi-weak (s-weak) sets in topological spaces. We begin by giving definitions, some examples and fundamental characteristics for the two sorts of sets. The two sets are contrasted, and their links are described and studied. In addition, a class of functions using semi strong and semi weak sets has been discovered in topological spaces. Additionally, s-strong and s-weak sets are independent and cannot ever be comparable.

Keywords: s-minimal semi-open sets, maximal semi-closed sets, s-strong sets, and s-weak sets.

1. Introduction

The purpose of this study is to have a more thorough understanding of topological ideas. The main objective of this sets research is to support the advocacy qualities of the theories. In [1], N. Levin (1963) defines semi-open (s-open) and semi-closed (s-closed) sets and examines their characteristics, in topological space, he defined a set A called the (s-open) set if find an open set $O : O \subseteq A \subseteq \bar{O}$ where \bar{O} denoted by the closure of O in X , the complement semi-open (s-open) set named semi-closed (s-closed) set. In (1971) Semi-closure was introduced by S. G. Crossley and S. K. Hildebrand in (1971), they defined it as the smallest semi-closed (s-closed) set contained in a set A in topological space [2], and shortened by $Scl(A)$ or \bar{A}^s . The truth \bar{A}^s is the intersection of all semi closed sets contained A , $\bar{A}^s \subseteq \bar{A}$ and $\overline{\bar{A}^s} = \bar{A}^s$. S-minimal open and maximal closed sets, two significant forms of sets that have been explored, were presented in 2003 and 2001, respectively. These sets are subclasses of the sets s-open and s-closed. Later studies in [5]–[7] looked at s-minimum open and s-maximal closed sets as well. This work's goal is to present certain definitions utilizing s-minimal open and s-maximal closed sets, which we refer to as s-weak sets and s-strong sets, respectively. We take a look at several essential characteristics and lay some theoretical groundwork for them. Additionally, we offer three new functions: s-strong maximum continuous, s-strong maximal continuous, and s-strong

irresolute functions. We also examine the relationships between these functions. In [8] R. A. Al-Abdulla (2021) defines strong and weak sets and explores their characteristics. In this work, we present and study new classes of s-connected spaces utilizing s-strong and s-weak sets.

Notation:

We shall refer to the topological space by its symbol, $(tp-s)$.

2. Preliminaries

Definition(2.1): [9]

Assume that X be a $(tp-s)$ and $A \subseteq X$. A is called semi-open (s -open) set in X iff $A \subseteq \overline{A^\circ}$. The complement of s -open set is named semi-closed(s -closed) that is A *s-closed* is set iff $\overline{A}^\circ \subseteq A$. The intersection of all s -closed subsets of X containing A is named semi-closure (s -closure) of A and the union of all s -open subsets of X contained in A is called semi-interior (s -interior) of A , and are denoted by $\overline{A}^s, A^{\circ s}$ respectively.

Definition (2.2)[3]: Let (ϵ, t) be a tripod-s. Then:

- (1) If an open set H is in H and differs from the empty set, then H is said to be a minimal open set (or just an m -open set) if all other open sets that should be incorporated into H are either H or the empty set..
- (2) If every closed set that contains F is also a subset of F , then the closed set F of F, G is said to be simple or maximally closed (M -closed).

Definition(2.3)[2]: If (X, t) is a $tp-s$.

- (1) If an s -open set H is present and different from the empty set, then it is called a minimal s -open set (or simply an ms -open set). If every s -open set that is contained in H is also H or the empty set, then H is said to be an ms -open set.
- (2) If an s -closed set F is in ϵ , then it is also called a maximum s -closed set (or simply an ms -closed set) if the set of open intervals containing F is either the same as F or smaller in size.

Definition(2.4)[8]: Let (ϵ, t) be a $tp-s$ set. If A° is an m -open set, then $A \neq \emptyset: A \subseteq \epsilon$ is called a weak set (or simply a w -set).

If \bar{A} is an m -closed set, then A is called a strong set (or simply a s -set).

3. The Main Findings

Definition(3.1): Let (ϵ, t) be a $tp-s$ set. Therefore, a properly nonempty set of $A \subseteq \epsilon$ is:

- 1. If $A^{\wedge(\mu s)}$ is an ms -open set, it is called a semi-weak (sw) set.
- 2. If $\mu(A)^s$ is an ms -closed set, it is called a semi-strong (ss) set..

Example(3.2): Consider $X = \{a, b, c\}$ with topology $t = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}\}$. Then:

Open sets: $\{\emptyset, X, \{a\}\}$

s -open: $\{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, X\}$.

Maximal open : $\{a\}$

Maximal s -open : $\{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, X\}$

w -set: $\{X, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}\}$.

sw -set: $\{X, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}\}$.

Remark (3-3): Let (X, t) be a $tp-s$ then:

- 1- Not all open set is maximal open as in [Example(3.2)]show that $\{a\}$ is open but is not maximal open set.
- 2- Not every maximal s-open set is open as in [Example(3.2)]show that $\{a, b\}$ is maximal s-open but is not open set.
- 3- Not every open set is Weak set as in [Example(3.2)]show that $\{\emptyset\}$ is open but is not Weak set.
- 4- Not every Weak set is open set as in [Example(3.2)]show that $\{a, b\}, \{a, c\}$, is Weak set but is not open set.
- 5- Not every s-open set is sw-set as in [Example(3.2)]show that $\{a\}$ is s-open but is not sw-set.
- 6- Not every open set is sw-set as in the [Example(3.2)]sho. ws.

that $\{a\}$ open sets but is not sw-set because $\{a\}^{os} = \{a\}$, which is not maximal semi.-open in X .

Proposition(3.4): Let X be tp -s then the union of all sw-sets in X is also sw-set.

Pro.of:(clear)

Proposition(3.5): Let ε be a set of tps. Next, the meeting of two sets in ε that are both smaller than or equal to a third set is not a set in ε , this is demonstrated in the example (3.2). Obviously, $\{a, b\}$ and $\{a, c\}$ are both subsets of ε , but $\{a, b\} \cap \{a, c\} = \{a\}$ is not a subset.

