

Effective Treatment in Patients with Valvular Heart Disease: Mitral Stenosis Complicated by Arterial Fibrillation

Muhtarov S.A., Tashtemirova I.M.

Department of faculty therapy Andijan state medical institute

Abstract:

Compared to Blood vessel fibrillation patients without Valvular heart infection, the chance of thromboembolism and stroke is expanded among Blood vessel fibrillation patients with Valvular heart malady other than mitral stenosis and mechanical heart prostheses, generally owing to more seasoned age and more visit comorbidities.

Keywords: mitral spewing forth, dying hazard, transcatheter implantation

Introduction

It is by and large recognized that non-communicable diseases, including blood vessel hypertension, are the most open wellbeing problem in all nations, since almost 70% of the causes of passings within the world are related with non-communicable maladies. In any case, the sudden appearance of an irresistible infection – a unused coronavirus disease has changed the built up thoughts about diseases that posture the most noteworthy danger to health.

Discussion. Whereas patients with moderateto-severe mitral stenosis and mechanical prosthetic heart valves require anticoagulation with VKAs, there's no prove that the nearness of other Valvular heart illnesses counting aortic stenosis/regurgitation, mitral spewing forth, bioprostheses, or valve repair ought to alter the choice of OAC. In a meta-analysis of the four significant RCTs comparing NOACs with VKAs, the impacts of NOACs vs. VKAs in terms of stroke/systemic embolism and dying hazard in patients with Valvular heart illness other than mitral stenosis and mechanical prosthetic heart valves were consistent with those within the primary RCTs. In an observational ponder, NOACs were related with way better results, with decreased rates of ischaemic stroke and major dying compared to warfarin in Blood vessel fibrillation patients with mitral stenosis [1]. As of late, a utilitarian categorization of Valvular heart infection in connection to OAC utilize was presented, categorizing patients with moderate-severe or rheumatic mitral stenosis as sort 1 and all other Valvular heart malady as sort 2.

Results and discussion. There are crevices in prove on NOAC utilize in Blood vessel fibrillation patients with rheumatic mitral valve infection, and amid the primary 3 months after surgical or transcatheter

implantation of a bioprosthesis, and observational information with respect to NOACs utilize after transcatheter aortic valve implantation are clashing. An RCT in non-Blood vessel fibrillation patients comparing rivaroxaban 10 mg every day with headache medicine after transcatheter aortic valve implantation was ceased early due to higher dangers of passing or thrombo-embolic complications and dying within the rivarox. Recommendations for patients with valvular heart disease and Arterial fibrillation seriousness of VHD, counting 1) the nearness or nonattendance of indications, 2) the seriousness of VHD, 3) the chance of noncardiac mediation, 4) the reaction of the LV and/or RV to the over-burden caused by VHD, and 5) the pneumatic course systolic weight. On the off chance that the understanding meets standard criteria for a cardiac intercession, it is judicious to concede elective noncardiac strategies and continue to valve mediation first 1–4 In any case, in crisis circumstances, noncardiac may be essential within the nearness of uncorrected serious valve infection. All patients with serious VHD who are experiencing noncardiac advantage from an assessment by a Heart Group comprising of a cardiologist, cardiac anesthesiologist, and cardiac specialists, in conjunction with the specialist performing the method. In patients with extreme VHD who are experiencing low-risk surgical methods or in patients with gentle to direct VHD [2], noninvasive checking in interview with a cardiovascular anesthesiologist may be all that's required. In patients with serious VHD who are experiencing elevated-risk noncardiac, choices ought to be made as to whether to continue with the noncardiac and whether intrusive hemodynamic or TEE imaging checking ought to be performed intraoperatively and postoperatively in an seriously care setting In asymptomatic patients with significant VHD who don't meet standard criteria for intercession, the hazard related with the noncardiac method can be minimized by choosing an anesthetic approach that's fitting to the valve injury and guaranteeing the next level of intraoperative (and perioperative) checking, taking into consideration the fundamental valvular anomaly, its impact on LV work, and comorbidities. In patients with VHD, the cardiovascular chance of noncardiac surgery is additionally affected by other cardiovascular conditions, such as LV and RV brokenness, CAD, pneumatic hypertension, and fringe course illness. In patients with direct or more prominent degrees of AS, the hemodynamic impacts of anesthesia and surgery are ineffectively endured; indicators of unfavorable results incorporate seriousness of AS, coexisting MR, aspiratory hypertension, and CAD [3]. Be that as it may, these comorbidities moreover increment the chance of AVR. Information are restricted, but the risk–benefit proportion proceeds to favor overseeing asymptomatic patients with extreme AS who are experiencing noncardiac surgery with hemodynamic checking and optimization of stacking conditions, instead of considering prophylactic AVR. The persistent with rheumatic MS who is experiencing noncardiac surgery is treated in a way comparative to the quiet with Regurgitant injuries too pass on an expanded hazard of cardiac complications in patients experiencing noncardiac surgery and hence require cautious assessment and hemodynamic checking. Recommendation-Specific Strong Content 1. Asymptomatic patients with serious AS and a typical LVEF can experience noncardiac surgery with worthy hazard, especially within the nonattendance of serious CAD [4]. Hence, pre-operative assessment to prohibit serious CAD with CT or angiographic imaging may be valuable. In these patients with serious asymptomatic AS, cardiac complications can be decreased by periprocedural persistent optimization of stacking conditions, in this manner maintaining a strategic distance from hypotension and tachycardia. Sinus cadence with typical heart rate ought to be kept up. Tachycardia and systemic hypotension may result in diminished coronary perfusion weight, improvement of arrhythmias or ischemia, myocardial damage, cardiac disappointment, or passing. Periprocedural hemodynamic checking with a right-heart catheter or intraoperative TEE may be especially valuable to permit ceaseless optimization of stacking conditions. Intraoperative and postoperative observing of blood weight and intracardiac volume are actualized beginning within the preoperative period and proceeding until hemodynamics are steady, up to 24 to 48 hours after the strategy. Common anesthetics are well endured, and the anesthetic agents should be chosen to