Theorem(3.6): Allow (ε, t) to be the tp -s set, and H to be the complement of it. Then H is an Ms-closed set if and only if H^c is an Ms-open set.

Proof:

Let A be a maximal s-closed set, $A \subset X$ or $A \subset A$, therefore $[\emptyset \subset A]^c$, hence $A \subset X$ or $A \subset A$, which means A is a maximal s-open set.

Theorem(3.7): Let (ε, t) be a tp -suple, and A be an s-open set in ε . Then A is considered an open set and only if it is considered an open set.

Proof:

Let A be a set that is both s- and an w-closed set. Then $A^{(s)}$ is an open set that is ms-bounded, and $A = A^{(s)}$. As a result, A is an ms-complete set. Alternatively, consider the case where A is an ms-complete set. Then A is an open set, so $A^{(0)}$ is also an open set. As a result, A is a set of swaps.

Example(3.8): Allow (R, t_u) to be a tp -system, where R is the domain of real numbers and t_u is the standard topology. $(R - 1)$ is therefore an s-open set, although it is not an ms-open or a sw-open set. Despite 1's lack of ms-ness or ss-ness, it still constitutes an s-closed set.

Theorem(3.9): Let (ε, t) be a tp -systematic set that includes the intersection of any two open sets in ε as a part of its system. Next, an s-open subset of ε is considered an ss set if and only if its complement, H^c , is an sw set.

Proof:

Let \mathcal{H} be ss-set and \mathcal{U} an s-open set like that $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{H}^{c^{os}}$. Then $\overline{\mathcal{H}}^s \subseteq \mathcal{U}^c$. Since \mathcal{U} is ss-set and intersection of two s-open set in X is s-open set, then $\overline{\mathcal{H}}^s$ is Ms-closed. Therefore $\mathcal{U}^c = \overline{\mathcal{H}}^s$ or $\mathcal{U}^c = \overline{\mathcal{H}}^s$. Hence $\mathcal{U} = \emptyset$ or $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{H}^{c^{os}}$. Therefore $\mathcal{H}^{c^{os}}$ is ms-open set. Then \mathcal{H}^c is sw-set. Conversely, let \mathcal{H}^c be sw-set and \mathcal{F} be a closed set with $\overline{\mathcal{H}}^s \subseteq \mathcal{F}$. Then $\mathcal{F}^c \subseteq \mathcal{H}^{c^{os}}$. Since \mathcal{H}^c is

sw-set, then $\mathcal{H}^{c^{\circ s}}$ is ms-open. Therefore $\mathcal{F}^c = \emptyset$ or $\mathcal{F}^c = \mathcal{H}^{c^{\circ s}}$. Hence $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{X}$ or $\mathcal{F} = \overline{\mathcal{H}^s}$. Therefore $\overline{\mathcal{H}^s}$ is Ms-closed set. Then \mathcal{H} is ss-set.

Example (3.10): Suppose (\mathbb{R}, t) is a tp-s set, where \mathbb{R} is the set of all real numbers, with the topology; $t = \{A \ni R: 1 \in A\} \cup \{\emptyset\}$. Then $\{1\}$ is an open set and a set of words, but it isn't a closed set or an ss set. $\mathbb{R} - \{1\}$ is also considered an ms-closed set and an ss-set, but not an ms-open set or a swimming set.

Theorem(3.11): Let (X, t) be a tp-s and $A \subseteq X$. Then if A is sw-set, $B \subseteq X$ such that $B^{\circ s} \neq \emptyset$ and $B \subseteq A$ then $A^{\circ s} = B^{\circ s}$.

Proof:

Let A be sw-set, $B \subseteq X$ such that $B^{\circ s} \neq \emptyset$ and $A \subseteq B$. Then $A^{\circ s}$ is ms-open set and $B^{\circ s} \subseteq A^{\circ s}$. Therefore $A^{\circ s} = B^{\circ s}$.

Theorem (3.12): If (ε, t) is a tp-slot. If A is an ss set, F is an s-closed set, and if $\bar{A} \cap F \neq \varepsilon$, then $F \subseteq \bar{A}$.

Proof:

Given that A is ss-set, then \bar{A}^s is Ms-closed set, also due to $(\bar{A}^s \cup F)$ is s-closed set, $\bar{A}^s \subseteq (\bar{A}^s \cup F)$ then $(\bar{A}^s \cup F) = \bar{A}^s$. Hence $F \subseteq \bar{A}^s$.

Corollary (3.13): Suppose (ε, t) is a tp-set that contains the intersection of any two open sets in ε as a subset. If A is a set of swaps, G is an open set that contains $A \cap \varepsilon$ and is therefore included in A . If A is a set of swaps that includes the empty set as a component, then A is said to be empty..

Proof:

Since A is an s-set, $A^{\circ s}$ is an Ms-door set. Since $(A \cap \varepsilon) \cup G$ is an s-open set, $A \cap \varepsilon \cup G$ is also an s-open set. Since $A^{\circ s} \cap G$ is not empty, therefore $A^{\circ s} \supseteq G$.

Corollary(3.14): If \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B} are ss-sets in a tp-s (X, t) such that $\overline{\mathcal{F}^s} \cup \overline{\mathcal{B}^s} \neq X$ then $\overline{\mathcal{F}^s} = \overline{\mathcal{B}^s}$.

Proof:

Let \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B} be ss-sets such that $\overline{\mathcal{F}^s} \cup \overline{\mathcal{B}^s} \neq X$. Then $\overline{\mathcal{B}^s}$ is s-closed set. Therefore, $\overline{\mathcal{B}^s} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{F}^s}$. By the same way we can prove $\overline{\mathcal{F}^s} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{B}^s}$. Then $\overline{\mathcal{F}^s} = \overline{\mathcal{B}^s}$.

Corollary (3.15): If \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V} are w-sets in a tp-s (X, t) with $\mathcal{U}^{\circ s} \cap \mathcal{V}^{\circ s} \neq \emptyset$, then $\mathcal{U}^{\circ s} = \mathcal{V}^{\circ s}$.

Proof:

Let \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V} be w-sets such that $\mathcal{U}^{\circ s} \cap \mathcal{V}^{\circ s} \neq \emptyset$. Then $\mathcal{V}^{\circ s}$ is s-open set. Therefore, $\mathcal{U}^{\circ s} \subseteq \mathcal{V}^{\circ s}$. By the same way we can prove $\mathcal{V}^{\circ s} \subseteq \mathcal{U}^{\circ s}$. Then $\mathcal{U}^{\circ s} = \mathcal{V}^{\circ s}$.

Theorem (3.16): Let (μ, t) be the tp-slot, A be the set of slots, and x be in $A \cap (0^{\circ})$. Next, if s is an open set with s-open sets W , if x is not in W , then $A \cap (0^{\circ})$ is a subset of W^c .

Proof:

Supposons que x participe à la rotation aux pôles. Alors, pour tout ensemble ouvert s W , si x n'appartient pas à W , alors $A \cap (0^{\circ}) \not\subseteq W$. Par conséquent, $A \cap (0^{\circ})$ est inclus dans W^c .

Corollary (3.17): Let (ε, t) be a tp-suple, and A be an ssuple, such that $x \in \bar{A}$. Next, if s is a closed set that contains x , then F^c is included in the set of all possible openings of the form A^s .

Proof:

Let A be a set of ss words, and $x \notin A^s$. Next, if x is in a s-closed set F , then $F \not\subseteq \bar{(A)}^s$. As a result, F^c is included in the interior of A 's sphere of influence. Theorems (3.18): Let (ϵ, t) be a tp-slot. If ϵ has two subsets A and B that are contained in t , and the intersection of two open sets in ϵ is still an open set, then $A^{(s)} \not\subseteq B$. Additionally, if A and B have the property that $A^{(s)} \cap B = \emptyset$, then A and B are said to be adjacent in t .

Pro.of: Let A be a set of cards with a specific distribution. Then $A^{(s)}$ is an open set that is s-complete. Since $A^{(s)} \cap B$ is contained in $A^{(s)}$ and $A^{(s)} \not\subseteq B$, therefore $A^{(s)} \cap B = \emptyset$.

Corollary (3.19): Let (ϵ, t) be a tp-system, such that the intersection of two s-open sets in ϵ is still an s-open set. If ϵ has a set A with an s-closed component B , and $B \not\subseteq \bar{(A)}^s$, then $\bar{(A)}^s \cup B = \epsilon$.

Proof:

Theorems (3.20): Let (ϵ, t) be a tp-s set, and A and B be s-open sets in ϵ . If A is a set of swaps, and B is not empty and B is included in A , then B is a set of swaps.

Pro.of: Let A be a set of size s , and B be a non-empty open set of size t , and $B \subseteq A$. Next, $A^{(s)}$ is an open set that is s-complete. If G is an s-open set, then G is included in $B^{(s)}$. Then G is included in $A^{(s)}$, therefore G is either empty or $A^{(s)}$ is equal to G . If $A^{(s)}$ is equal to G , then G is said to be $B^{(s)}$. This implies that B is a series of ordered sets (so).

Theorems (3.21): Let (ϵ, t) be a set of sorted collections (tp-s), and A and B be s-open sets in ϵ . If A is a set of collections of objects that are ordered by some property, and there is no element in A that is smaller than B , then B is a set of ordered collections (so).

Pro.of: Let A be a set of sorted collections (sw), and B be a non-empty s-open set that is not in A . Next, $A^{(s)}$ is a collection of sets that are ordered by their members' names (s-close). Let G be an open space, and $G \subseteq B^{(0)}$. Then G is included in $A^{(s)}$, therefore G is either empty or $A^{(s)}$ is equal to G . If $A^{(s)}$ is equal to G , then G is said to be $B^{(s)}$. This implies that B is a series of ordered sets (so).

Note (3.22): If (ϵ, t) is a tp-system, and A is a subset of it. If A is an ss set, then the set of its elements, $\bar{(A)}^s$, is also an ss set. If A is a set of swaps, then $A^{(s)}$ is also a set of swaps.

Corollary (3.23): Let (ϵ, t) be a tp-system, and A, B be parts of it. If A is an ss set, and $A \cup B \neq \epsilon$, then $A \cup B$ is an ss set.

Corollary (3.24): Let (ϵ, t) be a tp-system, and A, B be components of t . If A is a set of swaps, and if $A \cap B$ is not empty, then $A \cap B$ is also a set of swaps. Theorem (3.25): Let H be a non-empty subset of the tp set (ϵ, t) that satisfies the following conditions: the intersection of any two s-open sets in ϵ is also an s-open set. As a result, the following statements are synonymous.

If H is an s-open set, then it is an s-w set.

If H is an s-closed set, then it is an ss-set.

Pro.of: Theorems (3-9) and (3-14) are supportive of this pro.of.

Theorem (3.26): Let H be a proper subset of $tps(\epsilon, t)$ that intersects any two s-open sets in ϵ is also an s-open set. As a result, the following statements are synergistic:

If H is an s-open set, then it is also an sw-set.

If H is a s-complete set, then it is an ss-set.

Pro.of:

The theorems (3-6) and (3-9) endorse this pro.of.

Theorem (3.27): Let \mathcal{U} be a subset of $\text{tp-s}(\epsilon, t)$ that includes the intersection of any two s -open sets in ϵ as well. After that, the following statements are synonymous:

If \mathcal{U} is a set of cards with an ace as its first element, then \mathcal{U} is an open set with a ms-root.

If \mathcal{U} is an ss -set, then it is an ms -bounded set.

Proof:

The theorems (3-6) and (3-9) endorse this proof.

Theorem (3.28): Let (ϵ, t_y) be a subspace of $\text{tp-s}(\epsilon, t)$ that is open and closed. If A is an s -closed set in ϵ , and $\epsilon \not\subseteq \overline{(A)^s}$ and $A \cap \epsilon$ is not empty, then $A \cap \epsilon$ is also an s -closed set in ϵ .