preserve sinus beat and normotension. Phenylephrine or norepinephrine can be utilized to extend blood weight in patients with no noteworthy CAD [5]. In case of systemic hypertension, blood vessel dilators, such as short-acting calcium channel blockers, are favored. Epidural or spinal anesthetic mediations ought to be adjusted to maintain a strategic distance from fast changes in systemic weight, utilizing as it were high-dilution neuraxial neighborhood anesthetic specialists in combination with opioids [6].

2. In asymptomatic patients with direct or more prominent degrees of rheumatic MS with a pneumatic supply route systolic pressure acute pneumatic edema. Tachycardia ought to be dodged since of the abbreviated diastolic LV filling time over the stenotic mitral valve, coming about in an increment in LA pressure [7]. In asymptomatic patients with noteworthy rheumatic MS and with a pneumatic supply route systolic weight >50 mm Hg, the hazard of elective middle- to high-risk noncardiac surgery is significantly higher, so these patients ought to be assessed and treated as sketched out within the rheumatic MS section. In asymptomatic patients with critical MR and ordinary LV systolic with a pneumatic supply route systolic weight 24 hours, and major arrhythmias, than those of case-matched controls without AR. Diminished LV systolic work, raised serum creatinine >2 mg/dL, and middle- to high-risk noncardiac surgery were indicators of higher hazard of cardiopulmonary complications and passing. Dodge bradycardia when AR is show since of the increment in add up to diastolic time. These patients are observed with intrusive systemic blood vessel and venous catheters and/or TEE and are conceded postoperatively to an seriously checking setting.

Medical Treatment to Treat or Avoid Illness Movement: In patients with early VHD, counting those with calcific or myxomatous malady, there are right now no treatments to anticipate malady movement within the valve flyers. Instep, current proposals are coordinated toward understanding checking, with the expectation to mediate once serious infection is show that comes about in indications or unusual cardiovascular work. Essential science thinks about are required to recognize potential targets for avoidance of dynamic VHD. Centered translational ponders utilizing touchy, progressed imaging markers of malady movement may permit more fast clinical usage and superior plan of RCTs for promising modern treatments. There too has been small thought of the interaction of valvular, ventricular, and vascular inclusion within the infection prepare. Extra thinks about are required on treatments that might avoid the unfavorable results of VHD, such as LV brokenness and pneumatic hypertension.

Most vitally, quiet instruction and enabling patients to be dynamic members in overseeing their wellbeing conditions and partaking in shared decision-making are fundamental. Anticoagulation (vitamin K enemy [VKA] or heparin) is shown in patients with 1) MS and AF (paroxysmal, diligent, or changeless). MS and a earlier embolic occasion, or 3) MS and a cleared out atrial thrombus [8]. (Level of Prove: B) Within the presurgical time, patients with MS were at tall chance for blood vessel embolization, which was assist raised in those with AF and earlier embolic occasions. Anticoagulation with VKA has long been suggested for patients with MS with AF or earlier embolism and has been so well acknowledged that patients with MS have for the most part been prohibited from AF trials looking at the utility of anticoagulation. One exemption to trials barring patients with MS is the NASPEAF (National Consider for Anticipation of Embolism in Atrial Fibrillation) trial. Of the 495 highrisk patients within the cohort, 316 patients had MS. Of these 316 patients, 95 had a earlier embolization. Patients within the ponder were randomized to standard anticoagulation with VKA (worldwide normalized proportion [INR] objective 2 to 3) versus the combination of an antiplatelet specialist and VKA anticoagulation with a lower INR objective (0.10 to 2.5). The think about illustrated a exceedingly critical expanded chance for embolism among those patients with VHD with earlier occasions versus those without (9.1% versus 2.3% over 3 a long time; p It is well known that the extent of the cardiac cycle involved by diastole diminishes with expanding heart rate, subsequently expanding the cruel stream rate over the mitral valve (expecting consistent cardiac yield) with a ensuing rise in cruel mitral slope in MS in extent to the square of the stream rate. A think about of typical volunteers experiencing bike work out echocardiography illustrated a decrease within