Proof:

Let F be an s -closed set in ϵ , and y (or c) be a point in F . Then F is an s -closed set in ϵ , and A is a subset of F containing y (or c). As a result, A is also an s -closed set in c . Since A is an s -set, its complement, A^c or F , is also an s -set. If $F \cup \epsilon^c = \epsilon$, then $F = \epsilon$. If the set of parameters associated with A is included in the set of parameters associated with F and if s_y is a new parameter associated with A and y is a new parameter associated with F and s_y . As a result, y is included in the set $A \cap y$. $(s_y) = F$. As a result, $A \cap Y$ is an ss -set in Y .

Theorems (3.29): Let (Y, t_y) be a closed subspace of $\text{tp-s}(X, t)$.

If A is an ss -set in ϵ , then either $\overline{(A)^s}$ or $\overline{(A)^s} \cup \epsilon = \epsilon$.

Proof:

The proof is derived from the set of rules $\overline{(A)^s} \subseteq \overline{(A)^s} \cup \epsilon$.

Theorems (3.30): Let (ϵ, t_y) be a subspace of $\text{tp-s}(\epsilon, t)$. If A is a set in the environment of size 3, and if A is also a set in the environment and if A and ϵ intersect, then A is also a set in the environment.

Proof:

Assuming that G is a subset of t_y that satisfies the following conditions: G is a subset of $[(A \cap \epsilon)]^{(\circ \epsilon)}$. Then G is the square root of ϵ , and $G = G \cap \epsilon \subseteq (A \cap \epsilon)^{(\circ s \epsilon)} \cap \epsilon^{(\circ s)} = (A \cap \epsilon)^{(\circ s)} = A^{(\circ s)} \cap \epsilon^{(\circ s)} \subseteq A^{(\circ s)}$. As a result, G is equal to $A^{(\circ s)}$ or G is empty. If $G = A^{(\circ s)}$, then $(A \cap \epsilon)^{(\circ s \epsilon)} = A^{(\circ s \epsilon)} \cap \epsilon^{(\circ s \epsilon)} = A^{(\circ s \epsilon)} \cap \epsilon^{(\circ s)} = A^{(\circ s)} = G$. As a result, $A \cap \epsilon$ is the square root of ϵ .

Theorem (3.31): Let (Y, t_y) be a subspace of $\text{tp-s}(X, t)$ that is open. If A is a set of angles in degrees, then $A^{(\circ s)} \subseteq Y$ or $A^{(\circ s)} \diamond Y = \emptyset$.

Proof:

The conclusion is derived from $A^{(\circ s)} \cap Y \subseteq A^{(\circ s)}$.

Theorem (3.32): Let (Y, t_y) be a closed subspace of $\text{tp-s}(X, t)$. If every non-empty, proper, and open-s subset of X is a set of sw in X , then every non-empty, proper, and open-s subset of Y is also a set of sw in Y .

Proof: Let U be an open-s subset of B . Then U is an open s -subset of ϵ . As a result, U is a set in ϵ . Since $U \cap \epsilon$ is different from ϵ and $U \cap \epsilon$ is different from nothing, by Theorem (3-32), U is a subset of ϵ .

Theorems (3.33): Let (ϵ, t_y) be a subspace that is both open and closed in $\text{tp-s}(\epsilon, t)$. If every non-empty proper subset of ϵ that is not empty is an ss set of ϵ , then every non-empty proper subset of ϵ that is not empty is an ss set in ϵ .

Pro.of:

Suppose F is a non-empty s-closed sub.set of ε . Then F is a s-complete sub.set of ε . As a result, F is a sub.set of ε . Since $F \cap Y \neq Y$ and $F \cap Y \neq \emptyset$, according to Theorem (3-31), F is a set that is ss in ε .

4- Some applications employ semi-intense and semi-fragile sets:

Definition (4.1): Let $f: \varepsilon \rightarrow \varepsilon$ be a transformation from the first to the second type of ε . After that, the process is referred to as follows:

SS-strongly maximized continuous (ssM-continuous for short), if every ss-set A in ε , $f^{-1}(A)$ is an Ms-closed set in ε .

Maximal ss-strongly continuous (mss-continuous for short), if every ss-closed set A in ε , $f^{-1}(A)$ is an ss-set in ε .

SS-very indecipherable (ss-in.effable for short), if every ss-set A in ε , $f^{-1}(A)$ is an ss-set in ε .

SS-very indecipherable (ss-in.effable for short), if every ss-set A in ε , $f^{-1}(A)$ is an ss-set in ε .

Theorem (4.2): Let $f: \varepsilon \rightarrow \varepsilon$ be a mapping from a tp-s on ε to a tp-s on ε . Next, the following two statements are equally valid:

The stock's performance is considered good.

Every sub.set of A in ε that is contained in the set A is an ms-open set on ε .

Theorem (4.3): Let $f: \varepsilon \rightarrow \varepsilon$ be a mapping from a tripod's point of view on ε to a tripod's point of view on ε . Next, the following two statements are equally valid:

f is Mss-continuous.

Every ms-open set A in ε , which is contained in an open set of size at most ms, is also an ms-open set on ε .

Theorem (4.4): Let $f: \varepsilon \rightarrow \varepsilon$ be a mapping from a tp-s on ε to a tp-s on ε . Next, the following two statements are identical:

The meaning of ss is ambiguous.

Every subsystem of A in ε is also a subsystem of A in ε .

Theorem (4.5): Let $f: \varepsilon \rightarrow \varepsilon$ be a mapping from a tp-s set in ε to a tp-s set in ε . Then:

If f is continuous, then it is undecided.

If f is undecided, then it is Mss-periodic.

As a result, if f is ssM-periodic, then it is Mss-periodic. Proof:

The proof is complete, since every sM-covered set is an ss set.

Example: (4.6) Let the set of parameters be $\varepsilon = \{k, v, l\}$, where $t = \{\{k\}, \emptyset, \varepsilon\}$, and $\varepsilon' = \{k, v\}$, where $t' = \{\{k\}, \emptyset, \varepsilon\}$. Then $\{l\}$ is an ss-set in ε , but not a ssM-complete set. Let $f: (\varepsilon, t) \rightarrow (\varepsilon', t')$ be a function that satisfies the following properties: if t is greater than or equal to k , then $f(k) = t$, and if t is smaller than k , then $f(k) = k$. Then, f is ss-indeterminate, but not ssM-continuous, because for the ss set $\{v\}$ in Y , the function $f^{-1}(\{v\})$ is equal to k , and k is not an sM-closed set in ε .

Theorem (4.7): Let $f: \varepsilon \rightarrow \varepsilon$ be a map from the tp-s set ε to the tp-s set Y , where ε is defined as follows: every ss set is an sM-closed set. If f is unable to be resolved, then f is considered to be ssM-continuous.

Pro.of:

The conclusion is derived from the premises. Sample (4.8): Let $\varepsilon = \{k, v, l\}$, where $t = \{\{k, v\}, \emptyset, \varepsilon\}$, $Y = \{k, v, l, h\}$. Let $t' = \{k, v\}, \emptyset$, and Y . Then $\{l\}$ is an open set in Y , but not a closed set with respect to sm . Let $f: (X, t) \rightarrow (Y, t')$ be a function that satisfies the following properties: $f(k) = k$, $f(v) = v$, and $f(l) = l$. Then f is msc -continuous, but not ss -indeterminate, because for the ss set $\{h\}$ in Y , $f^{-1}(\{h\}) = \emptyset$ is present, while $f^{-1}(\{h\}) = \emptyset$ is not an sm -closed set in X .

Theorem (4.9): Let $f: \varepsilon \rightarrow \varepsilon$ be a function from the tp -sphere to the tp -sphere, where the property that every ss set is sm -closed is satisfied by ε . If the letter f is msc -continuous, then it is ss -indistinct.

Proof: The proof is derived from the premises directly.

Example (4.10): In [Example (4-8)], f is continual. Since f is not indeterminate in this example, and according to the theorem (4-5), every ssm -continuous function is also indeterminate, therefore, f is not ssm -continuous.

Theorem (4.11): Let $f: \varepsilon \rightarrow \varepsilon$ be a function from the tp -sphere of ε to the tp -sphere of ε , and let ε , ε be an sm -closed ss -set. If f is continual, so is also ssm .

Proof:

The proof is derived from the premises directly.

Theorem (4.12): Let (ε, t) and (ε, t') be two tp -s, and $f: (\varepsilon, t) \rightarrow (\varepsilon, t')$ be a surjective, closed, and continuous function. If A is an ss -set in ε , then so is also $f(A)$.

Proof:

Allow A to be an element of the set ε . Next, A^s is an Ms -complete set in ε . Let F be a closed set in ε that contains the origin of the rotation, and let A be a set of the form $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$. Then A is included in F , and thus A is also included in the set of points of the form $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$. Since f is a continual function, the set of all possible values for f is included in the set of all possible values for $f^{-1}(F)$. Since A is an s -set, the set of indices of the form $(1, 0, 1)$ or $(0, 1, 0)$ is empty or the set of indices of the form $(1, 0, 1)$ or $(0, 1, 0)$. If $f^{-1}(F) = \{ \}$ and if f is a surjective map, then $f = \{ \}$. If $f^{-1}(F) = A^s$ and f is a surjective, continuous, and closed function, then $F = (f(A))^s$. As a result, the set of Ms 's is closed. As a result, $f(A)$ is an ss -set.

Corollary (4.13): Let (ε, t) and (γ, t') be two tp -sets, and $f: (\varepsilon, t) \rightarrow (\gamma, t')$ be a bijective, closed, and continuous function. If A is a set in the environment of ε , then $f(A)$ is a set in the environment of γ .

Proof: Let A be a set of size at least 3 in the environment of the set ε . Then A^c is an ss -set in ε . According to Theorems (4-12), the set of ss in A^c is a set of ss in Y . As a result, so is $Y - f(A^c)$ a set of ss in the set. Since f is surjective, so is its range, which is a set of solutions to the system of equations in the form of a vector in R^i .

Theorem (4.14): Let (Γ, t) and (Y, t') be two tp -s, and $f: (\Gamma, t) \rightarrow (Y, t')$ be a bijective, open, and continuous function. If A is a set of ss in Y , then $f^{-1}(A)$ is also a set of ss in Γ .

Proof:

Allow A to be a collection of ss 's in Y . Then A^s is a Ms -complete set in Y . Let F be a closed set in U that is also s -closed, and let $(f^{-1}(A))^s$ be a subset of F . Then $f^{-1}(A)$ is a subset of F , and since f is surjective, A is a subset of $f(F)$. Since f is an s -closed function, the domain of its action is contained in the set $f(F)$. Since the set of Ms 's is closed in Y , $f(F) = \emptyset$ or $f(F) = A^s$. If the set of friends of F is empty, then F is also empty. If $f(F) = A^s$ and f is a surjective, open, and continuous function, then $F = f^{-1}(f(F)) = f^{-1}(A^s) = (f^{-1}(A))^s$. As a result, $f^{-1}(A)$ is an ss set in E .

Corollary (4.15): Allowing for two different tp-s, and a bijective, open, and continuous function between them, we have the following: (ε, t) and (ε, t') are both s-tp's, and $(\varepsilon, t) \rightarrow (\varepsilon, t')$ is a continuous, s-open, and bijective function. If A is a subset of the set of numbers ε , then the set $f^{-1}(A)$ is also a subset of the set of numbers ε .

Pro.of:

Assuming A is a member of the set Y . Then A^c is a set in the ss set. As a result, according to Theo.rem (4-14), $f^{-1}(A^c)$ is a set of size 1 in the domain of ε . As a result, the set of numbers $\varepsilon - (f^{-1}(A^c))$ is a set of measure zero in the set of numbers ε . As a result, $f^{-1}(A)$ is a set in E that is contained in the interior of the circle.