the diastolic interim from 604 milliseconds to 219 milliseconds as the heart rate expanded from 60 beats per minute to 120 beats per minute demonstrating a 63% decrease in add up to diastolic time. Keeping up the same cardiac yield would require a 38% increment in crural stream rate amid diastole, which, by squared connection of the Bernoulli condition, requires an increment in crural mitral angle of roughly 90%. Hence, it is levelheaded to think that restricting tachycardia with beta bar can be useful in patients with MS in ordinary sinus beat. In any case, the as it were RCT on the affect of beta bar on work out length in MS fizzled to appear this healthy impact. In spite of the fact that the work out heart rate was altogether diminished and diastolic filling interim expanded by 40%, there was no increment in useful capacity, and maximal O₂ utilization really fell by 11%, with cardiac record falling by 20% when patients were treated with beta barricade. One ponder had more unbiased comes about in a trial of 17 patients with NYHA lesson I and II MS, and 7 patients had change in maximal oxygen utilization, though 4 had a disintegration in symptoms [10].

By and large, anaerobic edge was decreased by 11% with atenolol treatment, so these considers don't back the common utilize of heart rate control in patients with MS and ordinary sinus cadence. In any case, in chosen patients whose indications compound extraordinarily with work out, a trial of beta barricade could be considered. Other negative chronotropic specialists have not been assessed in patients.

Conclusion: The objective of restorative treatment for mitral stenosis is to decrease repeat of rheumatic fever, give prophylaxis for infective endocarditis, diminish indications of aspiratory clog (eg, orthopnea, paroxysmal nighttime dyspnea), control the ventricular rate in case atrial fibrillation is show, and anticipate thromboembolic complications. Because rheumatic fever is the essential cause of mitral stenosis, auxiliary prophylaxis against gather A beta-hemolytic streptococci (GAS) is recommended [11]. Length of prophylaxis depends on the number of past assaults, the time passed since the final assault, the chance of introduction to GAS contaminations, the age of the persistent, and the nearness or nonappearance of cardiac involvement.

References

1. Ageev F. T., Danielyan M. O., Mareev V. Yu. and others. Patients with xpo-ническойchronic heart failure in амбыthe Russian латорной outpatient practice: features of diagnosis, diagnosis and treatment (based on the materials of the EPOCH-O-CHF study) // Heart failure. 2014; 5 (1):4-7.
2. Tashtemirova I. M. Violation of the functional activity of the sympathetic-adrenal system and lipid peroxidation processes in women of fertile age with metabolic syndrome. Dissertasiya; Tashkent 2015: 4-30.
3. Lietman S., Levine M., Siegal G. Mc Cune-Albright syndrome // In: Fletcher C. et al. WHO Classification of Tumours of soft Tissue and Bone. – IARC, Lyon, 2016. – P.382-383.
4. Bonde AN, Blanche P, Staerk L, Gerds TA, Gundlund A, Gislason G, TorpPedersen C, Lip GYH, Hlatky MA, Olesen JB. Oral anticoagulation among atrial fibrillation patients with anaemia: an observational cohort study. Eur Heart J 2021.
5. Tashtemirova I.M., Uzbekova N.R. noninvasive method of diagnosis of heart failure. Eurasian Journal of Cardiology, 267.
6. Oliver M. Fatty acids and the risk of death during acute myocardial ischaemia. Clin Sci 2019; 128:349.

7. Arun M., Brauneis D., Doros G., Sanchorawala V., Varga C. The incidence of atrial fibrillation among patients with AL amyloidosis undergoing high-dose melphalan and stem cell transplantation: experience at a single institution. *Bone Marrow Transplant* 2017;52
8. S.A. Kityan, M.A. Khujamberdiev, N.R. Uzbekova, Significant Pathogenetic Factors in the Development of Chronic Heart Failure with Preserved Ejection Fraction *JournalNX* 7 (03), 67-72
9. M.A. Khuzhamberdiev, I.M. Tashtemirova, Violation of the processes of peroxidation and the immune system in patients with myocardial infarction *Eurasian Journal of Cardiology*, 212
10. Hoolwerf E.W., Kraaijpoel N, Buller HR, Direct oral anticoagulants in patients with liver cirrhosis: a systematic review. *Thromb Res* 2018;170.
11. Sidorenko B..A., Preobrazhenskiy D..V.. Гипертрофия миокар-
Left ventricular myocardial hypertrophy: pathogenesis, diagnosis and possibility
of reverse development under the influence of hypertensive therapy.
; 5:80-85.
12. Karpov Yu. A. Blockers of angiotensin receptors: the formation of a-
нование new direction in modern cardiology //
Breast cancer 2020; 8(5): 214-217.