Theo.rem (4.16): Let (ε, t) , (ε, t') , and (μ, t'') all be tp-continuous. Then:

If the function $f: (\varepsilon, t) \rightarrow (\varepsilon, t')$ is ssM-constant and the function $g: (\varepsilon, t) \rightarrow (\mu, t'')$ is Ms-constant, then the function $g \circ f: (\varepsilon, t) \rightarrow (\mu, t'')$ is also Ms-constant.

If the function $f: (\varepsilon, t) \rightarrow (\varepsilon, t')$ is continuous and the function $g: (\varepsilon, t) \rightarrow (\mu, t'')$ is also continuous, then $g \circ f: (\varepsilon, t) \rightarrow (\mu, t'')$ is also Ms-continuous. The map that associates (θ, t) with (θ, t') is sM-continuous, therefore $g(\theta, t)$ with (θ, t) is ss-indeterminate and Ms-continuous.

If the slope of $(t, \text{If } t)$ is the same as that of $(u, \text{If } u)$, then $g(t, t') = g(u, t')$ and sM is continuous. As a result, the transition from g to Ms is indeterminate and the transition from Ms to g is also indeterminate.

If (Y, t') is Ms's continuous and sM's continuous, then $g \circ f: (\theta, t) \rightarrow (Z, t'')$ if $f: (\theta, t) \rightarrow (Z, t'')$ is s-indeterminate. As a result, if $(\theta, t) \rightarrow (Z, t'')$ is ss-indeterminate, and $(Y, t') \rightarrow (Z, t'')$ is Ms-continuous, then $g \circ f: (\theta, t) \rightarrow (Z, t'')$ is also Ms-continuous.

If $(\theta, t) \rightarrow (Y, t')$, and the set $g: (Y, t') \rightarrow (Z, t'')$ is ss-indeterminate, and $g: (Y, t') \rightarrow (Z, t'')$ is sM-continuous, and if $(X, t) \rightarrow (Z, t'')$ is ss-indeterminate and... Ms-continuous.

If $(X, t) \rightarrow (Y, t')$ is ss-indeterminate and $g: (Y, t') \rightarrow (Z, t'')$ is ss-indeterminate, then $g \circ f: (X, t) \rightarrow (Z, t'')$ is ss-indeterminate and Ms-continuous.

Pro.of:

The pro.of is as follows: every Ms-closed set is equivalent to an ss-set, and by Theo.rem... <4-5> Every Ms-closed set can be represented by an ss-set, thus the pro.of is complete.

Example: (4.17) has the form Let $\varepsilon = Z = \{k, v, l, h\}$ and $\varepsilon = \{k, v, l\}$, where $t = \text{Let } \{\{k, v\}, \varepsilon, \emptyset\}$, $t' = \{\{k, v\}, \varepsilon, \emptyset\}$ and $t'' = \{\{k, v\}, Z, \emptyset\}$. Let $f: (\varepsilon, t) \rightarrow (\varepsilon, t')$, where $f(k) = k$, $f(v) = v$, $f(l) = f(h) = l$, and $g: (\varepsilon, t') \rightarrow (\varepsilon, t'')$, where $g(k) = k$, $g(v) = v$, $g(l) = l$. Then f is sM-constant and s-indeterminate. G is called Ms-continuous, and g is also called Ms-continuous, but $g \circ f$ is not m-independent because (h) is an s-set in Z , but $(g \circ f)^{-1}(h) = \emptyset$ is not an s-set in ε . By the theo.rem's description, $g \circ f$ is also not sM-tempored.

Example (4.18): Let $\varepsilon = \varepsilon = Z = \{k, v, l, h\}$, where $t = \{\{k, v\}, \{k\}, \varepsilon, \emptyset\}$, $t' = \{\{k, v\}, \{k, v, l\}, \varepsilon, \emptyset\}$, $t'' = \{\{k, v, l\}, Z, \emptyset\}$. Allowing (ε, t) to evolve to (ε, t') , and letting $g: (\varepsilon, t')$ to evolve to (Z, t'') , is what enables us to define the identity function. Then f is indecomposable and Ms-ends, and g is also indecomposable and Ms-ends, but $g \circ f$ is not Ms-ends because $\{h\}$ is a set of s. It's set in Y , but $(g \circ f)^{-1}(h) = h$ isn't in E . Ms-closed.

Example: (4.19) has the form of a list of 4 elements, each of which is of the form $\{k, v, l, h\}$ and has a corresponding element of the form $\{k, v, l\}$. t has the form $\{\{k, v\}, \{k\}, \varepsilon, \emptyset\}$, t' has the form $\{\{k, v\}, \{k, v, l\}, \varepsilon, \emptyset\}$, and t'' has the form of a list of 4 elements, each of which is of the form $\{k, v, l, h\}$. Let $f: (\varepsilon, t) \rightarrow (Y, t')$, where $f(k) = k$, $f(v) = v$, $f(l) = l$, $f(h) = h$, and $g: (Y, t') \rightarrow (Z, t'')$,

where $g(k)=k$, $g(v)=v$, $g(l)=g(h)=l$. Then f is indecomposable, Ms-end, g is indecomposable, but $g \circ f$ is not Ms-end because $\{l\}$ is an s -set in Z , but $[(g \circ f)]^{-1}(l)=\{l, h\}$ is not M -closed in ε .

Example: (4.20) has the form $t = \{\{k, v\}, \{k\}, X, \emptyset\}$, $t' = \{\{k, v\}, \{k, v, l\}, Y, \emptyset\}$ and $t'' = \{\{k, v\}, Z, \emptyset\}$. The definition of g is as follows: $g(k)=k$, $g(v)=g(l)=v$, $g(h)=l$. Then Ms is consistent, s is ambiguous, and $G \circ f$ is consistent but not consistent, because $\{l\}$ is M 's closed domain in Z , but $[(g \circ f)]^{-1}(l) = \emptyset$ is not a set in X . According to Theorem 4.5, $g \circ f$ is not s (inequality) nor sM (end).

Theorem(4.21): Let (ε, t) , (ε, t') , and (μ, t'') be sets that are tp - s , and let ε have the property that every set is M -closed. Then:

If the matrix: $(\varepsilon, t) \rightarrow (\varepsilon, t')$ is Ms -continuous, and the vector: $(\varepsilon, t') \rightarrow (\mu, t'')$ is sM -continuous, then the matrix: $g: (\varepsilon, t) \rightarrow (\mu, t'')$ is also sM -continuous.

If the matrix: $(\varepsilon, t) \rightarrow (\varepsilon, t'')$ is indecomposable, and the vector: $(\varepsilon, t) \rightarrow (\varepsilon, t'')$ is continuous, then the matrix: $g: (\varepsilon, t) \rightarrow (\varepsilon, t'')$ is also continuous.

If $f: (\varepsilon, t) \rightarrow (\varepsilon, t'')$ is s -indeterminate, and $g: (\varepsilon, t) \rightarrow (\varepsilon, t'')$ is also s -indeterminate, then $g \circ f: (\varepsilon, t) \rightarrow (\varepsilon, t'')$ is also sM -continuous.

Theorem (4.22): Let (ε, t) , (ε, t') , and (μ, t'') be sets that are tp - s , and let ε have the property that every set is M -closed. Then:

If $(\varepsilon, t) \rightarrow (\varepsilon, t')$ is Ms -continuous, and $(g, t) \rightarrow (\mu, t'')$ is Ms -continuous, then $(g \circ f): (\varepsilon, t) \rightarrow (\mu, t'')$ is Ms -continuous.

If $(\varepsilon, t) \rightarrow (\varepsilon, t')$ is Ms -continuous, and $(g, t) \rightarrow (\mu, t'')$ is Ms -continuous, then $(g, t): (\varepsilon, t) \rightarrow (\mu, t'')$ is Ms -continuous. Since s is a set that is indeterminate, it is therefore Ms -constantly.

Pro.of:

This can be demonstrated using the supposition and theorem (4-5).

Theorem (4.23): Let (ε, t) , and let tp - s , such that every set s is an M -closed set. Then:

If the matrix: $(\varepsilon, t) \rightarrow (Y, t')$ is sM -constant, and the vector: $(Y, t') \rightarrow (Z, t'')$ is Ms -constant, then the matrix: (g) is sM -constant, therefore: $(g): (f)$ is sM -constant, which is therefore an s -indeterminate set. If the matrix equation (3.1) has an indeterminate solution, and the matrix function (3.2) is Ms -continuous, then the function (3.3) is s -unsolvable.

Pro.of:

This can be demonstrated by the hypotheses and theorem 4.5.

Theorem (4.24): Let (ε, t) , (ε, t') , and (ε, t'') be tp - s sets, where t and t' satisfy that every set is M -closed. If $g: (\varepsilon, t)$ is Ms -continuous, and if $g: (\varepsilon, t') \rightarrow (\varepsilon, t'')$ is s -unsolvable, then $g: (\varepsilon, t') \rightarrow (Z, t'')$ is s -unsolvable. t'' is sM -continuous.

Pro.of:

The pro.of is derived from the premises directly.

Theorems (4.25): Let (ε, t) , (ε, t') , and (Z, t'') be tp - s sets, and let ε and Z have the property that every set is M -closed. If the system has a solution that involves the following change of variables: $(\varepsilon, t) \rightarrow (\varepsilon, t')$ is m -unsolvable, and $g: (\varepsilon, t') \rightarrow (Z, t'')$ is Ms -continuous, then $g: (\varepsilon, t'')$ is sM -continuous.

Pro.of:

The pro.of is derived from the premises directly.

Theo.rem (4.26): Let (ε, t) , (ε, t') , and (μ, t'') be sets of tp-s, whe.re ε and μ sati.sfy the prop.erty that eve.ry set of s is M-cl.osed. If the mapp.ing $f: (\varepsilon, t) \rightarrow (\varepsilon, t')$ is conti.nuous, and the mapp.ing $g: (\varepsilon, t) \rightarrow (\mu, t'')$ is also conti.nuous, then $g: (\varepsilon, t)$ is sM-continuous, whi.ch implies that $g: (\varepsilon, t)$ is also s-indet.erminate.

5. Spa.ced acr.oss the sky

Defin.ition (5.1): A set of tp-s.A is said to be conne.cted if it does not cont.ain the ss set A or the sw set B such that the inters.ection of the doma.ins of the two sets is emp.ty, that is, if $U^{(\circ s)}$ and $V^{(\circ s)}$ are both emp.ty.

Theo.rem (5.2): Let ε be a tp-s (ε, t) set that cont.ains a set A that is both a sw-.set and a set B that is a ss-set. Additi.onally, $[[A]]^{(\circ s)} \not\subseteq \bar{B}^{\wedge s}$. Aft.er that, ε isn't conne.cted to the oth.er side.

Pro.of: Sin.ce $[[A]]^{(\circ s)} \not\subseteq \bar{B}^{\wedge s}$, then $[[A]]^{(\circ s)} \not\subseteq [[B]]^{(\circ s)}$ and $\bar{A}^{\wedge s} \not\subseteq \bar{B}^{\wedge s}$. Sin.ce A is a set of swa.ps and $B^{(\circ s)}$ is an open set that cont.ains $A^{(\circ s)}$, accor.ding to Theo.rem (3-18), $A^{(\circ s)} \cap B^{(\circ s)} = \emptyset$. Sin.ce B is a set of ss's, and $A^{\wedge s}$ is a clo.sed set, and $A^{\wedge s} \not\subseteq B^{\wedge s}$, by Coro.llay (3-19), $\bar{A} \cup \bar{B} = \varepsilon$. As a res.ult, ε is not conne.cted to the oth.er end.

Theo.rem (5.3): Let tp be a set that cont.ains a sub.set of A, whe.re A is both a set of ss's and a set of sw's. Aft.er that, ε isn't conne.cted to the oth.er side.

Pro.of:

Let A be a set that is both an ss set and a sw set. As a res.ult, by Theo.rem 3-9, $[[A]]^{\wedge c}$ is a set that is both s-con.nected and sw-con.nected. It's ob.vious that $A^{(\circ s)}$ is grea.ter than $A^{(\circ s)}$. Then, by Theo.rem 3.16, $[[A^{\wedge c}]]^{(\circ s)} \cap A^{(\circ s)} = \emptyset$. If the set of indi.ces is partit.ioned into two sets, then the fir.st set, $\bar{(A^{\wedge c})}^{\wedge s}$, is equ.al to the sec.ond set, $\bar{A}^{\wedge s}$, whi.ch is contrad.ictory to the fact that A is an s-con.nected set. As a res.ult, accor.ding to the theo.rem (), $\bar{A}^{\wedge s} \cup \bar{(A^{\wedge c})}^{\wedge s} = \varepsilon$. As a res.ult, ε is not conne.cted to the oth.er end.

Theo.rems (5.4): Let (ε, t) and (ε, t') be two tp-s, and let $f: (\varepsilon, t) \rightarrow (\varepsilon, t')$ be a bijec.tive, open, and conti.nuous func.tion. If ε is conne.cted via the swap chan.nel, then so is it conne.cted via the swap chan.nel as well.

Pro.of:

Supp.ose that the.re are sets A and B in ε that are both s- and sw-sets. Then $[[A]]^{(\circ s)}$ and $\bar{A}^{\wedge s}$ are both sets that are emp.ty. As a res.ult, by Theo.rem 4.14, $f^{\wedge(-1)}(B)$ is an s-set in ε , and by Corol.lary 4.15, $f^{\wedge(-1)}(A)$ is a w-set in ε . Sin.ce f is an s-o.pen set and conti.nues to be an s-cont.inuous set, there.ore $(f^{\wedge(-1)}(A))^{\wedge s} + (f^{\wedge(-1)}(B))^{\wedge s} = f^{\wedge(-1)}(A) + f^{\wedge(-1)}(B) = f^{\wedge(-1)}(Y) = X$. Sin.ce f is surje.ctive, open, and conti.nuous, we have $[[f^{\wedge(-1)}(A)]]^{(\circ s)} \cap [[f^{\wedge(-1)}(A)]]^{(\circ s)} = f^{\wedge(-1)}([A]^{(\circ s)}) \cap f^{\wedge(-1)}([B]^{(\circ s)}) = f^{\wedge(-1)}([A]^{(\circ s)}) \cap [B]^{(\circ s)} = f^{\wedge(-1)}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$. As a res.ult, ε is not conne.cted to the oth.er end of the sti.ck, this contra.dicts the the.ory. As a res.ult, ε is conne.cted to the oth.er end.

Theo.rems (5.5): Let (ε, t) and (ε, t') be two tp sets, and let $f: (\varepsilon, t) \rightarrow (\varepsilon, t')$ be a bijective, clo.sed, and conti.nuous func.tion. If ε is conne.cted via a string of len.gth l, then so is ε' .

Pro.of: Supp.ose that the.re are w-s.ets A and s-s.ets B in ε such that $[[A]^{(\circ s)}] \cap [[B]^{(\circ s)}] = \emptyset$ and $\bar{A}^{\wedge s} \cup \bar{B}^{\wedge s} = \varepsilon$. As a res.ult, by Theo.rem 4.12, $f(B)$ is an ss-.set in ε , and by Corol.lary 4.13, $f(A)$ is an sw-.set in ε . Sin.ce f is surje.ctive, clo.sed, and conti.nuous, $\bar{A}^{\wedge s} \cup \bar{B}^{\wedge s} = f(\bar{A}^{\wedge s}) \cup f(\bar{B}^{\wedge s}) = f(\bar{A}^{\wedge s}) \cup \bar{B}^{\wedge s} = f(X) = Y$. Sin.ce f is surjective, clo.sed, and conti.nuous, $[[f(A)]]^{\circ}$ and $[[f(A)]]^{(\circ s)}$ also fol.low. $= f([A]^{(\circ s)}) \cap f([B]^{(\circ s)}) = f([A]^{(\circ s)} \cap [B]^{(\circ s)}) = f(\emptyset) = \emptyset$. As a res.ult, Y is not conne.cted to the oth.er side, this contra.dicts the the.ory. As a res.ult, we can ded.uce that X is conne.cted to the sw-.net.

6- Conclusions:

We keep in mind the ideas of s-minimal open and s-maximal closed sets in this study. We present and investigate new types of sets that arise from these ideas, such as s-strong and s-weak sets. Characteristics and properties of the new types of sets. This future research will now have a new avenue to investigate how the concepts of s-strong and s-weak sets are used.

References:

1. N . Levine Semi open set and semi Continuity in topological spaces . Amer .Math .Monthly (1963).
2. Alas B. Khalaf and Haji M. Hasan (2012) "On some new maximal and minimal sets via semi -open " . Acts Universitatatis Apulensis (32)pp. 103.-109.
3. Nokaoka F. and Odo N. (2001), Some application of minimal open sets, International journal of mathematics and mathematical sciences,27(8), pp.471-478.
4. Nokaoka F. and Oda N.(2003), Some properties of maximal open sets. International journal of mathematics and mathematical sciences , 21,pp.1331.-1340.
5. Ittanagi , B.M.,and Siddapur G. P. ,(2018) On maximal semi continuous functions in topological spaces, International Journal of Engineering & Technology, 7(4.10),pp. 904.-907.
6. Rosas E., Carpintero,C., Salas-Brown, M. and Sanabria, J., (2017), Minimal open sets on generalized topological space, Proyecciones Journal of Mathematics, 36(4), pp.739.-751.
7. Mahdi, H. and Nasar, F. (2017),On minimal and maximal regular open sets, Mathematics and Statistics, 5(2), pp.78-83 .
8. Raad Aziz Hussain Al-Abdulla "on strong Weak set.in a topological space " Journal of interdisciplinary Mathematics,2021.